Review

Ageing and vision: structure, stability and function of lens crystallins

Hans Bloemenda, Wilfried de Jonga, Rainer Jaenickeb,c, Nicolette H. Lubsena, Christine Slingsbyc,*, Annette Tardieud

a Department of Biochemistry, University of Nijmegen, 6500HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands
b Institute of Biophysics and Physical Biochemistry, University of Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany
c School of Crystallography, Birkbeck College, University of London, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, UK
d Laboratoire de Minéralogie-Cristallographie, CNRS-Université Paris 6, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Abstract

The α-, β- and γ-crystallins are the major protein components of the vertebrate eye lens, α-crystallin as a molecular chaperone as well as a structural protein, β- and γ-crystallins as structural proteins. For the lens to be able to retain life-long transparency in the absence of protein turnover, the crystallins must meet not only the requirement of solubility associated with high cellular concentration but that of longevity as well. For proteins, longevity is commonly assumed to be correlated with long-term retention of native structure, which in turn can be due to inherent thermodynamic stability, efficient capture and refolding of non-native protein by chaperones, or a combination of both. Understanding how the specific interactions that confer intrinsic stability of the protein fold are combined with the stabilizing effect of protein assembly, and how the non-specific interactions and associations of the assemblies enable the generation of highly concentrated solutions, is thus of importance to understand the loss of transparency of the lens with age. Post-translational modification can have a major effect on protein stability but an emerging theme of the few
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studies of the effect of post-translational modification of the crystallins is one of solubility and assembly. Here we review the structure, assembly, interactions, stability and post-translational modifications of the crystallins, not only in isolation but also as part of a multi-component system. The available data are discussed in the context of the establishment, the maintenance and finally, with age, the loss of transparency of the lens. Understanding the structural basis of protein stability and interactions in the healthy eye lens is the route to solve the enormous medical and economical problem of cataract.
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1. Introduction

The eye and its architecture, at the macroscopic, microscopic and molecular level, is a “joy forever”. Artists, poets and naturalists are equally attracted by its properties (Huxley, 1990; Darwin, 1859). Most impressive is the optical quality of the lens: the cones in the retina are visible through the intact optics of animal and human eyes (Hughes, 1996). The lens is a cellular organ and its transparency is due to its complex architecture and unique protein composition. Unfortunately, the delicate balance required for transparency is easily disturbed with lens opacity, cataract, as the result. Cataract is the most common cause of blindness, and, therefore, of enormous medical (and economical) relevance worldwide. Cataract can have many causes. It can be due to a mutation in one of the lenticular proteins and is then usually already present at birth; it can be one of the symptoms of systemic disease, for example diabetes is a risk factor for cataract; it can also be the result of mere ageing. Lenticular proteins, such as the abundant water-soluble proteins, the crystallins (in mammals: αA, and αB; βB1, βB2, βB3, βA3/αA1, βA2, and βA4; γA, γB, γC, γD, γE, γF, and γS), cannot be replaced (see also below) and thus have to last the lifetime of the organism. With time, lens proteins change in conformation and accrue damage due to modifications such as oxidation, deamidation, or cleavage resulting in wrong protein–protein interactions and aggregation. Cataract is then unavoidable. Incidence studies of age-related cataract such as the Beaver Dam Eye Study show that the incidence of three different kinds of cataract increases with age: nuclear cataract, which accounts for about 60% of the age-related cataract, cortical cataract, which accounts for about 30%, while the remaining 10% is a posterior subcapsular cataract (Klein et al., 2002). The total incidence of these three forms of age-related cataract found in the Beaver Dam Eye Study was about 45% for people between the age 55–64 (with 11% having had cataract surgery), of about 75% for people between age 65 and 74 (with 26% cataract surgery) and of about 88% for people older than 75 (with 30% cataract surgery). With increasing age the incidence of lens opacities increases and visual acuity lessens. Ultimately, the only way to restore sight is cataract surgery. Current levels of surgery remain too low to tackle the backlog of cataract blind, estimated to be 16–20 million worldwide, and to stem the rising world incidence due to the ageing population. The social impact and economic cost of cataract
has motivated extensive research on the lens and an enormous amount of knowledge has been accumulated. The premise of this review is that age-related cataract derives from two distinct molecular routes: protein unfolding resulting in protein aggregation and/or altered interaction and association between native crystallins resulting in lesser solubility, and focuses on available experimental data on the structure, folding, stability, solubility and intermolecular interactions of the abundant water-soluble lenticular proteins, the crystallins.

1.1. Cataract and lens components

Cataract is a pathological opacity interfering with transparency caused either by disturbances to the regular cytoplasm-membrane lattice repeat of the lens, or by perturbations of the local short-range order of the crystallins in the interior of the fibre cells (Fig. 1). From the physicochemical point of view, the spatial fluctuations of protein density responsible for lens opacification can be attributable at the cellular level to osmotic pressure effects. At the molecular level they can be due to the spontaneous phase separation of the (native) protein solution into coexisting protein-rich and protein-poor phases, or to the intrinsic instability of the cytoplasmic protein solution leading to wrong association i.e. aggregation of partially unfolded protein. Protein–protein interactions leading to the condensation of eye lens proteins into randomly distributed aggregates with average molecular masses beyond 50 MDa, will scatter light (Benedek, 1971, 1997). Mechanistically, condensation of lens protein can be due to unfolding of lens proteins—and cataract could then be considered as a protein folding disease—or due to altered interaction of native proteins—and cataract would then be the consequence of a loss of solubility.

1.1.1. Cataract as a protein folding disease: the importance of chaperones

The present hypothesis for protein folding diseases is that they result from an insufficiency in the protein chaperoning system of the cell. In general, unfolding cytoplasmic protein evokes the
heat shock response, while unfolding endoplasmic reticulum protein evokes the unfolded protein response (for review, see Morimoto and Santoro, 1998; van den IJssel et al., 1999; Patil and Walter, 2001; van Montfort et al., 2001; Arrigo and Müller, 2002; Sonna et al., 2002). These two stress induced systems have in common that they inhibit general protein synthesis, and switch the resources of the cell to synthesizing protein chaperones, thus giving cells time and means to deal with the unfolded protein stress. The most prominent cytoplasmic chaperones synthesized as part of the heat shock response are Hsp90, Hsp70 and the small heat shock proteins (sHsps), Hsp27 and αB-crystallin. Hsp90 and Hsp70 refold proteins in an ATP dependent way, where Hsp90 is dedicated to particular substrates while Hsp70 refolds more general substrates. The sHsps can bind non-native proteins but they cannot refold them. They may help target their substrate for proteolysis or they may store it for later action by a large Hsp. Furthermore, sHsps interact with the cytoskeleton (Nicholl and Quinlan, 1994) and may be instrumental in preserving the integrity of this cellular structure during stress. Protein folding disease would result when the unfolded protein accumulates faster than the chaperoning system can deal with aggregating protein (Dobson, 1999; Csermely, 2001).

Consideration of cataract as a protein folding disease invokes the lack of ability of the mature lens fibre cell to mount a cellular stress response and the use of a prominent lenticular structural protein, α-crystallin, to function as a chaperone as well. The immature lens fibre cell can still mount the heat shock response and can deal with unfolding protein, although the system may well be overwhelmed if the misfolding protein is a mutant crystallin as the crystallin genes are expressed at very high levels. In this case even the immature lens fibre cell may not be able to escape from the unfolded protein stress, and will cease differentiating, thus disturbing lens morphogenesis (see for example Sandilands et al., 2002). This mechanism explains why the lens phenotype of most misfolded crystallin mutants (such as those involving truncations and frameshifts) is severe and often includes microphthalmia. The mature lens fibre cell lacks protein synthetic capacity and thus cannot increase its protein chaperone content when non-native protein starts aggregating. The mature lens cell does however start with a high concentration of the protein chaperone α-crystallin, an assembly of the small heat shock proteins αA- and αB-crystallin. The prevalent hypothesis for age-related cataract is that, with time, as (other) lenticular proteins unfold and/or become modified and start to unfold, the chaperone capacity of α-crystallin will be used up and protein aggregates will be formed (Horwitz, 1992; Derham and Harding, 1999; Clark and Muchowski, 2000).

It is noteworthy that the heat shock response attenuates with ageing (Franceschi et al., 2000; Söti and Csermely, 2002). The capacity of ageing cells to deal with unstable proteins thus also decays with age explaining why protein folding disease is more prevalent in the aged. The mature lens fibre cell represents a special case of aged cells: rather than having an attenuated heat shock system, it lacks it completely except for one component, α-crystallin. Age-related cataract could then well be related mechanistically to other age-related folding diseases (see also Harding, 2002) and serve as a model system for age-related protein folding diseases.

1.1.2. Cataract resulting from altered protein interactions: the importance of solubility

Transparency and high refraction of the lens is due to the short-range order between highly concentrated crystallins. The high level of polydispersity among the crystallin components will not only reduce the risk of protein crystallization, but also contribute to an even and dense packing.
In the lens cytoplasm, the mixture of crystallins displays overall repulsive interactions determined essentially by the dominating effect of \( \alpha \)-crystallin (Vérétout et al., 1989). Changes in solubility or attractive properties can cause cataract without the protein misfolding and before it unfolds. A well-known example is “cold cataract” due to phase separation of the \( \gamma \)-crystallins at low temperature. The importance of solubility is also illustrated by the two human hereditary cataracts shown to be caused by point mutations of surface residues of \( \gamma \)-crystallin. These mutations appear to reduce the protein solubility (Héon et al., 1999; Kmoch et al., 2000) and are unlikely to be rescued by the \( \alpha \)-crystallin chaperone. The post-translational modifications to which crystallins are subject, could cause alterations in protein interaction that are causally involved in ageing cataract.

1.1.3. Lens differentiation: structuring a tissue for transparency

The lens is a focusing device that allows images to be formed on the retina. To serve this function, the eye lens has to fulfil two requirements; it has to provide transparency and a high refractive index, i.e. low light scattering and high solubility of its cytoplasmic proteins, the crystallins. From the physical point of view, transparency is limited by absorption and scattering of visible light. In the cataract-free lens, absorption in the visible wavelength range is negligible. The cellular structure of the lens can meet these biophysical requirements because of its unique morphology and composition. In the mature lens, hexagonally packed, long ribbon-like fibre cells, which in man are up to 10 mm long, are arranged in concentric shells, with the oldest cells at the centre and the youngest on the outside (Fig. 2). The shape of the fibre cells is determined and maintained by an extensive cytoskeleton. The critical role played by the exact architecture of the cytoskeleton is indicated by the fact that a lens lacking the lens-specific intermediate filament proteins phakinin (CP49) and filensin is opaque even though the overall fibre cell morphology is normal (Alizadeh et al., 2002). The lens fibre cells have an unusually high protein content: the protein concentration of the round glassy fish lens increases from 0.13 g ml\(^{-1}\) at the edge to 1.05 g ml\(^{-1}\) at the centre, while the soft ovoid human lens has a protein concentration around 0.32 g ml\(^{-1}\). The abundant water-soluble crystallins account for most of the lens fibre cell protein. Light scattering may originate from the differing refractive indices of the membrane and the
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Fig. 2. Schematic drawing of a sagittal section through a vertebrate lens. The monolayer of epithelial cells and the fibre cell mass are indicated. The direction of incident light and the optical axis is represented by the open arrow, the equatorial plane by the two single arrows. The arrowheads show the region where the epithelial cells differentiate to secondary fibre cells. nucl: the nuclear region; cx: the cortical region, eq: the equatorial region.
cytoplasm of the fibre cells, particularly in the cortex (Michael et al., 2003). The experimental evidence for this refractive index difference is clearly seen in the diffraction peaks recorded when laser light is passed through a thin peripheral section of the lens (Benedek et al., 1979). The absence of diffraction when light is directed along the optical axis is presumably a consequence of the continuous gradual change in orientation between layers of cells along this axis. In the centre of the lens, the refractive index of the membranes is the same as that of the cytoplasm and the orientation of the membrane is of lesser importance (Michael et al., 2003).

The lens is determined during early embryonic development (Grainger, 1992) and derives from the ectoderm overlaying the optic cup. The surface ectoderm cells invaginate to form the lens vesicle with cells on the posterior side elongating to primary lens fibre cells filling the vesicle space. Cells on the anterior side remain a monolayer of epithelial cells, with their basal side facing outward and the apical side facing towards the lens fibre cells (Fig. 2). Lens epithelial cells divide in a region just anterior to the equator, the cells at the equatorial zone elongate to form secondary fibre cells which form a continuous layer overlaying the primary fibres (Bron et al., 2000). During differentiation of the fibre cells, the different classes of crystallin genes are expressed in a strict temporal and spatial order (see below). Furthermore, the expression of the crystallin genes is regulated in a developmental manner, with some of the crystallins being expressed primarily in the foetal lens, others only later. Crystallins synthesized during early development will be located in the core of the mature lens; crystallins expressed during later development will abound in the cortex. The design principle of vertebrate lenses is thus one of deposition of complex mixtures of crystallins that vary in their relative proportions along the optical axis and the equatorial plane, put in place by differential gene activity during development (see Section 1.1.4). As the last step in differentiation, fibre cells lose their nuclei, mitochondria and ribosomes in a process resembling the early steps of apoptosis (Bassnett, 2002). This loss of cellular organelles is required for transparency but has as consequence that the terminally differentiated fibre cell can no longer synthesize or degrade proteins. Hence, lens proteins that are located at the centre of the lens, and synthesized during foetal development, cannot be replaced and must last the lifetime of the organism.

Lens growth, development and differentiation is not an autonomous process but is directed by growth factors present in the ocular fluid. In a now classic experiment, Coulombre and Coulombre (1963) showed that inversion of the chicken lens in the optic cup resulted in differentiation of the epithelial cell layer to fibre cells. The quest for the lens differentiation factor in the rat or mouse resulted in the identification of a member of the BMP growth factor family as inducer of the differentiation of the primary lens fibre cells (the cells that derive from the lens vesicle). A member of the FGF family of growth factors is responsible for the induction of differentiation of the secondary fibre cells (McAvoy and Chamberlain, 1989; Faber et al., 2002), although it still is not clear which family member is the in vivo inducer of secondary lens fibre cell differentiation (for review, see Chow and Lang, 2001). Lens fibre cells in vitro only proceed through the complete programme of differentiation in the presence of continuous growth factor signalling (Peek et al., 1992; Leenders et al., 1997). Growth factor signals also set the level of expression of the various crystallin genes, for example in the presence of insulin or IGF-I relatively less α-crystallin is made during in vitro differentiation of rat lens fibre cells (Civil et al., 2000). Hence, aberrations in ocular growth factor levels at an early age may cause changes in the spectrum of crystallins synthesized and thereby predispose to age-related cataract.
Although all vertebrate lenses are built in the same manner and all contain α-, β-, and γ-crystallins (see below), there is great variety in certain lens parameters. In most terrestrial animals, the air–cornea interface provides the bulk of refraction with the lens being used for fine focusing over a range of distances. In diurnal animals, this accommodation is commonly achieved by a soft lens moulding into different shapes (Koretz and Handelman, 1988); as an exception, nocturnal animals such as rodents have a very hard lens and cannot accommodate by changing the shape of the lens. In aquatic animals the lens has to provide all the refraction, so fish lenses are spherical and have a very high refractive index at the centre. The fish lens can be readily dissected into two parts, a hard nearly incompressible core region and a soft cortex; in the case of a small fish from Lake Tanganyika, the core radius represents precisely two-thirds of the whole lens radius in all sizes of fish (Fernald and Wright, 1983). The human lens thickness along the optical axis varies with age growing from 3.7 mm at age 20–4.2 mm at age 60, with the equatorial diameter remaining constant at 9 mm after age 30 (Smith et al., 1992). Although the distinction between core and cortex is much less marked in humans compared with fishes, a central core region can be discerned on fine dissection (∼7 mm in equatorial diameter), that has a characteristic protein electrophoresis pattern different from the cortex (Garland et al., 1996).

The human and the fish lens represent two extreme kinds of lenses reflecting their different optical specializations. In fish, the lens has a complex continual decrease of refractive indices (n) from the centre (1.54) to the surface (1.36) (Kröger et al., 1994). Refractive index gradients have been measured for a wide age range of bovine lenses; it is in place even in the early foetus, spanning from 1.38 at the edge to 1.45 in the centre, and steepening to 1.47 in the centre of the oldest lens (Pierscionek and Augusteyn, 1992). The shape of the very shallow refractive index gradient in the biconvex spheroid human lens is difficult to measure; it is almost constant with n ∼ 1.40.

The above range of refractive index values stem from massive differences in protein concentration. Once proteins have been concentrated to these extremely high values, they are generally not resoluble, and it is not known if they retain the structure of the soluble form. Evidence from Raman spectroscopy indicates that in the core of the rodent lens the bulk of the protein cysteines are oxidized which implies that the proteins are denatured (Yu et al., 1985). However, the “glassy” state is very stable in terms of transparency—the rodent or fish lens can be boiled without losing transparency—whereas the protein solution state of the human lens makes it prone to cataract. These species differences in overall protein levels make it difficult to apply the results of rodent mutation studies to human lens. Presumably, the differences in protein content are correlated with differences in the amount and spectrum of crystallins expressed. However, the complete spectrum of crystallins expressed has been characterized in only a few species. The combination of mass spectroscopy with genomic sequence data will ease the gathering of such data. Determining the crystallin expression pattern is experimentally much more difficult: it requires examination of samples from early embryo through adult, where results are confounded by the fact that later developmental samples also contain the record of the expression pattern at earlier stages. This problem can be circumvented by assaying mRNA rather than protein patterns but this presents an even greater experimental problem. Crystallin expression patterns are only available from chicken, mouse and rat, and man. When the crystallin expression pattern of the rodent lens is compared to that in the human lens (Lampi et al., 1998; Ueda et al., 2002) there is a decrease in expression of the crystallins found in the glassy core of the rodent lens (βB1-, βB3-, and
the γA-F-crystallins) and an increase of expression of the crystallins found in the softer cortex (βB2- and γS-crystallin). The differences in these patterns support the notion that these are a determinant of the optical properties of the lens.

1.1.4. The crystallins

The abundant soluble proteins of the vertebrate eye lens are collectively known as the crystallins (Table 1). All vertebrate lenses examined contain three classes of crystallins, the α-, β- and γ-crystallins, also known as the ubiquitous crystallins, although in widely varying ratios. In addition, some species contain other proteins, they are known as the taxon-specific crystallins. Using a mixture of different sized protein assemblies to fill the lens fibre cells insures polydispersity and prevents crystallization (see also below). In order to fulfil their optical function, crystallins have to be first and foremost soluble. As they have to last the whole life span of the organism they must also be stable.

1.1.4.1. The α-crystallins

There are two α-crystallin genes, αA and αB, encoding proteins that share around 60% sequence identity (Bloemendal and de Jong, 1991). Both α-crystallins contain the “α-crystallin domain” characteristic of the sHsps (de Jong et al., 1998). Only βB-crystallin is still stress inducible. The expression of αA-crystallin is essentially limited to the lens, only traces are found in some other tissues (Srinivasan et al., 1992), and αA-crystallin is thus the lens-specific member of the family. βB-crystallin is more widely expressed, and particularly abundant in brain, heart and muscle (Iwaki et al., 1990). Both αA- and βB-crystallin are found in lens epithelial cells but their synthesis is strongly up regulated upon differentiation to the lens fibre cells (McAvoy, 1978; van Leen et al., 1987a). αA- and βB-crystallin continue to be synthesized during lens development (Aarts et al., 1989a; Voorter et al., 1990; Lampi et al., 1998; Ueda et al., 2002) and would then be homogenously distributed throughout the lens. In man, the ratio of αA- to αB-crystallin in the foetal lens is about 2:1 and the ratio decreases to about 3:2 in the water-soluble fraction of a lens from a 54/55 year old (Ma et al., 1998). The expression level of α-crystallin is rather variable between species, just as for the βγ-crystallins. It is abundant in man (40% of soluble lens protein), less so in rodents (20%), while from chicken and fish values as low as 10% of the total lens protein have been reported (de Jong, 1981).

The α-crystallins are presumed to function both as structural proteins and as chaperones in the lens. Possibly the latter function is also required for proper lens development as the lens of the αA/αB-crystallin double knock-out mouse is significantly smaller than that of the wild type mouse and fibre cell formation is severely disturbed (Boyle et al., 2003). The βB-crystallin null mouse has no lens phenotype (Brady et al., 2001), but, because of its shorter lifespan, age-related cataract cannot be studied. The αA-crystallin null mouse does have cataract, due to inclusion bodies containing βB-crystallin (Brady et al., 1997) as well as γ-crystallin (Horwitz, 2003), indicating a role for αA-crystallin in the solubilization of γ-crystallin.

1.1.4.2. The β- and γ-crystallins

The oligomeric β- and the monomeric γ-crystallins are both built up out of four Greek key motifs organized into two domains. The main sequence difference is that β-crystallins have N-terminal extensions compared with γ-crystallins, with the basic β-crystallins having in addition C-terminal extensions (Fig. 3). The β-crystallins are a family of basic (βB1, βB2, βB3) and acidic (βA1, βA2, βA3 and βA4) polypeptides (Herbrink et al., 1975; Berbers et al.,
The sequences of their corresponding globular domains exhibit between 45 and 60% identity with each other, and about 30% with γ-crystallins (cf. Fig. 3). The βA1- and βA3-crystallins are encoded by the same gene, whereby the synthesis of the βA3-crystallin protein is initiated from a more upstream initiation codon than the βA1-crystallin protein. The only difference between these two proteins is thus the length of the N-terminal arm (Table 1).

**Table 1**
Some crystallin parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystallin</th>
<th>Residues</th>
<th>Size (kDa)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>SwissProt</th>
<th>PDB</th>
<th>MIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human lens crystallins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αA</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>19909</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>P02489</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αB</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>20159</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>P02511</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βB1</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>27892</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>P53674</td>
<td>10ki</td>
<td>600 929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βB2</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>23249</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>P43320</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βB3</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>24230</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>P26998</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βA1</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>23191</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>P05813</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βA2</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>21964</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>P53672</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>600 836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βA3</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>25150</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>P05813</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βA4</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>22243</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>P53673</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γS</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>20875</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>P22914</td>
<td>1ha4a</td>
<td>123 730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γA</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>20761</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>P11844</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γB</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>20776</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>P07316</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γC</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>20747</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>P07315</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>123 680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γD</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>20607</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>P07320</td>
<td>1hk0</td>
<td>123 690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crystallins and homologs with X-ray structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystallin</th>
<th>Residues</th>
<th>Size (kDa)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>SwissProt</th>
<th>PDB</th>
<th>MIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bovine γS</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>20796</td>
<td></td>
<td>P06504</td>
<td>1a7ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine γB</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>20965</td>
<td></td>
<td>P02526</td>
<td>1amm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine γD</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>20735</td>
<td></td>
<td>P08209</td>
<td>1elp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine γE</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>21008</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>P23005</td>
<td>1a45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine γF</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>20955</td>
<td></td>
<td>P23005</td>
<td>1a5d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat γE</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>21132</td>
<td></td>
<td>P02528</td>
<td>1e7n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat βB2</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>23250</td>
<td></td>
<td>P26775</td>
<td>2bb2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine βB2</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>23167</td>
<td></td>
<td>P02522</td>
<td>1sds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met J. 16.5</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>16452</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q57733</td>
<td>1sds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat 16.9</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>16722</td>
<td></td>
<td>S21600c</td>
<td>1gme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherulin 3a</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>11285</td>
<td></td>
<td>P09353</td>
<td>1ha4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein S</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>18793</td>
<td></td>
<td>P09366</td>
<td>1npsd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enzyme-crystallins with X-ray structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystallin</th>
<th>Residues</th>
<th>Size (kDa)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>SwissProt</th>
<th>PDB</th>
<th>MIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ-crystallin</td>
<td>466c</td>
<td>51043c</td>
<td></td>
<td>P05083c</td>
<td>1ioa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η-crystallin</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>54537</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q28399</td>
<td>109j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Structure of C-terminal domain.

b Sequence printed in Norledge et al. (1997a).

c The sequence is from GenBank with one sequence change T7S.

d Structure of the N-terminal domain.

e The sequence is from chicken, the 3D structure is of the turkey protein.

1984; Lampi et al., 1997). The sequences of their corresponding globular domains exhibit between 45 and 60% identity with each other, and about 30% with γ-crystallins (cf. Fig. 3). The βA1- and βA3-crystallins are encoded by the same gene, whereby the synthesis of the βA3-crystallin protein is initiated from a more upstream initiation codon than the βA1-crystallin protein. The only difference between these two proteins is thus the length of the N-terminal arm (Table 1).
In mammals, the β-crystallin genes are fibre cell specific. Expression of the β-crystallins is under developmental control. The βB1- and βB3-crystallin genes are early genes and their products are found primarily in the lens nucleus, while the βB2-crystallin gene is a late gene, being in rodents only expressed in the post-natal lens (Aarts et al., 1989a; Chambers and Russell, 1991; Ueda et al., 2002). In man, a similar expression pattern is found, but because of the much higher level of βB2-crystallin in the human lens than in the rodent lens (Lampi et al., 1998, 2002b), this protein is prominent at all stages. The acidic β-crystallin genes have a wider expression pattern and their protein products are found both in the centre and the cortex of the lens (Lampi et al., 1998; Ueda et al., 2002b).
Curiously, only a trace of the $\beta$A2-crystallin protein can be detected in the human lens (Lapko et al., 2003a) although its mRNA is abundant as evidenced from the high frequency of its cDNA in a human lens cDNA library (see http://neibank.nei.nih.gov; Wistow et al., 2002), suggesting a block in translation of this mRNA. In contrast, in the mouse or calf lens, this protein is as abundant as $\beta$A1-crystallin (Berbers et al., 1984; Ueda et al., 2002). The functional correlate of the species difference in expression pattern of the $\beta$-crystallins is not known but likely to be related to the water content of the lens. One of the consequences will be a different spectrum of $\beta$-crystallin assemblies across the lens. The $\beta$-crystallins assemble into broadly three size categories based on their behaviour during gel permeation chromatography: $\beta$H (hexamers/octomers), $\beta$L$_2$ (dimers), and $\beta$L$_1$ being intermediary (Zigler et al., 1980; Bindels et al., 1981; Berbers et al., 1982). As $\gamma$B1-crystallin correlates with hexamers/octamers, while $\gamma$B2-crystallin forms a dimer (see below), a shift from higher molecular weight assemblies in time is thus expected and this is indeed found (Ma et al., 1998). In space, this will correlate with a gradient from $\beta$H in the nucleus to $\beta$L in the cortex (Siezen et al., 1986).

The mammalian genome contains seven $\gamma$-crystallin genes. Six of these, the $\gamma$A-F-crystallin genes, are closely linked in a tandemly repeated gene cluster and are highly similar in sequence, particularly in their second exon, which encodes the N-terminal domain of the protein. This sequence similarity is, rather unusually, maintained by gene conversion (den Dunnen et al., 1986). The seventh gene, the $\gamma$S-crystallin gene, is located on another chromosome and is more divergent in sequence. $\gamma$S-crystallin has a short four amino acid residue long N-terminal arm, unlike the $\gamma$A-F-crystallins, but lacks the two amino acid residue long C-terminal arm of the $\gamma$A-F-crystallins. In addition, the connecting peptide of the $\gamma$S-crystallin is one amino acid residue longer than that of the $\gamma$B-crystallin and two amino acid residues longer than that of the other $\gamma$A-F-crystallins (Table 1; Fig. 3).

The $\gamma$-crystallin genes are also fibre cell specific. They are the last crystallins to be made during fibre cell differentiation, being preceded by first the $\alpha$-crystallins and then the $\beta$-crystallins (McAvoy, 1978; van Leen et al., 1987a; Peek et al., 1992). As a consequence they are not found in the immature fibre cells in the cortical region. Furthermore, the $\gamma$A-F-crystallin genes are early genes, that is they are most copiously expressed in early lens development and their products are thus mainly found in the lens core region. The developmental expression pattern of the $\gamma$A-F-crystallin genes differs in the time of shut down: some genes, such as the $\gamma$E- and $\gamma$F-crystallin genes are silenced during (rodent) post-natal development, while $\gamma$B-crystallin continues to be synthesized (van Leen et al., 1987b; Ueda et al., 2002). In contrast to the $\gamma$A-F-crystallin genes, the $\gamma$S-crystallin gene is post-natal in rat. The abundance of its transcript in a cDNA library from two 40-year-old human lenses indicates that it is a late crystallin gene in man as well (Wistow et al., 2002; http://neibank.nei.nih.gov). The expression level of the $\gamma$A-F-crystallins is quite variable between species and appears to correlate inversely with the water content of the lens nucleus. In the hard rodent lens, the $\gamma$A-F-crystallins are all abundant, in the soft human lens, only $\gamma$C- and $\gamma$D-crystallins are abundant proteins (Siezen et al., 1987; Hanson et al., 1998). The very soft avian lenses contain only $\gamma$S-crystallin (van Rens et al., 1991).

1.1.4.3. The taxon-specific crystallins. A number of species have highly expressed lens proteins that do not belong to the $\alpha$- or $\beta$-crystallin families, the taxon-specific crystallins. Table 2 summarizes a number of examples from different evolutionary lineages. Some of them represent
central metabolic enzymes occurring in body cells and in the eye lens, and are encoded by the same gene (gene sharing; Piatigorsky and Wistow, 1989, 1991; Wistow, 1993, 1995). During evolution they must have switched directly to their additional role as structural eye-lens proteins by modification of gene expression. In other cases, recruitment followed gene duplication and divergence and hence can be accompanied by loss of catalytic function. This supports the conclusion that taxon-specific enzyme crystallins were not recruited for metabolic or catalytic reasons; instead, their abundance argues that they serve mainly as structural proteins contributing merely to the refractive index and/or to the solubility properties in the complex multicomponent system of the lens. Thermodynamic (and kinetic) stability is another important property of eye-lens proteins. Therefore, it is possible that during evolution proteins were recruited simply because of their high intrinsic stability. Keeping multifunctionality in mind, subsidiary roles such as sequestering NAD(P)H to protect the lens against oxidation or damage from UV radiation, are conceivable (Wistow et al., 1987; Wistow and Piatigorsky, 1987; Piatigorsky and Wistow, 1989, 1991; Jimenez-Asensio et al., 1995; Röll et al., 1995, 1996; Werten et al., 2000; van Boekel et al., 2001). A consequence of sharing or recruitment of a gene is that during evolution its different functions may be exposed to widely differing selective constraints so that adaptive changes favourable for one function may be deleterious for another. Conflicts like that may be resolved either by reversing the recruitment or by gene duplication; both strategies have been observed for enzyme-crystallins.

1.1.5. **Selection of proteins as crystallins: evolution and link to the stress response**

The evolutionary origin of the vertebrate lens remains a matter of debate. The differences in morphology between the vertebrate eye and the various types of invertebrate eyes suggest that

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystallin</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Identical with ( = ), or related to ( ~ )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Most birds, reptiles = Argininosuccinate lyase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε</td>
<td>Some birds, crocodiles = Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH-B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ζ</td>
<td>Guinea pig, camel, llama = NADPH:quinone oxidoreductase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η</td>
<td>Elephant shrew = Aldehyde dehydrogenase I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>Gecko (Lygodactylus picturatus) = Retinol-binding protein (type 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>Kangaroo, quoll ~ Hydroxyacyl CoA dehydrogenase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>π</td>
<td>Gecko (Phelsuma serraticauda) ~ Ornithine cyclodeaminase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>Frogs, gecko (Lepidodactylus lugubris) ~ Glyceraldehyde-3P dehydrogenase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>Cephalopods ~ NADPH-dependent aldose reductase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ</td>
<td>Many vertebrates ~ α-enolase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν</td>
<td>Platypus (Ornithorhynchus anatinus) = Lactate dehydrogenase A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω/Ω</td>
<td>Octopus ~ Alcohol dehydrogenase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amino acids are abbreviated using the single-letter or three-letter code.


b Refers to identical genes, ~ to relationships based on sequence homologies or topological similarities.
distinct evolutionary pathways are involved (Fernald, 1997). Yet the specification of the vertebrate and the *Drosophila* eye uses homologous regulatory networks in which orthologous proteins participate, showing that the development of these morphologically distinct visual systems has a joint origin (for review, see Chow and Lang, 2001). The αβγ-crystallins are specific to the vertebrate lens and must have been recruited as lens proteins in the primitive vertebrate. The evolutionary considerations for recruitment of the αβγ-crystallins are the same as those for the taxon-specific genes described above: the ancestral genes must have been genes that were at least potentially transcriptionally active, i.e. either housekeeping genes or stress inducible genes, and recruitment can have been preceded or followed by gene duplication. The origin of the α-crystallin genes is clear. These proteins belong to the family of the small heat shock proteins (van den Heuvel et al., 1985). A duplication of one of these genes resulted in the αA/αB crystallin gene pair, where the αA-crystallin has become lens-specific, while the αB-crystallin gene has retained a housekeeping function as well (see Section 1.1.4.1) and is still heat shock inducible.

The evolutionary origin of the β-crystallins is obscure. A vertebrate housekeeping relative directly ancestral to the β- or γ-crystallin genes should be closely related in sequence and share the gene organization. No such genes have been detected in the vertebrate genome. It could be that one of the present-day β- and γ-crystallin genes originally had or even still retains a housekeeping function outside the lens. In case of the γ-crystallins, the most likely candidate is the γS-crystallin gene as orthologs of this gene are found in all vertebrate lineages examined. In contrast, the other γ-crystallin genes are paralogs and derive from a separate set of gene duplications in for example mammals, amphibians and fish (see also below). Furthermore, γS-crystallin is expressed outside the lens and its expression pattern suggests a stress-related role (Sinha et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2003). Of the six β-crystallin genes, the βB2-crystallin gene is the one that has the most widespread expression pattern outside the lens, albeit at low level (Dirks et al., 1998; Magabo et al., 2000). A role for this protein in fertility has been suggested (Robinson et al., 2003). The βB2-crystallin gene may thus still retain a housekeeping function.

A stress connection is commonly suggested not only for the α- but also for the βγ-crystallin genes. The promoter regions of the βγ-crystallin genes lack heat shock elements and there is no evidence for a role of these proteins in the heat shock response, unlike the α-crystallins. A shared feature of the promoter regions of the βγ-crystallin genes is a Maf Response Element, a target of Maf transcription factors (Ring et al., 2000; Doerwald et al., 2001; Civil et al., 2002). The Maf transcription factors can form heterodimers with AP-1 factors, which are involved in the response to growth factors and to various types of stress (for review, see Motohashi et al., 1997). A Maf transcription factor, MafK, plays a role in the oxidative stress response (Nguyen et al., 2000). If there is a stress connection of the βγ-crystallin genes, that connection may well be oxidative stress.

Although the β- and γ-crystallins appear to be close relatives when the protein structure is considered, both being built up out of four homologous Greek key motifs organized into two domains (see also below), genetically they are quite distinct. The β-crystallin genes have six exons with introns separating the motif-encoding regions, while the γ-crystallin genes have only three exons, and lack the intron between the motif-encoding regions, retaining only the intron between the domain-encoding regions (Lubsen et al., 1988). This difference in gene structure suggests divergence between these two gene families at the level of a putative ancestral gene encoding a single motif or single domain and a long separate evolutionary history. It is thus likely that the primordial β- and γ-crystallin genes predated the vertebrates but no clear orthologs in
non-vertebrate species have been detected thus far. A $\beta\gamma$-like sequence has been reported from the sponge *Geodia cydonium* (Di Maro et al., 2002). However, this gene does not have introns and the relationship with the mammalian $\beta\gamma$ genes is difficult to establish. The typical $\beta\gamma$ double Greek key fold is also found in a number of other proteins such as the human protein AIM1 where the motifs are encoded by separate exons (Ray et al., 1997), EDSP from the amphibian *Cynops pyrrhogaster* (Wistow et al., 1995), while two microbial family members Spherulin 3a from *Physarum polycephalum* and Protein S from *Myxococcus xanthus* are known to have stress-related functions. Putative family members include the yeast-killer toxin WmKT from *Williopsis mrakii* and a proteinase inhibitor SMPI from *Streptomyces nigrescens*.

Genes closely similar to at least some of the six mammalian $\beta$-crystallin genes have been detected in amphibians and fish. Since there is no evidence for the existence of a $\beta$-crystallin gene family in non-vertebrates, the present scenario is that a series of gene duplications led to a six-membered family during early vertebrate evolution. The various present day $\beta$-crystallin genes would then be orthologous in the different vertebrate species, that is they would be direct descendants of the same set of six early vertebrate genes. The evolution of the $\gamma$-crystallin genes is more complicated. Of the seven mammalian $\gamma$-crystallin genes only the $\gamma$S-crystallin gene is common to all vertebrates. It is also the only $\gamma$-crystallin gene found thus far in birds. The other six mammalian $\gamma$-crystallin genes, the $\gamma$A-F-crystallin genes, are unique to mammals. $\gamma$-Crystallin genes are present in fish and amphibian genomes as well, but these genes are more closely related to each other than to the mammalian genes, suggesting that these arose from a separate set of gene duplications (Smolich et al., 1993; Pan et al., 1994) and are thus paralogs rather than orthologs of the mammalian $\gamma$-crystallin genes. A likely scenario is that the ancestral vertebrate had two $\gamma$-crystallin genes. One gene, the $\gamma$S-crystallin gene, remained single copy and continued to be expressed in all species after its recruitment for lens expression. Its protein product is most abundant in the water rich region. The product of the other ancestral $\gamma$-crystallin gene was apparently particularly suited to a low water environment and its evolution correlates with adaptation to the particular visual requirements imposed by the habitat. Expansion by tandem gene duplications and high-level expression of this gene is found in lineages or species with hard round lenses (for example in fish and rodents), loss of gene copies and down-regulation is seen in lineages or species with soft lenses (for example in birds). In mammals this adaptation is illustrated by man: although the human genome contains the usual six mammalian $\gamma$A-F-crystallin genes, only two, the $\gamma$C and $\gamma$D genes are highly active. A low level of expression of the $\gamma$A and $\gamma$B genes is found, while the $\gamma$E and $\gamma$F genes have become pseudogenes (Brakenhoff et al., 1990).

The frequent recruitment of genes to serve as crystallin genes might suggest that many proteins could be a “crystallin”. Indeed, the refractive-index increment of proteins does not vary much. Yet in spite of the plasticity of the lens in accepting other proteins as crystallins, the $\alpha$-, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-crystallins are highly conserved proteins, with little variation in protein sequence. For example, the rate of change in the $\beta$A3/1-crystallin is less than that of the Na$^+$K$^+$ATPase $\beta$-subunit (Aarts et al., 1989b) and even the peculiar dual translation initiation site of the $\beta$A1/3-crystallin gene has been retained in time (Werten et al., 1996). The fact that these proteins are under severe constraint imposed by the selection pressure of visual acuity is also illustrated by the $\alpha$-crystallin genes of the blind mole rat. In this rodent the lens has degenerated and selection pressure due to the need for vision is no longer applied. Consequently, the $\alpha$A-crystallin gene has accumulated mutations at an
accelerated rate, while the αB-crystallin gene, which also has a non-lens function, has evolved at the same rate as in other rodents (Hendriks et al., 1987).

These evolutionary considerations suggest that the α-, β- and γ-crystallins are essential to the function of the lens although the expression level is adapted to the optical properties of the lens. Indeed, as described above, loss of the α-crystallins leads to aberrant fibre cell differentiation. No hereditary cataracts due to a lack of a β- or γ-crystallin are known, all the hereditary cataract mutations linked to these genes cause protein misfolding and aggregation or contain point mutations which result in properly folded proteins with lower solubility. Yet, except for the single instance of the lower fertility of the Philly mouse (Robinson et al., 2003), with a βB2-crystallin mutation, no phenotype other than cataract has been reported for these mutations. The available evidence thus suggests that the evolutionary conservation of the crystallin genes in sequence and their divergence in expression patterns is due to the selective advantage of visual acuity and further suggests that building a transparent lens requires a finely tuned mixture of specialized proteins.

2. Structure of crystallins and their relatives: two families

2.1. The α-crystallins

Vertebrate α-crystallins, like many sHsps, form polydisperse multimers (MacRae, 2000). α-Crystallins have molecular masses between 300 and 1200 kDa, depending on the solvent conditions and other variables. CD- and IR-based secondary structure predictions for the α-crystallin subunit suggested predominantly β-sheet and less than 20% helix content. Due to the polydisperse size distribution of both the natural and recombinant protein, crystallization of vertebrate α-crystallin has been unsuccessful so far. Thus, presently neither the detailed 3D structure of the subunit nor the topology of the subunit assembly is known. Classification of
cryo-electron micrographs and 3D image reconstruction of the recombinant homotypic human αB-crystallin showed that the assembly consists mainly of protein shells of ~19 nm diameter with a central cavity ~8 nm in diameter (Haley et al., 1998).

sHsp sequences are characterized by a C-terminal “α-crystallin domain” linked to a variable N-terminal region (de Jong et al., 1998). It was proposed that the “α-crystallin domain” might resemble an Ig fold (Mornon et al., 1998). This hypothesis was confirmed when the first X-ray structure of an “α-crystallin domain” was solved from an archaeal hyperthermophile (Kim et al., 1998), followed by the 2.7 Å X-ray structure of the first sHsp assembly from a higher organism, wheat (van Montfort et al., 2001). Looking in detail at one monomer of the plant sHsp (Fig. 4a), the domain fold is seen to be composed of a two sheet β-sandwich structure surrounded by “loose ends”, in contrast with the neat arrangement of strands in the βγ-crystallin domain (see next section). This is considered to represent an “unfinished domain”, as the edges of both sheets on the two sides of the sandwich (labelled the N- and C-sides) need partners for stabilization of the fold. The first step in assembly is the formation of a dimer by reciprocal strand-exchange, in which the β6-strand from one monomer becomes an edge strand of one β-sheet on the N-side of the subunit partner in the dimer (Fig. 4b). Then six dimers assemble to form the dodecamer (Fig. 4c). This stage of the assembly requires the N-terminal region from six monomers to assemble into 3 sets of paired helices inside a ring of paired “α-crystallin domains”. A side view shows the discs of the β-sandwiches packed face-to-face with the paired N-terminal helices in the middle (Fig. 4c). The 12 ordered C-terminal extensions are also helping to bind the assembly together. The side view shows for example that for the red dimer of “α-crystallin domains”, the two ordered C-terminal extensions are clearly in different orientations which allow the binding of domains within a ring and between rings. The binding of the ordered C-terminal extension derives from a hydrophobic I-X-I motif in the final strand that covers a hydrophobic crevice and seals both β-sheets edges on the C-side of the sandwich. Whereas all 12 C-terminal extensions are ordered in this assembly structure, only six of the N-terminal regions are visible as loosely knotted paired helices. The other six N-terminal regions are not visible and presumed to be disordered.

Comparison of the two sHsp X-ray structures allowed definition of common features across two kingdoms and showed that the drive for assembly likely stemmed from the search of the “unfinished α-crystallin domain” for partners. The β-sandwich domain fold has the same topology as the monomeric human co-chaperone p23 (Weaver et al., 2000), but in this case the fold is patched intramolecularly (van Montfort et al., 2001). For the oligomers, higher assembly completes the fold by patching the edges of the β-sheets on both sides of the sandwich. Both the prokaryote and the eukaryote proteins patch the N-side edge of the β-sheet by forming similar dimers by strand exchange from a long loop (Fig. 4b) and thus the basic structural unit of both assemblies is a dimer. The edges of both sheets are patched on the C-side of the β-sandwich by the conserved hydrophobic I-X-I sequence motif in the C-terminal extension, but here it is from another dimer. The prokaryote uses these contacts to assemble as a spherical 24-mer with 432 symmetry (Fig. 5a). The plant sHsp assembles with 32 symmetry (Fig. 4c) by using an additional edge patch on the N-side provided by a conserved sequence motif in the N-terminal region (Fig. 4d) to contribute towards the fold of the loosely knotted dodecameric assembly (Fig. 4c).

The final assembly of the plant sHsp thus differs from the shell-like archaeal 24-mer and the proposed cryoEM structure for human αB-crystallin. Analysis of sHsp sequences indicated that whereas patching the C-side of the β-sandwich with a C-terminal extension may be a conserved
feature throughout the family, metazoans differ in the region of the dimerization loop (van Montfort et al., 2002). Systematic site-directed spin labelling of \( \alpha \)-crystallin is consistent with this fold topology for the monomer, but indicates a different dimerization motif (Koteiche and Mchaourab, 1999) as does low angle X-ray scattering (Feil et al., 2001). Recent nanoelectrospray mass spectrometry results suggest that a monomer, rather than a dimer, is the assembly unit (Aquilina et al., 2003). However, the conserved sequence motif used for the N-side patch is found in a subclass of the human sHsps that includes lens \( \alpha \)-crystallins and Hsp 27 (Fig. 4d). The
topological equivalents of the arginine mutation in αA-crystallin that causes congenital blindness and the corresponding mutation in αB-crystallin that causes a desmin-related myopathy are found to be associated with this edge β-strand protection, albeit in different ways, in the two resolved structures (Fig. 4b).

In summary, the “α-crystallin domain” in the α-crystallins is likely to have the β-sandwich fold in which the C-side protection is provided by the conserved I-X-I motif from the ordered extension of a partner subunit. However, to predict how the fold is completed on both edges of the N-side of the sandwich is much more difficult as metazoan sequences differ significantly from the sequences of observed structures in the regions that perform the patching function. The α-crystallins also have an additional C-terminal tail for which there is evidence of conformational flexibility (Fig. 5b).

In connection with the functionally relevant quaternary structure of α-crystallin, a number of models have been proposed, based on hydrodynamic data and subunit-exchange, as well as light scattering, X-ray small-angle scattering, cryo-electron microscopy, image-reconstruction and homology-modelling (Tardieu et al., 1986; Groenen et al., 1994b; Haley et al., 1998; Horwitz et al., 1998a; Abgar et al., 2000). There seems to be general agreement that under physiological conditions the quaternary assembly is characterized by a relatively fast subunit-exchange, indicating a certain degree of conformational flexibility of the α-crystallin protomers (Bova et al., 1997; Ehrnsperger et al., 1999; Abgar et al., 2000; Datta and Rao, 2000; Haley et al., 2000; Reddy et al., 2000; Vanhoudt et al., 2000; Putilina et al., 2003).

2.2. Structure of the two-domain β- and γ-crystallins

2.2.1. The βγ domain is an independent fold

In protein structure there is a common secondary structural motif called a Greek key, well known from Attic vases (Fig. 6a). This motif of four β-strands is unable to fold on its own (Kretschmar, 1999) and thus requires support, but only rarely is this provided by a direct structural repeat as a result of an ancient gene duplication. A double Greek key represents the common feature in βγ-crystallins from eye lens as well as ancient relatives found in microbial organisms. The two consecutive Greek key motifs comprising eight β-strands intercalate to form...
2 Greek key motifs

2 β-sheets

(a) 1 βγ-crystallin domain

(b) Dimeric βB2-crystallin

(c) A dimer of γS-crystallin C-terminal domains
two β-sheets that pack together to form a β-sandwich domain. Although β-sandwich domains are common in proteins, in βγ-crystallins they are characterized by their high internal conformational symmetry and by a conserved folded hairpin structure for each motif. Sequence–structure alignment of all the Greek key motifs shows that two quite distal residues, a glycine and a serine (Fig. 3), are the most conserved and are involved in stabilizing the supersecondary fold by packing this β-hairpin over the β-sheet (Blundell et al., 1981). The double Greek key domain has a complex topology in that each linear 4-stranded motif exchanges its third β-strand to a β-sheet belonging to its partner motif, an essentially cooperative act (Fig. 6a). This theme of mutual exchange is seen at higher levels of the complex hierarchy of βγ-crystallins (Fig. 6b; Bax et al., 1990). When the structure is viewed in terms of the two β-sheets forming a β-sandwich or a β-barrel, there are many connections (arches) that cross from one sheet to another and, unlike the jelly roll motif, cannot be conceptualized as folding from a single long β-hairpin (Branden and Tooze, 1998). There is an obvious asymmetry in that only one tyrosine corner is present (Figs. 3 and 6a) (Hemmingsen et al., 1994; Clout et al., 2001).

2.2.2. Monomeric two-domain γ-crystallins

Rounds of gene duplication of the Greek key motif sequences have resulted in genes encoding two-domain polypeptides which in the case of γ-crystallins form monomers. The X-ray structures of several γA-F-crystallin family members have been solved now in a variety of crystal lattices, and the N and C domains are always paired in a specific symmetrical manner about a hydrophobic interface (Figs. 3 and 6b). What is clear from the spatial structures is that the pairing appears to mimic an ancestral single domain form that dimerized (Blundell et al., 1981). Protein engineering experiments (detailed later) have since attempted to quantify the contribution of this interface to the energetics of binding and its dependence on the linker. So far only the C-terminal domain of bovine and human γS-crystallin has been solved by X-ray crystallography (Basak et al., 1998; Purkiss et al., 2002), and therefore only the “artificial” interfaces formed from self-association of the γS C-terminal domains have been determined (Fig. 6c). However, it can be inferred from modelling studies that γS-crystallin, apart from a 4-residue N-terminal extension, differs from γA-F-crystallin mainly in the interface region between the domains. The linker region is one residue longer in γS-crystallin than in γB-crystallin, while in the remaining γ-crystallins it is one residue shorter (Fig. 3). The charged residues are paired in a different way from the γB-crystallin structure, which may be correlated with their specific differences in solvation, phase separation and stability (Cooper et al., 1994b; Zarina et al., 1994; Liu et al., 1996).

It is of interest to compare the sequences and structures of γ-crystallins with low and high phase separation temperatures (Tc). However, it has sometimes been difficult to assign the correct sequences to proteins purified from lenses. The best estimate of the current situation is that bovine γE-, rat γE-, and bovine γF-crystallin are high Tc proteins while bovine γB-, human γD-, and bovine γD-crystallin are low Tc proteins. When these sequences are aligned (Fig. 3), they do not partition at any single charged position. The 3D structures for these sequences are available (Table 1). As would be expected, they have all crystallized in different lattices and therefore exhibit diverse intermolecular contacts. Although it is clear that in all cases the charged side chains engage in close intramolecular and intermolecular ion pairs, the detail is both sequence- and lattice-dependent.
2.3. β-Crystallin oligomers

2.3.1. Structure of βB2-crystallin

The main sequence difference between monomeric γ-crystallins and oligomeric β-crystallins is the presence of sequence extensions in the oligomers (Fig. 3). The crystal structure of bovine βB2-crystallin focused attention on the linker peptide between the N- and C-terminal domains, and away from the extensions. These were not visualized in the electron density (Bax et al., 1990), were observed to be flexible by 2D NMR (Carver et al., 1993b; Cooper et al., 1993, 1994a) and consequently were judged not to be involved in stabilizing the dimer interface. As depicted in Fig. 6b, there is a close spatial relationship between γB- and βB2-crystallin. The N- and C-terminal domains are clearly very similar, and in both cases, the domain pairing exhibits pseudo 2-fold symmetry. The distinction between the proteins derives mainly from the linker conformation: in the 21 kDa γB-crystallin monomer, the V-shaped connecting peptide allows intramolecular domain interactions, whereas in βB2-crystallin the linker is straight, thus favouring quaternary interactions between the dumb-bell shaped 23 kDa subunits (Fig. 6b). As a consequence of the topological similarity of the β- and γ-crystallin domains, their interactions are analogous, except for their intermolecular and intramolecular character.

At first sight it seemed that the sequence of the linker might be responsible for directing β-crystallin polypeptides into oligomers by domain swapping. For γB-crystallin, it was proposed that Gly 86 in the interdomain region was critical to allow a V-shaped conformation essential for the monomeric domain paired state. In addition the β- and γ-crystallins had prolines in characteristic sequence positions close to the linker region (Fig. 3). However, exchanging the linker peptides by engineering the βB2- and γB-crystallin linkers (LβB2 and LγB) between the γB- and βB2-crystallin domains challenged the swapping hypothesis as both linker mutants are monomeric (Table 3).

The transition of a tertiary interaction in γ-crystallins to a quaternary interaction in a β-crystallin gives an idea how oligomers may have evolved from precursor monomers by using a domain interface either within one and the same polypeptide chain or between two of them (Bax et al., 1990). 3D domain swapping has been proposed as the first stage of a general mechanism for forming oligomeric proteins from their monomeric forms (Bennett et al., 1994, 1995; Schlunegger et al., 1997), with additional stability being gained in a second step through the evolution of a new interface. 3D domain swapping in the β-crystallin example appears to be at the first stage, as the swapped domains are kept at “arms length” by their extended linkers (Fig. 6b). The story of β-crystallin assembly is, however, more complicated and involves a higher order interface observed in the βB2-crystallin crystal lattice structure (Fig. 7). In the βB2-crystallin crystal structure, the pair of subunits, related by a crystallographic dyad (P), is considered to be the solution dimer. In the crystal lattice two such dimers are packed together about 2 further dyads (Q and R). The interface that holds the solution dimer together is defined as the PQ interface (and is centred around equivalent hydrophobic interactions to the two-domain pairing in γ-crystallins; Fig. 3), and the dimer–dimer interface is defined as QR.

2.3.2. Structure of human βB1-crystallin

Extensive crystallization trials failed to crystallize full length human βB1-crystallin and therefore the first glimpse came with the 1.4 Å crystal structure of a truncated human
Table 3
Molecular characteristics of rat βB2-crystallin and βB2-mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>βB2-variant</th>
<th>Residues</th>
<th>$M_{calc}$ (kDa)</th>
<th>$M_{obs}$ (kDa)</th>
<th>$s_{20,w}$ (S)</th>
<th>State of association</th>
<th>$c_{1/2,urea}$ (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>βB2</td>
<td>205 (−16 to 185)</td>
<td>23.38</td>
<td>42.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>Dimer</td>
<td>2.6$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βB2-N</td>
<td>107 (−16 to 88)</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>19.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>Dimer$^c$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βB2-C</td>
<td>99 (88–185)</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>10.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>Monomer</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βB2NC</td>
<td>178 (−1 to 173)</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>38.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>Dimer</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βB2NC</td>
<td>178 (−1 to 173)</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>72.2 ± 2.8</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>Tetramer</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP-βB2</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>20.13</td>
<td>39.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>Dimer</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βB2-L$\gamma$B</td>
<td>(cf. Fig. 2)</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>22.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>Monomer</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γB-L/βB2</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.96</td>
<td>22.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>Monomer</td>
<td>2.1/5.1$^d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $M_{calc}$ and $M_{obs}$: molecular masses, calculated and taken from ultracentrifugal analysis; $s_{20,w}$: sedimentations coefficient; state of association taken from ultracentrifugal analysis and gel permeation chromatography; $c_{1/2,urea}$: transition midpoints of urea denaturation. Data from Trinkl et al. (1994), Mayr et al. (1994, 1997), Norledge et al. (1996, 1997b), Jaenicke (1999), Wieligmann et al. (1998, 1999) and Wieligmann (2000).

$^b$ Measurements in 0.1 M sodium phosphate pH 7.0 plus 0.3 M ammonium sulfate, 5 mM DTT and 2 mM EDTA. At $\sim$ 5 μg/ml, βB2 exhibits concentration-dependent dissociation to partially unfolded monomers; at 200 μg/ml, the dimer–monomer transition shows enhanced cooperativity with $c_{1/2,urea} = 2.9 ± 0.1$.

$^c$ At $< 50$ μg/ml, dissociation to unfolded monomers according to $M_2 \Leftrightarrow 2I \Leftrightarrow 2U$.

$^d$ Transition midpoints for the C-/N-terminal domains of γB.

Fig. 7. βB2- and βB1-crystallin assemblies. The domain swapped βB2-crystallin dimer represents the solution dimer. In the crystal lattice there is a strong dimer–dimer interface (defined as the QR interface). The crystal structure of dimeric truncated βB1-crystallin showed that the subunits are engaged in intramolecular domain pairing, as in γ-crystallins, but that the monomer–monomer interface resembled one-half of the QR interface of the βB2-crystallin tetramer.
βB1-crystallin (trhβB1) which lacks more than the first 41 N-terminal residues (Bateman et al., 2001; van Montfort et al., 2003). The trhβB1 monomer, comprising residues 54–236 (corresponding to –4–174 of the topological numbering based on the γB-crystallin sequence), is composed of the two characteristic βγ-crystallin domains, which are connected by a linker that is the same length as in βB2- and γB-crystallin (Fig. 3). Surprisingly, the N- and C-terminal domains of trhβB1 pair in the same intramolecular fashion as in γ-crystallin and the respective linkers have a similar conformation (Fig. 7). The two monomers form a dimer related by an approximate 2-fold axis which is similar to the QR interface of the βB2-crystallin lattice tetramer. The domain arrangement is also similar to that of the engineered circularly permuted rat βB2-crystallin (cpβB2) dimer (Wright et al., 1998). The solution dimer of trhβB1 is thus similar to the top half of the βB2 lattice tetramer (Fig. 7) and the topology is unlikely to depend on linker sequences or the adjacent conserved prolines (Fig. 3).

2.3.3. β-crystallin human heterodimers and higher order assembly

Now that expression clones and purification protocols for human β-crystallins are available, the next step in analyzing the complex formation of β-crystallins, namely determining the quaternary level of structure, can be taken. The full-length human βB1-crystallin behaves as a dimer (Lampi et al., 2001), but undergoes further self-association at high protein concentrations (Bateman et al., 2001), unlike βB2-crystallin. The size of the refolded human βA4-crystallin (Bateman et al., 2003) has not been determined but the bovine homolog behaves as a dimer (Slingsby and Bateman, 1990). βA3-crystallin when freshly prepared is a dimer by dynamic light scattering. However, on gel permutation chromatography, βA3-crystallin at pH 5.8 was prone to higher order aggregation even in the presence of a reducing agent. Although similar aggregation behaviour occurs with a calf-rat βA3-crystallin chimera, in the human βA3-crystallin it is more marked. These solution conditions, one pH unit away from physiological, have allowed the trapping of a potential intermediary state involving aggregation before insolubilization. Definition of experimentally accessible soluble non-native states will aid in understanding the conformational changes that crystallins undergo during their long life in the lens.

Selected pairs of homodimers have been shown to subunit-exchange to form homo-oligomers. Human βA4-crystallin readily oligomerizes with human βB1-crystallin, a hetero-oligomer that can be purified because its pI differs markedly from that of the constituent subunits (Bateman et al., 2003). A truncated version of human βB1-crystallin, which lacks the first six amino acids of the N-terminal arm, formed assemblies with either βA4-crystallin or βA3-crystallin that were larger than heterodimers. The size of this βA3/βB1ΔN6-crystallin hetero-oligomer is indicative of a tetramer, but the equilibrium shifts to lower size at lower protein concentration indicative of a tetramer–dimer equilibrium. These results show that the basic β-crystallin can subunit-exchange to form higher order hetero-oligomers with βA3-crystallin, and that the hetero-oligomer is more stable, in terms of resistance to time-dependent aggregation, than the βA3-crystallin homodimer.

Two homodimer models are available for basic β-crystallins, the βB1-crystallin dimer or the βB2-crystallin dimer. It is unknown which arrangement the acidic β-crystallin homodimers take. It is also unknown what sort of heterodimer forms following subunit-exchange of βB2-crystallin homodimer and truncated βB1-crystallin homodimer, in other words which shape is dominant. The βB2-crystallin lattice tetramer serves as a potential model for heterotetramers of domain swapped dimers. The βγ-crystallin domain system is thus certainly versatile in terms of domain
assembly and may well have evolved to generate conformational and combinatorial diversity in
the oligomeric β-crystallins. Not only might this be a device for inhibiting crystallization at the
high protein concentration of the lens, but it could also contribute to forming a range of
oligomeric sizes that in turn contributes to providing an even protein distribution and refractive
index (Fig. 8).

Although higher assemblies of β-crystallins can be made in vitro, the size reached is not yet as
large as the in vivo assemblies. These findings provide an experimental basis to address the role of
the N-terminal extension of βB1-crystallin in higher assemblies. Clipping of this extension is
associated with disassembly and insolubilization in the human lens. Light scattering indicated
dimers at low protein concentration that self-associate as a function of protein concentration.
Major truncations from the N-terminal extension lead to anomalous behaviour on gel permeation
chromatography and altered solubility indicative of altered interactions (Bateman et al., 2001,
2003; Lampi et al., 2001).

The crystal packing of trhβB1 shows that even a truncated form of human βB1-crystallin can
contribute to assembly (van Montfort et al., 2003). The trhβB1 structure shows Pro 54 stacking
against the side chain of Trp 174 (Fig. 3) from a crystallographically related dimer consistent with
the region of the N-terminal sequence extension that is adjacent to the domain having a role in
higher assembly. Intriguingly, both circular dichroism and fluorescence spectroscopy show that
homodimer surface tryptophans become buried in βA3/βA1-βB1-crystallin heteromers (Bateman
et al., 2003). Therefore dimer–dimer interactions of the N-terminal sequence extension in the
trhβB1 crystal structure may be typical of more complex higher order molecular weight
interactions between basic and acidic β-crystallins in the lens.

Fig. 8. Structural polydispersity in the lens crystallins. The lens ζβγ-crystallins constitute an array of differently sized
proteins. Although the γ-crystallin family members are individually monodisperse, the oligomeric crystallins have the
potential of greater polydispersity. In the case of dimeric β-crystallins, not only is there the potential combinatorial
diversity due to the identity of monomer components, but also there is the possibility of conformational diversity
generated through use of interface selection in subunit pairing. This diversity can then only be increased in the higher-
order β-crystallin assemblies, although little precise information is available about them. In the case of ζ-crystallin, not
only is there the potential for diversity in the location of the ζA- and ζB-crystallin subunits in the assembly, there is also
evidence for variation in the number of assembly subunits. The ζ-crystallin cartoon is based on the model of
heteromeric lens ζ-crystallin by Tardieu and colleagues (Tardieu et al., 1986).
2.4. Microbial relatives and engineered $\beta_\gamma$-crystallins

There are no monomeric single-domain eye lens crystallins in nature. However, there are extremely stable microbial proteins with a double Greek key topology such as SMPI from *Streptomyces* (Ohno et al., 1998) and WmKT from yeast (Antuch et al., 1996), which may be examples of convergent evolution (Clout et al., 1997). There are also ancient family members in the microbial world such as the single domain Spherulin 3a (Wistow, 1990) from *Physarum*, and the two-domain spore coat component known as Protein S (Wistow et al., 1985) from *Myxococcus* which play a role in the organisms’ stress response. During stress, such as starvation, the *Physarum* plasmodium divides into dehydrated spherules containing several nuclei that over-express specific proteins, the most abundant being Spherulin 3a (Bernier et al., 1986, 1987). Upon starvation, *Myxococcus* cells differentiate into durable myxospores protected by a multi-layered spore coat containing Protein S (Kaiser et al., 1979; Inouye et al., 1980). These microbial relatives and recombinant single domains of $\beta_\gamma$-crystallins have been used to address issues concerning evolutionary relationships, oligomeric stability (see later) and solubility (Rudolph et al., 1990; Mayr et al., 1994; Jaenicke, 1999; Wenk et al., 1999, 2000). The microbial relatives are amenable to rigorous thermodynamic characterization (see later) and hence provide a benchmark for the less ideal multi-state behaviour of lens crystallins.

2.4.1. Structure of microbial relatives

NMR and X-ray studies have shown that Protein S comprises two similar domains connected by a short linker with each domain comprised of two similar Greek key motifs, a short $\alpha$-helix, and a pair of calcium binding sites (Bagby et al., 1994a–c; Wenk et al., 1999). Each domain has a single tyrosine corner (Fig. 9), and although compared with the lens $\beta_\gamma$-crystallins the motifs are permuted, the resultant core packing is similar to lens crystallins (Clout et al., 1997). This structural similarity increases the likelihood that they are ancestrally related to the lens crystallins.

![Comparison of Protein S and Spherulin 3a](image-url)

Fig. 9. Comparison of Protein S and Spherulin 3a with the $\beta_\gamma$-crystallin fold and showing the calcium binding sites. The double Greek key fold in two microbial proteins is very similar to the vertebrate $\beta_\gamma$-crystallin domain fold. The prokaryote Protein S fold is more similar to that of the vertebrate $\beta_\gamma$-crystallin domain fold as it includes a tyrosine corner, although the motifs are permuted with respect to each other. The mycetozoa Spherulin 3a fold is more distant from the other two and includes an N-terminal arm that extends one of the $\beta$-sheets. However, both microbial proteins have very similar pairs of calcium binding sites that are used to stabilize the fold. Although the Spherulin 3a fold is expressed as a monomer, none of the domains exist in isolation in solution, they domain pair in different ways.
The single domain Spherulin 3a protein (Fig. 9) is more distantly related to lens $\beta\gamma$-crystallins, it does not have a tyrosine corner but does have an N-terminal arm that extends a $\beta$-sheet that is unique to Spherulin 3a (Rosinke et al., 1997). However, the domain comprised a pair of 2-fold symmetric calcium-binding sites (Fig. 9) that were very similar to those found in the N-terminal domain of Protein S (Wenk et al., 1999; Clout et al., 2001). This structural similarity to Protein S supports membership of Spherulin 3a to the $\beta\gamma$-crystallin fold family. However, sequence/structure comparisons showed that these calcium-binding sites are not conserved in lens crystallins (Clout et al., 2001), although recent experimental studies have attributed equivalent binding sites to lens $\beta\gamma$-crystallins (Rajini et al., 2001).

Higher domain assembly in the microbial proteins is quite different from the lens crystallins. The X-ray crystal structure of Spherulin 3a shows a 2-fold symmetric dimer held together by an extensive interface but different from domain pairing in lens $\beta\gamma$-crystallins (Fig. 6b and c) (Clout et al., 2001). No concentration-dependent dissociation of the dimer was observed by ultracentrifugal analysis, indicating that the monomer fold may be dependent on dimerization (Kretschmar et al., 1999a, b). Attempts to construct subdomains from a Spherulin 3a single motif were unsuccessful due to aggregation and/or misfolding and subsequent degradation of the truncated protein (Kretschmar, 1999). In the absence of reducing agents intermolecular cystine bridges lead to the formation of higher oligomers. The X-ray structure shows that they are suitably placed for forming a symmetric tetramer. The two domains in Protein S form an intramolecular interface, but it is non-symmetric and hence quite different from both the $\beta\gamma$-crystallin and the Spherulin 3a domain-pairing interfaces.

2.4.2. Engineered single domain and domain-permuted crystallins

The idea that symmetrical domain pairing in $\beta\gamma$-crystallins evolved from an ancestral single domain homodimer (Blundell et al., 1981) was supported by the observation that the N-terminal domain of rat $\beta$B2-crystallin forms a homodimer in solution (Wieligmann et al., 1999) that resembled the prototype interface (Clout et al., 2000). However, isolated domains of $\gamma$B-crystallin (or $\gamma$S-crystallin) form neither homodimers nor heterodimers in solution (Mayr et al., 1997); obviously, the domain interactions in the intact two-domain protein require the high local concentrations defined by the short linker peptide. Several of these C-terminal domains can form homodimers in their crystal lattices, thus demonstrating that the interface region of the C-terminal domain is complementary to itself. However, crystallographic studies showed that the complete C-terminal domain of bovine $\gamma$B-crystallin (with its four-residue C-terminal extension) forms heterologous interactions with other domains leading to the solvent exposure of the natural interface. On the other hand, this same C-terminal domain, truncated by just the C-terminal tyrosine residue, does form a symmetrical homodimer of domains in the crystal lattice. The correct dimerization is inhibited by the C-terminal tyrosine alone (Norledge et al., 1996). The consequence is that single domains unable to domain pair can decrease in protein solubility from ca. 500 to $<10$ mg/ml indicating how, at the limit of solubility, misassembly due to wrong domain interactions leads to aggregation, precipitation and sometimes crystallization (Norledge et al., 1996; Mayr et al., 1997).

In following up the hypothesis that the linker between the domains and the extensions at the terminal ends determine the state of association of $\beta\gamma$-crystallins, another series of experiments made use of circular permutants of the domains. In these constructs the N- and C-terminal
domains are linked inversely, and the original polypeptide chain clipped at the linker region allowing a stable structure to be formed. In numerous cases, circularly permutated proteins (CP-Ps) have been shown to possess native structure and function (cf. Jaenicke, 1999), proving that vectorial folding from the N- to the C-terminus is not a necessary requirement for correct folding. In designing a circularly permuted βB2-crystallin construct (CP-βB2), an attempt was made to mimic γ-crystallin by clipping the linker of βB2INC, and joining residues 175 and −1. The protein formed a dimer in solution (cf. Table 3). At first sight, this suggested that the intended γ-crystallin like topology was not realized. However, the crystal structure revealed that the native domain-swapped βB2 subunit had converted to intramolecular domain pairing on permutation (Wright et al., 1998) like the crystal structure of truncated human βB1-crystallin (van Montfort et al., 2003). The two γ-crystallin like monomers associate to form a dimer that resembles one half of the native lattice tetramer, and also like the human βB1-crystallin (van Montfort et al., 2003). Urea- and temperature-induced denaturation transitions gave evidence for a slight increase in stability, in keeping with the additional buried domain interfaces. However, (c1/2,urea) and Tm were in no way comparable with the extreme stability limits of bovine γB-crystallin, thus indicating that the stability in this case does not follow the topology and domain organization, but resides in the individual domains (Wieligmann et al., 1998, 1999).

3. Stability of crystallins

Age-related cataract resulting from wrong protein–protein assemblies or associations can be caused by protein unfolding. Understanding crystallin stability, and the effect of post-translational modifications thereon, is a prerequisite for trying to decrease the rate of protein unfolding and thus delay age-related cataract.

3.1. Physical basis of protein stability in dilute solution

The stability of proteins refers to either covalent or conformational changes. Non-covalent denaturation is not necessarily reversible, especially in the case of modular or oligomeric proteins, which are prone to aggregation and coagulation due to wrong interdomain or intersubunit interactions as side-reactions on the folding/association pathway (Jaenicke and Seckler, 1997). To quantify the thermodynamic stability of a given protein, the transition from the native (N) to the denatured (U) state needs to be complete and fully reversible (Pfeil, 1998). If both requirements are fulfilled, the “two-state equilibrium”

\[ \text{N} \overset{K}{\Leftrightarrow} \text{U} \]  

allows the free energy of stabilization (\( \Delta G_{\text{stab}} \)) to be calculated according to

\[ \Delta G_{\text{stab}} = -RT \ln K \]  

(with \( R \) is the gas constant, \( T \) the absolute temperature and \( K \) the equilibrium constant). For small, monomeric, single-domain proteins, which usually obey the two-state approximation, the analysis is straightforward. However, for more complex (multi-domain or oligomeric) proteins,
often this is not the case, either because intermediates (I) give rise to more than one transition,
\[ \text{N} \leftrightarrow \text{I} \leftrightarrow \text{U} \]  
(3)
or because side reactions, such as misfolding and/or aggregation interfere with the two-state equilibrium
\[ \text{N} \leftrightarrow \text{I} \leftrightarrow \text{U} \]  
(4)

In such cases, relative stabilities have often been used to obtain at least qualitative data, e.g. for homologs from different species, or for wild-type and mutant proteins. To give the rank order of stability, here commonly the onset of thermal unfolding or deactivation (\( T_m \)), or the denaturant concentration at the N→U transition midpoint (\( c_{1/2,\text{urea}} \), \( c_{1/2,\text{GdmCl}} \) for urea and guanidinium chloride as chaotropic additives) are used, ignoring reversibility. As shown in Table 4, the denaturation of small standard globular proteins is characterized by a Gibbs free-energy change of the order 40 kJ/mol (\( \sim 10 \) kcal/mol); small proteins exhibit even smaller \( \Delta G_{\text{stab}} \) values (cf. data for mesophilic, thermophilic and hyperthermophilic cold-shock protein). Thus, in spite of the large number of non-covalent contacts maintaining the native structure, the free energy of stabilization is minute compared to the total molecular energy (Baldwin and Eisenberg, 1987). It represents a small difference between large numbers, originating from the contributions of attractive and repulsive forces that are responsible for the close packing and the minimization of hydrophobic surface area characteristic for the native state of globular proteins in aqueous solution. In terms of the relevant weak interactions, it is the equivalent of a few hydrogen bonds or hydrophobic interactions, or just one or two ion pairs; with this subtle compensation, it allows the functionally important compromise between rigidity (stability), on the one hand, and flexibility (folding/function/degradation), on the other (for details, see Dill (1990) and Jaenicke (1991a,b, 1999)). Obvious differences in \( \Delta G_{\text{stab}} \) are attributable to different purposes of proteins; for example, structural proteins and proteins from thermophiles need to be more stable than protein hormones or normal mesophilic enzymes involved in metabolic regulation. Evidently, crystallins with their anomalous long-term stability belong to the first category, but still, their free energy of stabilization does not exceed the above range significantly. At this point, examples such as RNase A, lysozyme, Spherulin 3a, and myoglobin illustrate that changes in net charge, or ligand binding may strongly effect the free energy of stabilization (Privalov, 1979, 1982, 1992; Pfieil, 1998; Kretschmar and Jaenicke, 1999; Wenk et al., 2000).

The fact that proteins exist close to the borderline of denaturation does not allow general strategies of protein stabilization to be formulated (Böhme and Jaenicke, 1994; Jaenicke and Böhme, 1998). As a state function, \( \Delta G_{\text{stab}} \) is additive. According to the Gibbs–Helmholtz equation
\[ \Delta G_{\text{stab}} = \Delta H_{\text{stab}} - T \Delta S_{\text{stab}}, \]  
(5)
it is composed of enthalpic and entropic contributions attributable to weak interactions, on the one hand, and water release (from ionized and non-polar residues) or changes in the rotational
Table 4
Stability parameters of selected globular proteins in aqueous solutiona

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteinb</th>
<th>Number of residues</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Molecular mass (kDa)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$T_m$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{stab}$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Denaturation approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monomers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP (B. subtilis)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>G, heat, kinetics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome c (B) (chicken)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnase</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>36.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcal nuclease</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>23.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>G (20°C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligomers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDH (mitochondrial)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.1/19.2</td>
<td>(2 transitions, G)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GroEL (E. coli)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>13.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect of net charge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribonuclease A</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>18.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>36.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>38.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>38.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysozyme (hen egg white)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0-7.0</td>
<td>36.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>4.0-7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect of ligands</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myoglobin (horse) (Apo-Mb)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>44.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>G, U, acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherulin 3a (C4S) (+3 mM Ca$^{2+}$)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>G, U, acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect of fragmentation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermolysin (121–316 fragment)</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>G, heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>G, heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$-S-Crystallin (H)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>75c</td>
<td>84 ± 10c</td>
<td>G, heat, DSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N-terminal domain)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>42 ± 5c</td>
<td>G, heat, DSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C-terminal domain)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>48 ± 5c</td>
<td>G, heat, DSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proteins from mesophiles and thermophiles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP (B. subtilis)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>G, heat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B. caldolyticus)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>20.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>G, heat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T. maritima)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>26.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>G, heat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and translational degrees of freedom (proline/glycine substitutions, deletion of loops, altered states of oligomerization), on the other. Details regarding the increments have been worked out for ultrastable proteins from hyperthermophiles (Jaenicke and Böhmer, 2001; Petsko, 2001; Jaenicke and Sterner, 2002).

Apart from the free energy differences between N and U, a high free energy of activation ($\Delta G_{\text{N} \rightarrow \text{U}}$) along the N→U unfolding pathway may govern protein stability as a consequence of a decreased unfolding rate (“kinetic stabilization”). If the reaction mechanism does not show full reversibility (Eqs. (3) and (4)), the overall kinetics may be modelled by

$$N \overset{k_{\text{N} \rightarrow \text{U}}}{\underset{k_{\text{U} \rightarrow \text{N}}}{\rightleftharpoons}} I_{\text{N} \rightarrow \text{U}} \rightarrow \text{U}$$

(6)
with I as a partially unfolded intermediate, and $k_{N \rightarrow I}$, $k_{I \rightarrow N}$ and $k_{I \rightarrow U}$ as rate constants for the respective reversible and irreversible transitions. Now, the observed rate of the overall process $k_{\text{obs}} = (k_{N \rightarrow I} \cdot k_{I \rightarrow U})/(k_{I \rightarrow N} + k_{I \rightarrow U})$ is determined by the rate of partial unfolding ($k_{N \rightarrow I}$), since only I undergoes irreversible denaturation to U. Obviously, for the long-term stability of eye lens crystallins, i.e. for lens transparency, the kinetic stability of N may be highly significant, because low unfolding rates under physiological conditions keep the level of unfolded protein low, this way minimizing irreversible processes such as chemical modification and subsequent aggregation. Kinetic barriers to unfolding have indeed been suggested for bovine $\gamma$F-crystallin and human $\gamma$D-crystallin (Das and Liang, 1998; Kosinski-Collins and King, 2003). In the case of Spherulin 3a, reversible unfolding experiments have shown that in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ unfolding is slowed down by an order of magnitude; the free energy of stabilization of unliganded and liganded Spherulin 3a was found to be 80 and 140 kJ/mol, respectively (Kretschmar et al., 1999b).

In concentrated protein solutions, there are two further kinetic effects in connection with protein stability. Firstly, there is the kinetic competition between folding and assembly of either subunits or domains according to Eq. (4), and secondly, effects of viscosity and crowding (cf. Section 3.2). The formation of the native quaternary structure as well as proper docking of domains requires complementary interfaces formed in precursor reactions preceding association. Collisions of intermediates with internal residues on their periphery are expected to cause misassembly, i.e. aggregation, precipitation into inclusion bodies, amyloid formation, etc. (Jaenicke and Seckler, 1997). It is within this context, whereby $\beta\gamma$-crystallins are formed from multi-domain monomers and oligomers, and $\alpha$-crystallin is constructed from a domain whose fold and stability is dependent on higher assembly, that “kinetic partitioning” causes many of the crystallins to aggregate irreversibly on unfolding. Although these characteristics hinder their measurement of free energy of stabilization and make them challenging molecules for understanding the molecular origins of their stability, they are likely responsible for crystallin aggregation with time in the lens. Insights into this aggregation process may lead to its inhibition in the lens.

3.2. Stability in the cell, macromolecular crowding

Protein folding/unfolding has been reported to be viscosity dependent (Bieri and Kiefhaber, 2000). In the corresponding in vitro studies, it is difficult to separate effects of friction from the stabilization caused by visogenic additives (e.g. sucrose or other polyols). However, performing experiments at constant protein stability showed clearly that both the rates of unfolding and folding are viscosity dependent (Jacob, 2000). Considering the viscosity of highly concentrated cytosol in the eye-lens fibre cells, one would predict that the native state of crystallins in situ may also be favoured by friction-induced kinetic stabilization. Further stabilization may come from macromolecular crowding, as a consequence of excluded-volume effects at high protein concentration. A general view of excluded-volume effects on reactions that lead either to protein assembly or aggregation has recently been given by Minton (2000a). At the given high protein level, in which the different macromolecular species occupy a large fraction of the total volume of the solution, crowding may affect a wide variety of reactions: (i) Folding and association of proteins (Jaenicke, 1996, 1998), (ii) binding of folding/unfolding intermediates to molecular chaperones, e.g. $\alpha$-crystallin (Horwitz, 1992; Carver et al., 1994a; Ehrnsperger et al.,
1998; van den Berg et al., 1999, 2000; Berr et al., 2000), (iii) reversible binding of folding intermediates to (non-chaperone) proteins, (iv) as a consequence of (iii), self-assembly of homo- or hetero-oligomers and multimers (Lindner and Ralston, 1995, 1997; Liu et al., 1996; Rivas et al., 1999), (v) irreversible aggregation of misfolded proteins to form large particles (Wilf et al., 1985; Jaenicke and Seckler, 1997; Lansbury, 1999; Dobson, 1999), and finally, (vi) assembly of proteins to membranes, e.g. of fibre cells. In the various processes, interactions may be individually weak, however, the cumulative effect may be significant in a crowded environment, for the simple reason that steric repulsion by excluded volume effects reduces the configurational entropy. This, in turn, increases the Gibbs free energy of the solution and, hence, stabilizes the native structure of globular proteins relative to less compact non-native structures (Tellam et al., 1983; Minton, 2000a, b). At the upper limit of solubility, where phase separation may take place, “over-crowding” may enhance aggregation reactions of non-native proteins, leading to increased light scattering. Apart from thermodynamic stabilization, volume exclusion in crowded solutions may also reduce the rates of diffusion-controlled reactions as a consequence of decreased diffusional mobility of the reactants. On the other hand, high protein levels have been shown to enhance both the tendency and the rate of protein aggregation (Jaenicke, 1987; Jaenicke and Seckler, 1997; Minton, 2000a, b; Jaenicke and Slingsby, 2001).

Protein components taken out of the cell and purified to homogeneity, often exhibit drastic destabilization compared to their in vivo characteristics. Apart from the above friction-dependent and macromolecular crowding effects on stabilization, extrinsic factors such as ions, cofactors or substrates as well as compatible solutes need to be considered as well. Typical compatible solvent components are proline, glycerol or other polyhydric compounds. Their stabilizing effects are linked to the preferential hydration of proteins, due to non-specific interactions related to the perturbation of the solvent structure in contact with the protein surface. The predominant mechanism is an increase in the surface tension of water by the osmolyte; the system reacts by maintaining a minimal total protein–solvent interface, corresponding to maximal hydration and a deficiency of cosolvent in the protein surface (Carpenter et al., 1993; Timasheff and Arakawa, 1997; Knapp et al., 1999).

3.3. Stability of α-crystallin

Native (bovine) α-crystallin when isolated from the lens is a polydisperse hetero-assembly containing about 40 subunits of αA- and αB-crystallin in a ratio of 3 to 1 (for review, see Horwitz, 2003). Homotypic αA- or αB-crystallin, prepared from recombinant proteins or following reassembly of one type of subunit after purification from disaggregated lens α-crystallin, assemble into smaller homo-oligomers of about 30–35 subunits with, for αB-crystallin, a dominant species of 28 subunits (Aquilina et al., 2003). α-Crystallin can be readily denatured by heat, urea or GdmCl, following pathways that include both changes in the secondary structure and the state of assembly but, not surprising given the complexity of the α-crystallin assembly, both assembly size and chaperone properties of renatured α-crystallin differ from native α-crystallin (Doss-Pepe et al., 1998; Putilina et al., 2003). Upon heating, both infrared and circular dichroism spectroscopy showed that α-crystallin undergoes a thermal transition around 61°C (Surewicz and Olesen, 1995; Das et al., 1997; Raman and Rao, 1997). It was shown later on that in addition to the local changes, α-crystallin doubles in size and number of subunits around 60°C but does not aggregate
further (Burgio et al., 2000; Putilina et al., 2003). When separate subunits are used, zA-crystallin also remains soluble up to 100°C but zB-crystallin starts to precipitate around 65°C (Sun et al., 1998; van Boekel et al., 1999; Liang et al., 2000). The observation that some z-crystallins do not precipitate under severe stress conditions is consistent with the notion that the zA subunit in particular can bind and hence chaperone itself (see Section 7).

As followed by fluorescence emission, bovine z-crystallin is 50% unfolded at approximately 1 M GdmCl (Santini et al., 1992; Das and Liang, 1997; Table 5). However, as zA-crystallin contains only a single Trp (at position 9) and zB-contains only two (at positions 9 and 60), fluorescence emission would only report on the unfolding of the N-terminal region. It is thus not surprising that difference absorbance at 287 nm or far-UV CD measurements indicate that z-crystallin only unfolds at a higher GdmCl concentration, with 50% loss of structure at about 1.5 M GdmCl (Das and Liang, 1997) or 2.6 M GdmCl (Santini et al., 1992). Urea denaturation showed less difference between the physical techniques used to follow unfolding: fluorescence spectroscopy showed z-crystallin to be 50% unfolded at about 2.9 M urea (van den Oetelaar and Hoenders, 1989a; Santini et al., 1992), while difference absorbance at 287 nm gave a value of about 3.2 M urea (Santini et al., 1992). In these experiments only a single transition was seen, yet the stabilities of zA- and zB-crystallin have been reported to be quite different. In urea, bovine zB-crystallin was 50% unfolded at about 1 M while for bovine zA-crystallin about 3 M was required (as indicated by Trp fluorescence, van den Oetelaar and Hoenders, 1989a). Using GdmCl as denaturing agent, the ΔG for human zA-crystallin was reported to be around 27 kJ/mol and that for zB-crystallin 21 kJ/mol (Sun et al., 1999). Carver et al. (1993a) have probed the stability of the (bovine) zA- and zB-crystallin using NMR. The C-terminal domain of zB-crystallin started unfolding at 2.5 M urea, the N-terminal domain only at 4.0 M urea. For unfolding of the C-terminal domain of zA-crystallin 4 M urea (at pH 3.9) is required. Hence, most data indicate that zB-crystallin is less stable than zA-crystallin and that this protein is stabilized in the z-crystallin assembly by its interaction with zA-crystallin (Sun and Liang, 1998).

Studies on the stability and/or chaperone properties of z-crystallin have used either bovine lens z-crystallin or human recombinant zA- or zB-crystallin. At present there is no evidence that human z-crystallin behaves significantly different from its bovine ortholog or from the individual subunit assemblies. Nevertheless, caution should be taken in extrapolating data obtained with bovine z-crystallin to human z-crystallin. Human zA-crystallin differs at eight positions from bovine zA-crystallin (A4T, E91D, S142C, P147Q, S148T, G153T, S155A, S168T; notation is for bovine→human); human zB-crystallin at four (A40T, I61F, A132T, A152V). It is clear that in other crystallins, for example γS- or βB2-crystallin, seemingly innocuous changes in amino acid sequence can result in marked differences in stability: human γS-crystallin is more stable than bovine γS-crystallin; human βB2-crystallin aggregates upon thermal denaturation, bovine βB2-crystallin does not (see below). Caution should be taken as well in extrapolating data obtained with homotypic oligomers of zA- or zB-crystallin to the heteromeric native lens z-crystallin.

3.4. Stability of the β- and γ-crystallins

The unfolding of the β- and γ-crystallins is most easily monitored by fluorescence. The γ-crystallins have a total of four buried Trp residues, two in motif 2 and two at equivalent
positions in motif 4 (Fig. 3). The \( \beta \)-crystallins also contain Trp residues at the same motif 2 and 4 positions (except for \( \beta B2 \)-crystallin where the second Trp in motif 4 has been replaced by a Phe) but they also have Trp residues at more exposed positions in the motif fold and even in the C-terminal extension. In those cases where protein unfolding has also been followed by the change in CD spectrum, a good agreement with stability measurements obtained by following changes in Trp fluorescence was found (Das and Liang, 1998; Wenk et al., 2000; Fu and Liang, 2002; Kim et al., 2002).

A survey of data concerning stability of the \( \beta \)- and \( \gamma \)-crystallins (and, for comparison, \( \alpha \)-crystallins) culled from the literature is presented in Table 5. These data should be interpreted with caution as stability measures are dependent upon the experimental conditions (pH, ionic strength, etc.).

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>( C_{1/2, \text{urea}} ) (M)</th>
<th>( C_{1/2, \text{GdmCl}} ) (M)</th>
<th>( \Delta G ) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>( T_m ) (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha ) (bovine)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.5–2.6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Not reversible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha A ) (human)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Reversibility not demonstrated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha B ) (human)</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Reversibility not demonstrated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta A1 ) (human)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta A3 ) (human)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta A1 ) (calf/rat)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta A3 ) (calf/rat)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta A4 ) (human)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta B1 ) (human)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta B1 ) (human) N-terminal domain</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Measured in the full length protein using a spin label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta B2 ) (human)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1; 2.2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Reversibility not demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta B2 ) (rat)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.8 (at pH 6.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Folding not reversible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma B ) (bovine)</td>
<td>2.1/5.1 (at pH 7.0)</td>
<td>2.6 (at pH 6.0)</td>
<td>55/48 (at pH 2.0)</td>
<td>Bimodal transition N- and C-terminal domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma C ) (human)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Reversibility not demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma D ) (human)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>43 (at pH 2.0)</td>
<td>Folding not reversible at 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma F ) (bovine)</td>
<td>3.7 (in 1.5 M GdmCl)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Reversibility not demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma S ) (human)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma S ) (human) N-terminal domain</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma S ) (human) C-terminal domain</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma S ) (bovine)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a \) The transition midpoints for urea, GdmCl or thermal denaturation are indicated as well as the Gibbs free energy (\( \Delta G \)) where known. For references, see legends to Tables 3 and 6 and van den Oetelaar and Hoenders (1989a), Santini et al. (1992), Mayr et al. (1994), Trinkl et al. (1994), Werten et al. (1996), Das and Liang (1997, 1998), Sun and Liang (1998), Wieligmann et al. (1998, 1999), Pande et al. (2000), Wieligmann (2000), Fu and Liang (2002), Kim et al. (2002), Bateman et al. (2003) and Kosinski-Collins and King (2003).
strength, temperature and protein concentration) which may differ between laboratories. For instance, the literature data suggest that human \( \beta \)B2-crystallin is more stable than the rat \( \beta \)B2-crystallin, yet a direct comparison of the urea denaturation of these two proteins showed identical behaviour (unpubl. results). Furthermore, as argued above, for thermodynamic calculations reversible denaturation needs to be shown; this was found to be a problem in the case of dimeric rat \( \beta \)B2-crystallin (Jaenicke, 1999), bovine \( \gamma \)B-crystallin (Rudolph et al., 1990) and human \( \gamma \)D-crystallin (Kosinski-Collins and King, 2003). The latter protein did fold reversibly in above 1 M GdmCl, neutral pH and 37°C, with aggregation into fibrils competing with refolding at lower chaotrope concentration (Kosinski-Collins and King, 2003). In general, these data show that the \( \gamma \)-crystallins are more stable than the \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-crystallins, with \( \gamma \)S-crystallin probably less stable than the other branch of \( \gamma \)-crystallins given the conditions required for denaturation of the \( \gamma \)A-F-crystallins.

With respect to the stability of the \( \beta \)-crystallins it must be remembered that, except for \( \beta \)B2-crystallin which is found in the lens as a homodimer, these proteins are taken out of context, as they naturally occur as heteromers. The stabilization of \( \beta \)-crystallins by heterodimerization is evident from the fact that both \( \beta \)B1- and \( \beta \)A3-crystallin tend to aggregate while the \( \beta \)A3/\( \beta \)B1-crystallin hetero-oligomer is stable (Bateman et al., 2001, 2003). The effect of \( \beta \)-crystallin hetero-oligomer formation on thermodynamic stability has not yet been systematically explored. One of the experimental problems encountered is the difference in fluorescence yield from the different \( \beta \)-crystallins upon denaturing, which for example makes it impossible to detect the signal from \( \beta \)A4-crystallin in the context of the \( \beta \)A4/\( \beta \)B1-crystallin hetero-oligomer. In the case of the \( \beta \)A1/\( \beta \)B1-hetero-oligomer, clear stabilization of the \( \beta \)A1-crystallin was seen. CD spectra of this hetero-oligomer suggested that hetero-oligomer formation was accompanied by a change in secondary structure (Bateman et al., 2003). For three crystallins, bovine \( \gamma \)B-crystallin, human and bovine \( \gamma \)S-crystallin and rat \( \beta \)B2-crystallin extensive experiments have been undertaken to determine the contribution of domain stability and domain interaction to the stabilities of these proteins. These results will be discussed in more detail below.

### 3.4.1. \( \gamma \)B-crystallin

Intact bovine \( \gamma \)B-crystallin requires complete protonation and high concentrations of chaotropic agents to accomplish denaturation. \( \gamma \)B-Crystallin preserves its native structure at pH 1–10; in 0.1 M phosphate, at pH 7, it is stable up to 75°C and, even at pH 2, to reach the unfolded state requires exceedingly high urea concentrations (Fig. 10a, upper frame). Thermal denaturation beyond the given temperature limit is only partially reversible so that calorimetry does not allow thermodynamic data to be determined (Rudolph et al., 1990). At pH 2 a three-state N ⇌ I ⇌ U unfolding mechanism is found, in which in the intermediate the C-terminal domain is unfolded while the N-terminal domain remains in its native state (Rudolph et al., 1990). At pH 2 the free energies of the N- and C-terminal domains are found to be 5 and 16 kJ/mol, respectively (Mayr et al., 1997). This difference is explained by the difference in net-charges: both have an equal number of acidic and basic residues, however, in the C-terminal domain the total number is higher. The resulting charge difference of +3 is responsible for the difference in stability. At pH 4–7, the charge difference, and thus the population of the intermediate, becomes negligible (Fig. 10a, lower frame).
Unfolding experiments showed that for the isolated domains less stringent pH conditions are required for denaturation, confirming the correlation between the local charge distribution and domain stability, on the one hand, and the contribution of domain pairing to the intrinsic stability of the intact two-domain protein, on the other (Fig. 10a). The interaction and mutual stabilization of domains depend on their close proximity, illustrating the significance of the local concentration of the interacting modules (Jencks, 1969; Kirby, 1980). The importance of the spatial and
chemical complementarity of the interacting surfaces may be illustrated by point mutations in the domain interface in intact \( \gamma B \)-crystallin, in which hydrophobic residues make van der Waals contacts with each other (Palme et al., 1997, 1998a, b). A demonstration of the linker length on stabilization was provided by a circular permutation experiment in which the choice of the clipping sites allows the local concentration and the correct interaction of the domains to be optimized. In the case of \( \gamma B \)-crystallin, circular permutation was accomplished by deleting Gly 86 in the original linker, and by changing Thr 87 and Thr 85 into the new N- and C-termini; triglycine and pentaglycine were inserted as connectors (Table 6, upper part). Both constructs, CP-\( \gamma B (G_3) \) and CP-\( \gamma B (G_5) \), were found to be monomeric, showing identical equilibrium unfolding transitions, with no effects of the local domain concentration and no indication for hydrophobic docking of the domains. Instead, the transitions resembled the superimposed transitions of the separate N- and C-terminal domains of the parent molecule.

3.4.2. \( \gamma S \)-crystallin

In human \( \gamma S \)-crystallin the charge difference between the two domains at low pH is negligible. As a consequence, chaotropic agents induce reversible two-state N ↔ U equilibrium transitions without detectable amounts of the “tailed one-domain intermediate”. This holds over a wide temperature and pH range, allowing a detailed thermodynamic analysis (Wenk et al., 2000). Interestingly, bovine and human \( \gamma S \)-crystallin and their isolated domains, in spite of their high structural homology, differ in their free energies of stabilization and their tendency to form nicked \( \gamma S \), i.e. heterodimers of the isolated domains (Table 6, lower part). Since the 3D structure of the complete protein is still unknown, no rationale for the differences can be given. In summarizing presently available data, \( \gamma S \)- and \( \gamma B \)-crystallin differ in their structurally and thermodynamically relevant characteristics at three levels: (i) the length of the connecting peptides, four in \( \gamma B \)-crystallin, five in \( \gamma S \)-crystallin, (ii) the distribution of ion pairs on the surface of the two molecules, and (iii) the interactions at the interfaces between the domains. In the case of \( \gamma B \)-crystallin, the latter prevent a sound thermodynamic analysis; for \( \gamma S \)-crystallin and its isolated domains this was accessible. The results show that, in spite of the fact that we are dealing with “ultrastable proteins”, the free energy of stabilization is marginal: \( \Delta G_{\text{stab}} \) for intact \( \gamma S \)-crystallin and its N- and C-terminal domains amounts to 84, 42 and 48 kJ/mol, respectively. Correcting for the molecular mass, all three values coincide (\( \Delta G_{\text{stab}}^* = 4.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ kJ/mol} \)); this shows clearly that in \( \gamma S \)-crystallin, domain interactions do not contribute significantly to the overall stability, in contrast to \( \gamma B \)-crystallin (Mayr et al., 1994, 1997; Palme et al., 1997, 1998a, b; Wenk et al., 2000).

3.4.3. Stability of \( \beta B2 \)-crystallins

The dimeric \( \beta B2 \)-crystallin shows a two-step unfolding reaction with a concentration-dependent first step (Wieligmann et al., 1999). The intermediate in the unfolding reaction is a partially unfolded monomer, with an unfolded N-terminal domain and a folded C-terminal domain. Dissociation of the dimer and unfolding of the N-terminal domain are thus simultaneous. Reversibility of the unfolding/dissociation reaction can be accomplished; however, the concentration-dependent association step does not allow the two-state approximation to be applied for a quantitative thermodynamic evaluation. Using a three state model, Fu and Liang (2002) calculated a \( \Delta G \) of 36.6 kJ/mol for the first transition (N ↔ U) and a \( \Delta G \) of 12.7 kJ/mol for the second transition (U ↔ N) for human \( \beta B2 \)-crystallin (at 0.1 mg/ml). These numbers must be
Table 6
Molecular characteristics of γB- and γS-crystallin variants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Residues</th>
<th>(M_{\text{calc}}) (Da)</th>
<th>(M_{\text{obs}}) (Da)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>(T_m) (°C)</th>
<th>(\Delta G) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>(\Delta G^*) (kJ/g)</th>
<th>(c_{1/2,\text{urea}}) (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bovine γB-crystallin and γB-mutants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγB (1–174)</td>
<td>20 965</td>
<td>20 700</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48/55</td>
<td>(2.1/5.1^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F56W)</td>
<td>21 005</td>
<td>21 500</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.5/4.0(^{b,c})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F56A)</td>
<td>20 890</td>
<td>20 200</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.0/4.0(^{b,c})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F56D)</td>
<td>20 934</td>
<td>21 000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.8/4.0(^{b,c})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγB-N (1–87)</td>
<td>10 403</td>
<td>11 000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγB-C (87–174)</td>
<td>10 692</td>
<td>10 750</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.7±0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγB-C (87–174)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25.5±1.6</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγB-C (87–174)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34.8±2.6</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγB-C (87–174)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36.0±1.9</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγB[N+C](^d)</td>
<td>21 095</td>
<td>12 000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.7/5.1(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP-BγB(^e)</td>
<td>~20 000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.7/5.1(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγB-L(^f)</td>
<td>20 960</td>
<td>22 500</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>~1</td>
<td>2.1/5.1(^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine γS-crystallin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγS (1–177)</td>
<td>20 796</td>
<td>21 400</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγS-N (6–177)</td>
<td>20 362</td>
<td>20 870</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγS-N (1–91)</td>
<td>10 513</td>
<td>10 710</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγS-C (93–177)</td>
<td>10 174</td>
<td>10 310</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BγS[N+C](^d)</td>
<td>20 687</td>
<td>15 500(^f)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human γS-crystallin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HγS (1–177)</td>
<td>20 876</td>
<td>21 500</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>75±1</td>
<td>84±0</td>
<td>4.0±0.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HγS-N (1–90)</td>
<td>10 480</td>
<td>10 990</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>70±1</td>
<td>42±5</td>
<td>4.0±0.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HγS-C (91–177)</td>
<td>10 413</td>
<td>10 710</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>78±1</td>
<td>48±5</td>
<td>4.5±0.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HγS[N+C](^d)</td>
<td>20 893</td>
<td>10 180</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) BγB, BγS, and HγS refer to bovine γB-crystallin, bovine γS-crystallin and human γS-crystallin. γB-N, γB-C, γS-N, γS-C, and γS-C stand for the isolated N- and C-terminal domains, and numbers in round and square brackets for residue numbers and equimolar mixtures of domains, respectively. \(M_{\text{calc}}, M_{\text{obs}}\): molecular masses, from ultracentrifugal analysis; \(z\): calculated net charge at given pH values; \(T_m\): temperature of thermal denaturation; \(\Delta G\) and \(\Delta G^*\): Gibbs free energy at 20°C (kJ/mol) and corrected for given molecular masses, respectively; \(c_{1/2,\text{urea}}\): transition midpoints of urea denaturation. Data from Mayr et al. (1994, 1997), Palme et al. (1997, 1998a, b), Jaenicke (1999) and Wenk et al. (2000).

\(^b\) Transition midpoints of urea denaturation for the N- and C-terminal domains of intact wild-type BγB and F56 mutants differing in critical interdomain interactions.

\(^c\) Isolated N-terminal domains do not differ in \(c_{1/2,\text{urea}}\). At \(z = 0\), BγB-N (F56A) shows anomalous dimerisation.

\(^d\) In analytical ultracentrifugation, equimolar mixtures of the N- and C-terminal domains do not indicate significant domain association at protein concentrations up to 5 mg/ml.

\(^e\) In circular permutants of BγB with the sequence (87–174)-L-(1–84), varying the length of the glycine linker (L) from G\(_3\) to G\(_5\) has no effect on the stability and the monomeric state of the construct.

\(^f\) The sedimentation coefficients of the separate domains and their mixture differ by ~25%.
interpreted with care as reversibility of the unfolding reaction was not demonstrated in an unambiguous way. Thus, the data may not meet the requirements for a sound thermodynamic analysis.

Qualitatively, unfolding/refolding experiments clearly indicate that βB2-crystallin is much less stable than γ-crystallins in spite of the structural similarity of the domains and domain interactions (Fig. 11). This is surprising, bearing in mind that increased states of association belong to the most effective strategies of protein stabilization (Jaenicke and Sterner, 2002).

The domain and subunit interactions of βB2-crystallin are effected in an unpredictable way when altering the linker peptides or the N- and C-terminal extensions that protrude from the surface of the dumb-bell shaped monomers at both termini. Truncation mutants (βB2ΔNC), with the N- and C-terminal “arms” removed, were shown to form βB2-crystallin dimers and tetramers. Subjecting the tetramer fraction to urea denaturation and subsequent renaturation yielded exclusively the native dimer, proving that in free solution this represents the most stable form of the protein (Trinkl et al., 1994). Comparing the X-ray structures, the truncated form of the tetramer has a set of domain interactions very similar to the native lattice tetramer, however, the structures differ in the relative orientation of the two sets of four domains (Norledge et al., 1997b).

The N-terminal domain of βB2-crystallin provides another example of where higher-order interactions cause mutual stabilization of the protomer fold (Jaenicke, 1999). Pinpointing the structural basis for the lower stability is difficult, considering that pairwise comparisons of the various N- and C-terminal domains of β- and γ-crystallins show only around 30% sequence identity. However, in native βB2-crystallin, the N-terminal domain is stabilized at the expense of the C-terminal one. A contribution to this stability redistribution may reside in asymmetric structural features of the interface, namely the covering of a surface hydrophobic patch on the N-terminal domain by a constrained sequence extension from the C-terminal one. This mutual stabilization between non-identical domains probably contributes to the observed heterologous pairing of the N- and C-terminal domains in native βB2-crystallin: the C-terminal domain only forms monomers in solution, whereas the N-terminal domain provides a more favourable partner (Wieligmann et al., 1999).

![Fig. 11. Unfolding transitions of bovine γB- and rat βB2-crystallin. (a) GdmCl-dependent equilibrium unfolding of γB-crystallin (open symbols) and βB2-crystallin (closed symbols), monitored by maximum fluorescence emission in 0.1 M Na-phosphate pH 6.0. The dotted line illustrates the relatively low intrinsic stability of Ca²⁺-free Spherulin 3a from Physarum polycephalum (Kretschmar, 1999). (b) pH-induced unfolding of γB- (open symbols) and βB2-crystallin (closed symbols), monitored by 315 nm fluorescence emission in Gly/ HCl, citrate/H₃PO₄, Tris/HCl and Gly/NaOH at 0.1 M ionic strength (Jaenicke, 1999).]
It is intriguing that at some stage during the evolution of the two-domain βB2-crystallin the N-terminal domain has effectively evolved a structural dependence on the C-terminal domain (Clout et al., 2000). The βB2-crystallin polypeptide chain is involved in domain swapping (Bax et al., 1990; Schlunegger et al., 1997) and it has been invoked to have a role in solubilizing crystallin hetero-oligomers in the eye lens by subunit exchange (Slingsby and Bateman, 1990; Bateman and Slingsby, 1992). Both processes require the N-terminal domain to become unpaired from that of the C-terminal. It may be that both the solubilizing and the exchanging role of βB2-crystallin is aided by the ease of unfolding of the N-terminal domain.

3.5. Stability of microbial βγ-crystallin proteins

The common denominator of eye-lens γ-crystallins and their structural homologs in microorganisms is their high intrinsic stability. For Spherulin 3a or Protein S, Ca2+ serves as a highly efficient extrinsic stabilizing agent, enhancing both the thermodynamic and kinetic stability. Both Spherulin 3a and Protein S are involved in stress protection, the first as a water binding nutrient storage, the second as a cuticular spore envelope that can easily be solubilized again by chelating the stabilizing Ca2+-ions. The value of these microbial protein data is that they clearly show that their calcium sites function as “highly efficient extrinsic stabilizing agents”. It is possible, given the low free water content in the core of lenses, that significant Ca2+ binding may occur to βγ-crystallin, although their binding sites are unlikely to be equivalent to those conserved in Protein S and Spherulin 3a (see Section 2.4.1).

3.5.1. Spherulin 3a from Physarum polycephalum

In its native form, the 103 residue single-domain recombinant Spherulin 3a polypeptide chain forms a stable dimer of 22 kDa molecular mass. Due to its exposed cysteine residue (Cys 4), in the absence of reducing agents, intermolecular disulfide exchange causes covalent cross-linking to tetramers and higher polymers. In order to avoid these side reactions, the Cys4Ser mutant was produced. Being indistinguishable from the wild-type protein in all its physical properties, it was used to compare the stability of Spherulin 3a with that of bovine γB-crystallin. In spite of the decrease in entropy of the denatured state, disulfide cross-linking has no significant effect on the denaturation reaction. In order to exclude irreversible perturbations of the two-state mechanism, in the following the cysteine-free mutant will be considered (Kretschmar et al., 1999a, b).

Calorimetric and spectroscopic analyses clearly showed that Spherulin 3a shares the high stability of its eye-lens homologs: in the absence of Ca2+, its denaturation profiles range between those of γB- and βB2-crystallin, the tertiary structure being destabilized at pH < 4, the secondary structure at pH < 3 (Fig. 11a). Guanidine- and temperature-induced denaturation occur at c_{1/2,GdmCl} = 1.5 M and T_m = 54°C, respectively, both depending on concentration due to the dimeric state of the protein (Kretschmar et al., 1999a). In spite of this concentration dependence (and in contrast to the βB2-crystallin dimer), thermal and chemical equilibrium unfolding transitions obey the two-state model according to N_2 ⇔ 2U, allowing thermodynamic parameters to be determined. As has been mentioned, this is by no means trivial. The Gibbs free energy of stabilization is 81 ± 8 kJ/mol, close to the above value for γS-crystallin. No significant differences were found between the free energies calculated from calorimetric enthalpies (ΔH_{cal}) and T_m; in addition, the free energy derived from thermal unfolding was confirmed by the spectroscopic
results obtained from GdmCl-induced unfolding transitions at different temperatures (Kretschmar and Jaenicke, 1999). Apart from the high intrinsic stability, there are two further contributions which further enhance the stability of Spherulin 3a; these are extrinsic as well as kinetic stabilization originating from high-affinity Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding. As shown by spectral analysis, Spherulin 3a contains one high-affinity and one low-affinity Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding site per subunit. Unfolding in the absence and in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) gives evidence for extreme thermodynamic and kinetic stabilization of the protein: \(\Delta G_{\text{stab}}\) is shifted from 81 to 137 kJ/mol (dimer), \(T_m\) from 53°C to 69°C, and the half-time of unfolding from 8 min (in 2.5 M GdmCl) to >9 h (in 7.5 M GdmCl) (Fig. 12). The fact that the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the spherules is sufficient for the complete complexation of the stress protein suggests that under condition of physiological stress the high expression of Spherulin 3a provides \textit{Physarum polycephalum} with an extremely potent compatible solute (Kretschmar et al., 1999b; Kretschmar and Jaenicke, 1999).

3.5.2. Protein S from \textit{Myxococcus xanthus}

In contrast to \(\gamma\)B-crystallin, which undergoes irreversible aggregation upon thermal unfolding, Protein S folds reversibly and it may therefore serve as a model in characterizing the thermodynamic properties of simple two-domain eye-lens crystallins such as \(\gamma\)B-crystallin. In addition, the kinetic characterization of this two-domain protein provided direct evidence that domain interactions can slow down the rate of unfolding, although as outlined in Section 2.4.1, the mode of domain pairing is different from the crystallins.
Protein S and its isolated domains, Protein S-N (Ala2→Val89) and Protein S-C (M-Gln90→Ser173-H6) were expressed in E. coli and isolated as recombinant proteins, Protein S in authentic form according to its spectral and hydrodynamic properties (Wenk and Mayr, 1998; Wenk and Jaenicke, 1999). X-ray analysis of the isolated N-terminal domain allowed two Ca²⁺-binding sites per domain to be localized (Fig. 9) (Wenk et al., 1999). Remarkably, these binding sites are very similar to those observed in Spherulin 3a (Clout et al., 2001). Removal of calcium by chelating agents such as EDTA leads to a destabilization of the protein (see below).

The Ca²⁺-binding monomeric two-domain crystallin homolog Protein S from Myxococcus xanthus shares not only its topology with γB-crystallin, but also the difference in stability of its domains. The stability and Ca²⁺-binding were monitored by spectral and calorimetric techniques (Wenk and Mayr, 1998; Wenk and Jaenicke, 1999). The combination of the two approaches allowed both the mechanism and the thermodynamics of unfolding/refolding to be analyzed. Regarding Ca²⁺-binding and its extrinsic stabilizing effect, the monomeric two-domain Protein S follows the same pattern as the single-domain Spherulin 3a. Spectral changes show that the ligand alters the tertiary, but not the secondary structure of Protein S and its isolated domains; a 10% increase in sedimentation rate suggests that compaction of the molecule contributes to the extrinsic stabilization (Wenk et al., 1999). With its γB-crystallin like topology, intact Protein S not only shows enhanced stability but also increased cooperativity of its equilibrium unfolding transitions, to the extent that, in the presence of Ca²⁺, the bimodal profiles are transformed to single sharp peaks (Fig. 13). Chemical and thermal unfolding in the absence and in the presence of Ca²⁺ are fully reversible. Comparing the intact protein and its isolated domains, calorimetric experiments allow significant differences in the stability and cooperativity, as well as in the mechanism of their N→U transitions to be unravelled (Table 7).

In the case of the intact protein, independent folding/unfolding of the domains is observed only at low or high pH, when charge repulsion (plus Ca²⁺ release) and the chaotropic effect of the denaturant are superimposed. The same holds for the thermal transitions at 10–90°C, in the absence of Ca²⁺. At neutral pH, both domains undergo a highly cooperative single transition; the bimodal profile is no longer observed. In the presence of Ca²⁺, ΔG_{PS-N} exceeds ΔG_{PS-C}; obviously, in the intact two-domain protein, domain interactions result in an apparent destabilization of the N-domain with a concomitant increase in stability of the C-terminal half of the molecule. The thermal analysis of the monophasic profiles confirms two-state behaviour; in contrast, intact two-domain Protein S can only be fitted assuming two transitions, the first attributable to the C-terminal half (including the loss of domain interactions), the second to the N-terminal half. Comparing the calorimetric profiles of the isolated domains, similar values for the change in molar heat capacity (ΔC_p) and enthalpy (ΔH) are observed, as one would predict for proteins with similar size and fold (Myers et al., 1995). On the other hand, there is a striking difference between the melting points of the two domains, reflecting the significantly higher thermodynamic stability of the N-terminal domain compared to its C-terminal counterpart. In the case of the isolated domains, the effects are similar but less pronounced; the intrinsic stability of the C-domain in intact Protein S exceeds the stability of Protein S-C, whereas for the N-domain the opposite holds true. Ca²⁺ binding, apart from enhancing both ΔG_{stab} and the cooperativity of unfolding, also strengthens the interactions between the separate domains such that in the presence of Ca²⁺ a 1:1 mixture of Protein S-N and Protein S-C is able to form liganded “nicked protein Protein S” (Wenk et al., 1999).
Considering the unfolding/refolding kinetics for the isolated domains, only mono-exponential traces were obtained, as for other small all-\(\beta\) proteins (Capaldi and Radford, 1998). In the case of intact Protein S, only the unfolding can be fitted with one exponential, whereas refolding shows biphasic kinetics, indicating folding intermediates. In spite of their different stabilities, both Protein S-N and Protein S-C unfold at the same rate \(k_{U\rightarrow N}\approx 9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}\); in intact Protein S, the unfolding rate of the cooperative unit of the interacting domains is slowed down 100-fold, indicating that the two-domain protein is kinetically stabilized (Table 7).

In summary, Protein S exhibits a close similarity to other modular proteins that were shown to gain stability by domain interactions, in some cases to the extent that the truncated proteins were found to require their complementary parts for proper folding and assembly (Jaenicke, 1999).

**Fig. 13.** Extrinsic stabilization of Protein S and its isolated domains by Ca\(^{2+}\) ions. Comparison of differential-scanning calorimetric profiles of Protein S and its domains in the absence and presence of Ca\(^{2+}\). Protein S (PS) (●), PS-N (○), PS-C (▲) in 20 mM sodium cacodylate pH 7. *Upper frame:* in the presence of 1 mM EDTA; *lower frame:* in the presence of 3 mM CaCl\(_2\). *Inserts:* Normalized temperature-induced unfolding transitions monitored by absorbance at 286 nm in the absence and in the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Wenk, 1999; Wenk and Jaenicke, 1999).
4. Multicomponent systems

4.1. Transparency in multicomponent macromolecular systems

From a physical point of view, as explained by Trokel as early as 1962 (Trokel, 1962), lens transparency is limited by the scattering of visible light. The transmitted light intensity $I_t$ through a lens of thickness $l$ may be simply written as a function of the incident intensity $I_0$ and of an extinction coefficient $\tau$:

$$I_t = I_0 \exp(-\tau l) \quad (7)$$

where the extinction coefficient corresponds to the integral of the scattered intensity $I_s$:

$$\tau = \int \frac{I_s}{I_0} \quad (8)$$

The scattered intensity is itself proportional to the concentration, $c$ (in g/cm$^3$), of the macromolecular scatterers, the crystallins, to their molecular mass, $M$, and refractive index increment, $\partial n/\partial c$, according to

$$I_s \sim cM \partial n/\partial c \quad (9)$$

Table 7
Structure, thermodynamics and kinetics of protein S and its separate domains in the absence and presence of stabilizing Ca$^{2+}$-ions$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residues</th>
<th>Protein S</th>
<th>Protein S-N</th>
<th>Protein S-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS (kDa)</td>
<td>172 (A2-S173)$^b$</td>
<td>88 (A2-V89)$^b$</td>
<td>91 (M-Q90-S173+6H)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC$^d$</td>
<td>19.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{20,w}$ (S)</td>
<td>1.90/2.12</td>
<td>1.43/1.45</td>
<td>1.48/1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_m$ (°C)</td>
<td>N: 52/64</td>
<td>68/70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−/− Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>C: 64/65</td>
<td>45/50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G_{cal}$ (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>−/− Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>N: 29/39</td>
<td>18/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta G_{urea}$ (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>−/− Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>C: 16/25</td>
<td>15/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{N-U}$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−/− Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>−/−1</td>
<td>21/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{U-N}$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−/− Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>9.0 x 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>8.5 x 10$^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$20 mM sodium cacodylate pH 7.0, plus 1 mM EDTA or 3 mM CaCl$_2$, 20°C.

MS, mass spectroscopy; AUC, sedimentation equilibria in anal. ultracentrifuge; $s_{20,w}$, sedimentation coefficient; $T_m$, $\Delta G_{cal}$ and $\Delta G_{urea}$, temperature and free energy of denaturation from calorimetry at 20–85°C and urea denaturation; $k_{N-U}$ and $k_{U-N}$, microscopic rate constants of unfolding in chaotropic agents, and subsequent folding in the absence of denaturant. −/− Ca$^{2+}$ refer to data in the absence and in the presence of 3 mM CaCl$_2$. Data from Wenk (1999), Wenk and Jaenicke (1999) and Wenk et al. (1999).

$^b$The N-terminal Met residues are cleaved off by E. coli methionyl aminopeptidase.

$^c$To avoid degradation, recombinant Protein S-C was prepared with a His$_6$-tag.

$^d$Results from sedimentation equilibria at 0.2–2.3 mg/ml initial protein concentration in the absence and in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ did not differ.

$^e$For Protein S, N$^a$ and C$^a$ refer to the N- and C-terminal domains of the intact two-domain protein.
and in concentrated solutions is in addition modulated because of protein distribution. When \( \tau = 0 \), all the incident light is transmitted and the lens is transparent. When \( \tau \) increases, the lens progressively turns opaque. As calculated by Trokel for the human lens, if crystallins were independent scatterers, about 70% of the incident light would be scattered, giving the lens a turbid aspect. Yet different protein distributions may reduce the scattering. Trokel proposed that the “high concentration of proteins in the lens must be accompanied by a degree of local order approaching a paracrystalline state”. Subsequently, it was shown by Benedek that at a sufficiently high concentration a liquid-like order was sufficient to maintain transparency (Benedek, 1971). That this holds true was finally demonstrated by X-ray scattering experiments. Making use of calf-lens cortical cytoplasmic extracts, it was shown that beyond a limiting protein concentration the scattered intensity is strongly affected by the short-range order of the scattering particles, to the effect that at the physiological protein level scattering was quenched (Delaye and Tardieu, 1983; Tardieu and Delaye, 1988). To quantify the concentration-dependent quenching, the scattered intensity is multiplied by a “structure factor”, \( S(c) \), according to

\[
I_s \sim cM \frac{\partial n}{\partial c} S(c)
\]

Thus, both transparency and high refraction derive from the high protein concentration in the liquid-like, “glassy” interior of the fibre cells.

4.2. Intra- and inter-molecular interactions and associations

The three-dimensional structure of the crystallins and their assembly to form complex cellular structures are determined, as they are for all proteins, by two classes of \textit{non-covalent}, “weak” \textit{interactions forces}, sometimes simply called interactions or interaction potentials, electrostatic and hydrophobic. The electrostatic interactions, either attractive or repulsive, include coulombic interactions, multipolar effects and more “sequence specific” interactions like ion pairing or hydrogen bonding (Kauzmann, 1969; Israelachvili, 1985). Hydrophobic interactions imply van der Waals forces and hydration effects. The concept of hydration forces (Parsegian et al., 1986; Ben Naim, 1987) refers to macromolecules and water hydrogen bonding interactions, and the possible effects of preferential orientation of the water molecules near polar or hydrophobic surfaces (Israelachvili, 1985; van Oss and Good, 1988; van Oss, 1993). Due to the superposition of significant enthalpic and entropic increments, the temperature dependence of the interaction forces is complex and their contribution to protein folding and stability still the subject of controversy (Baldwin and Eisenberg, 1987; Privalov and Gill, 1988; Dill, 1990; Creighton, 1991; Jaenicke, 1991a, b; Franks, 1995; Makhadzze and Privalov, 1995; Pace et al., 1996; Jaenicke and Böhm, 2001; Thornton, 2001). Attempts to analyze the molecular basis of the high stability of the crystallins are complicated by the fundamental question of how the marginal Gibbs free energy of stabilization of a given protein or a set of proteins is accumulated from the massive contributions of attractive and repulsive potentials between several thousand atoms.

Association implies the formation of intra- or inter-macromolecular contacts and therefore an additional step, dehydration (Jaenicke, 1987). Local water–protein interactions are replaced by a new network of ion pairing or hydrogen bonding or hydrophobic contacts. Self-assembly to yield homo- or hetero-oligomers requires specific recognition between partners whereas aggregation usually results from non specific contacts (Jaenicke and Seckler, 1997). To gain insight into
crystallin associations, NMR spectroscopy has been shown to be a promising approach because signals assigned to flexible terminal extensions, such as the \( \gamma \)-crystallin N-terminal extension (Cooper et al., 1994b), the \( \alpha \)-crystallin C-terminal extension (Carver et al., 1994a,b) and the \( \beta \)-B2-crystallin N- and C-terminal extensions (Carver et al., 1993b) allow the detection of protein-protein contacts. This technique also allows for contacts in crystallin mixtures and nuclear or cortical lens homogenates to be compared (Cooper et al., 1994a).

### 4.3. Interactions at a distance and transparency

The “anomalous” scattering behaviour of the eye lens in terms of the relationship between interaction and protein distribution in solution, that governs transparency, osmotic pressure, and phase diagrams (i.e. phase separation and crystallization), is becoming well understood (Vérotout et al., 1989; Muschol and Rosenberger, 1995; Lomakin et al., 1996; Malfois et al., 1996; Haas et al., 1999). Macromolecular distribution in solution is governed by interactions at a distance that, given the protein shapes and sizes, can be measured with light, X-ray or neutron scattering. From such data, the underlying interaction potentials can be calculated (Belloni, 1986, 1988; Hansen and McDonald, 1986). Studies were conducted on monodisperse solutions of model proteins of different molecular weight, sizes, compactness, charge and isoelectric point as a function of the environment, pH, ionic strength, and temperature. They have shown that, once folded, the protein behaviour in physiological conditions (i.e. in low ionic strength solutions) can be essentially accounted for by a few forces: hard sphere repulsion, van der Waals attraction and coulombic electrostatic forces. This combination of forces is usually designated in the colloid field as the “DLVO potential”. Hard sphere interactions mean that two particles cannot interpenetrate, that they occupy an “excluded” volume. The interaction energy is infinite on contact and zero elsewhere. The van der Waals forces are short-range, about 3 Å, with a strength of the order of \(-2.8k_B T\) (where \(k_B\) is the Bolzmann constant and \(T\) the absolute temperature) increasing with decreasing temperature (Malfois et al., 1996). With monodisperse solutions of identical particles, the average charge is the same (whatever the particle) and the coulombic interactions are repulsive, except at the isoelectric point (pI), where they cancel. In the presence of higher salt concentrations or of additives like neutral polymers, an additional attraction is observed (Tardieu et al., 1999, 2002). More subtle interactions, for instance charge fluctuations at the surface, may also play a role, especially at the pI (Belloni and Spalla, 1997). They are weaker and more difficult to analyze.

Using these tools, the scattering behaviour of the eye lens can be explained in terms of the various types of weak interactions between the crystallin components. First, the mixture of crystallins in the cytoplasm of the lens was found to display an overall repulsive interaction determined essentially by the dominating effect of \( \alpha \)-crystallin (Delaye and Tardieu, 1983). Then, the study of lens \( \alpha \)-crystallin solutions at pH 6.8 and at different ionic strengths demonstrated that \( \alpha \)-crystallins behave as charged spheres (Vérotout et al., 1989) (Fig. 14). Therefore, the effects of non-ideality could be described over a large range of protein concentration, ionic strength and temperature with only two parameters, the charge and the radius of the equivalent sphere. The charge was found to be about 50 and the radius around 165 Å, which means that the \( \alpha \)-crystallin excluded volume is about twice the dry volume. In other words, the quaternary assembly is an open non-compact structure (Vérotout et al., 1989). The calculation of the structure factor
relevant for lens transparency is simple since at physiological ionic strength the charge, screened by the salt, only plays a role in the vicinity of the macromolecular surface (to prevent aggregation) and the calculation reduces to (Carnahan and Starling, 1969):

\[
S(c) = \frac{[1 - \phi(c)]^4}{[1 + 4\phi(c) + 4\phi(c)^2 - 4\phi(c)^3 + \phi(c)^4]}
\]  

where \(\phi(c)\) is the volume fraction occupied by the \(\alpha\)-crystallins at concentration \(c\). For a compact structure, \(\phi(c) \approx 0.75c\), for \(\alpha\)-crystallins \(\phi(c) \approx 1.5c\). In the case of \(\alpha\)-crystallin, \(S(c)\) decreases more rapidly with protein concentration which means stronger repulsive interactions with \(\alpha\)-crystallins.
than with compact proteins. As a consequence, when concentrated, \( \alpha \)-crystallins tend to show an even distribution without periodical order which in turn reduces light scattering and favours high transparency (Tardieu and Delaye, 1988; Vérétout et al., 1989). Moreover, under conditions where the quaternary structure remained native, i.e. up to 60°C, from pH 6 to about 8 and even in the presence of up to 2 M salt, the interaction between \( \alpha \)-crystallins remained repulsive (Finet, 1999). The only way to make an \( \alpha \)-crystallin interaction attractive is through the addition of polymers like polyethylene glycol (Finet and Tardieu, 2001). Under such conditions, a phase separation of \( \alpha \)-crystallin can eventually be induced.

From these studies it was concluded that, theoretically, transparency could have been achieved in many ways by using proteins with adequate combinations of molecular weight and compactness (see Eqs. (10)–(11)). If only transparency is considered, many different proteins might have done as well as \( \alpha \beta \gamma \)-crystallins (Tardieu & Vérétout, 1991).

4.4. \( \beta \)- and \( \gamma \)-crystallin interactions, phase separation

The physical state of the cytoplasmic protein solution within lens fibre cells depends critically on temperature, crystallin composition and a variety of other parameters (such as pH and ionic strength) and is prone to fluid–fluid phase separation (Benedek, 1971). For example, lowering the temperature of a calf lens below 15°C, leads to “cold cataract” as the result of a separation of the concentrated cytoplasmic protein solution into a coexisting protein-rich and a protein-poor phase. The existence of a phase separation and the shape of the “coexistence curve”, i.e. the concentrations of the protein-rich and protein-poor phase as a function of temperature (Fig. 15), is now well explained by the underlying interaction potentials, using the concepts that have been developed in liquid state physics to study colloids. Briefly, such phase separations occur when the macromolecules interact through short-range attractive potentials. With monodisperse solutions, when the potential range is less (or more) than one third of the macromolecular diameter, the phase separation is metastable (or stable) with respect to the crystal phase. In the lens, at physiological pH, \( \gamma \)-crystallins interact mainly through short-range attractive van der Waals forces since their isoelectric point is around 7. It has been shown (Lomakin et al., 1996; Malfois et al., 1996) that such a potential was sufficient to account for the shape of the phase separation diagram. In a \( \gamma \)-crystallin mixture, crystallization does not occur because of heterogeneity. With individual \( \gamma \)-crystallin components, the coexistence curve was indeed found below the solubility curve (Benedek, 1997). The interactions may be changed through modifications of the environment. When the pH is either increased or decreased from the physiological value, the \( \gamma \)-crystallin net charge increases, the interactions become less attractive (even showing coulombic repulsion) and the phase separation disappears (Finet, 1999).

The spatial fluctuation in the protein density from one phase to the other produces sufficient light scattering to cause opacification of the lens. The process is reversible and upon raising the temperature, the lens becomes clear again (Tanaka and Benedek, 1975). The onset of opacity is easily detectable with light scattering. Since the actual temperature of phase separation (\( T_c \)) depends on the net attractive inter-protein interaction energy, it rises as the inter-protein interaction increases. Thus, the increase in light scattering accompanying the phase separation provides a means to quantify the net attraction within the multicomponent system of the eye lens. If the \( T_c \) increased above body temperature, the eye lens cytoplasm would
There is no indication, however, that such liquid-liquid phase separations occur in ageing cataract.

Under physiological conditions (pH 6.8, 17–150 mM KCl), β- and γ-crystallins were found to differ (Tardieu et al., 1992). In mixtures, whereas interactions between γ-crystallins are attractive, increasing with decreasing temperature until phase separation occurs, β-crystallins present weakly repulsive interactions. Individual β-crystallins may turn attractive with decreasing temperature. However, solubility curves have been measured for only some of them. There are indications that the length of the N-terminal extensions of the β-crystallins affects the strength of their interaction: βA3-crystallin is more soluble than βA1-crystallin, the solubility of truncated βB1-crystallin is markedly temperature dependent being less soluble than full-length βB1-crystallin at lower temperatures (Bateman et al., 2001). It is thus possible that in vivo post-translational modifications of the β-crystallins promote attractive interaction and phase separation of these proteins.

γ-Crystallins cluster into three groups characterized by increasing values of phase separation temperature $T_c$ (the shape of the coexistence curve remains the same): the extreme case is mammalian γS-crystallin which does not display phase separation, intermediary is typified by bovine γB- and γD-crystallin, and high $T_c$ is typified by γE- and γF-crystallin (Siezen et al., 1988; Norledge et al., 1997a). The changes in phase separation temperatures reflect small differences in attractive potentials. The interaction between bovine γ-crystallins has also been studied by small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). At physiological pH i.e. close to the γ-crystallin isoelectric point, the interactions between individual γB-, γD-, and γE-crystallins are attractive (Fig. 16 top; Finet, 1999), where the attraction is stronger for γE than for γD- and γB-crystallin. Under the same conditions, the interaction between γS-crystallin, either bovine or human, which lacks phase separation, is neutral (Skouri-Panet et al., 2001; Fig. 16 bottom). In terms of physicochemical properties, the γS-crystallin therefore appears intermediate between β-crystallins and the other γA-F-crystallins.
The overall distribution of the \( \gamma \)-crystallin family within a particular lens shows a correlation with these attractive properties. By considering phase separation in terms of interaction potentials, new ideas have emerged to account for the protein distribution in the lens. As the propensity to phase separate leads to the formation of concentrated phases, proteins with a high \( T_c \) have good packing properties. The ability of attractive interactions to promote high protein concentrations can be illustrated in a different way. The osmotic pressure of a protein solution is a function of both protein concentration and interaction. If a protein solution in a dialysis bag is equilibrated against another macromolecular solution at constant osmotic pressure, the final protein concentration is higher if it exhibits attractive interactions rather than repulsive interactions. It is known that a protein concentration gradient exists from the centre to the

\[
s = 2 \sin \theta / \lambda
\]

Fig. 16. SAXS curves showing attractive and neutral interactions in \( \gamma \)-crystallins. Normalized X-ray scattering curves recorded near physiological conditions, pH 6.8 ionic strength 150 mM as a function of protein concentration. \textit{Top}: \( \gamma \)D-crystallins, and \textit{Bottom}: \( \gamma \)S-crystallins. It can be seen that with \( \gamma \)D-crystallins the normalized intensity near the origin increases with protein concentration, which is indicative of attractive interactions. In contrast, the normalized intensity recorded with \( \gamma \)S-crystallins is invariant with protein concentration. No interactions, either attractive or repulsive, can be detected. When the pH is changed from 6.8 to 4.5, both \( \gamma \)D and \( \gamma \)S-crystallin interactions become repulsive.
periphery of most lenses. This produces a refractive index gradient which contributes to the lens optical quality. It is also known that the osmotic pressure within the lens is constant. Each cell in the lens is equivalent to a dialysis bag in equilibrium with neighbouring cells. Since the interactions of the \(\alpha\)-, \(\beta\)-, and \(\gamma\)-crystallin mixture are more attractive in the nucleus than in the cortex, it is most likely that the protein concentration gradient within the eye lens originates from constant osmotic pressure coupled to differential interactive properties of \(\alpha\)-, \(\beta\)-, and \(\gamma\)-crystallins (Vérétout and Tardieu, 1989). This hypothesis could also be valid for the distribution of the individual \(\gamma\)-crystallins. In rodents, the high \(T_c\) proteins are synthesized early and therefore are enriched in the core region (see Section 1.1.4.2). Low \(T_c\) \(\gamma\)-crystallins occupy an intermediary position along the refractive index gradient, while \(\gamma\)S-crystallin is located in the low refractive index outer regions of the lens as a result of synthesis after birth. Once a condensed phase has been stabilized, other processes may play a role to transform a liquid phase into a glass, as for example in the rat lens core.

Now that an increasing number of proteins have been tested for their interactions in solution, the uniqueness of the \(\gamma\)-crystallins is even more striking. Indeed, the monomeric \(\gamma\)-crystallins function close to their isoelectric point, which seems unusual for a protein that has to function at a high protein concentration. Under physiological conditions where the ionic strength is quite low, attraction largely originates from van der Waals forces. However, van der Waals forces decrease with increasing oligomeric size, as a result of a decrease in compactness as compared to monomers (Tardieu et al., 1987). These two features, pI around 7 and a monomeric compact structure, seem to be the only way in the protein world to have attractive interactions under physiological conditions. Moreover, these attractive interactions vary slightly from one \(\gamma\)-crystallin to the other, the extreme case being \(\gamma\)S-crystallin where the interactions are neutral. How to achieve such a fine tuning of the interactions is not understood yet and might be linked to the particular sets of arginine containing-ion pairs on the protein surface that are characteristic of \(\gamma\)-crystallin 3D structures (Chirgadze et al., 1996; Kumaraswamy et al., 1996; Norledge et al., 1997a; Basak et al., 2003). Whatever the molecular origin, \(\gamma\)-crystallins are a family of proteins capable of high solubility under extremely crowded conditions, presumably without competing with the hydration of other protein molecules, and yet close to a critical point for phase separation that provides a low-energy mechanism for the creation of a very high refractive index medium.

5. Lens \(\alpha\)-crystallins and chaperone function

sHsps usually associate into high molecular weight monodisperse or polydisperse oligomers, able to protect against stress through the binding of a variety of partially unfolded substrates. \(\alpha\)-Crystallin was demonstrated by Horwitz in 1992 (Horwitz, 1992) to exhibit such chaperone properties in vitro. On the basis of these results, Horwitz proposed that \(\alpha\)-crystallin would bind \(\beta\)-crystallin or \(\gamma\)-crystallin at the onset of their denaturation, thus preventing further precipitation and lens opacity. There is some evidence that the conformational state of the substrate \(\beta\)\(\gamma\)-crystallins can be described as early unfolding intermediates on the irreversible pathway towards aggregation and precipitation (Das et al., 1999; Treweek et al., 2000). Accounting for up to 50% of the protein mass in the human lens, \(\alpha\)-crystallin would prevent opalescence of the eye lens over a long period of time. Only after “titrating out” the chaperone would the concentration
of irreversibly denatured protein rise to the critical concentration at which aggregation occurs (Goldberg et al., 1991; Jaenicke and Creighton, 1993; Jaenicke and Seckler, 1997; Welch et al., 1998; Beissinger et al., 1999; Thirumalai and Lorimer, 2001) and would ageing cataract ensue. In the past years a lot of evidence has been gathered showing that α-crystallin does protect the β- and γ-crystallins as well as other proteins from aggregation in vitro (see Section 5.1 and Horwitz, 2003). In addition, α-crystallin can protect from adduct formation (for review, see Harding, 2002), again in vitro. In the ageing lens, high molecular weight (HMW) forms of α-crystallin are found that resemble the α-crystallin chaperone complexes formed in vitro as well as the HMW complexes from a young lens when exposed to an external insult (for review, see Horwitz, 2003).

The chaperone-like activity of α-crystallin has been the subject of numerous studies and functional models have been suggested (Carver et al., 1994a, b; Derham and Harding, 1999; MacRae, 2000; Abgar et al., 2001). The properties of α-crystallin and related small heat shock proteins have been reviewed extensively (Goldberg et al., 1991; Jaenicke and Creighton, 1993; Jaenicke and Seckler, 1997; Ehrnsperger et al., 1998; Welsh and Gaestel, 1998; Beissinger et al., 1999; Horwitz et al., 1999; Thirumalai and Lorimer, 2001; Arrigo and Müller, 2002; van Montfort et al., 2002; Horwitz, 2003). One of the earlier criticisms of the original hypothesis rested on the need for heating α-crystallin in order to demonstrate binding to destabilized β- and γ-crystallins, and thus the simple heating assay was replaced with less stable model substrates, or other denaturing protocols were suggested (Abgar et al., 2000; Rajaraman et al., 2001; Santhoshkumar and Sharma, 2001). Using a variety of such assays, it was found that both αA- and αB-crystallin exhibit high chaperone efficiency, binding misfolded proteins with high affinity and stoichiometry (Jaenicke and Creighton, 1993; Merck et al., 1993; Jaenicke, 1996a; Beissinger and Buchner, 1998; Ehrnsperger et al., 1998; Horwitz et al., 1999; Clark and Muchowski, 2000; Ganea and Harding, 2000) but without substrate release (Lee et al., 1997; Ehrnsperger et al., 1998; Berr et al., 2000). In living cells the bound protein substrates are held until either directed to the proteolysis machinery or passed onto ATP-dependent chaperones for refolding (Wickner et al., 1999). There is evidence that ATP enhances the function of α-crystallin as a molecular chaperone (Muchowski et al., 1999; Wang and Spector, 2000).

The dynamic character of the α-crystallin quaternary structure with the occurrence of temperature dependent subunit exchange was demonstrated and analyzed (Bova et al., 1997; Sun et al., 1998; Putilina et al., 2003). Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) was found particularly useful to demonstrate that subunits, from recombinant αA- or αB-crystallin, can reversibly exchange between oligomers. Subunit exchange was also detected for other small heat shock proteins such as Hsp27, Hsp16.9, and Hsp16.5 (Bova et al., 2000, 2002; van Montfort et al., 2001; Sobott et al., 2002). The studies also demonstrated that subunit exchange might be partially inhibited in the presence of bound substrates. There are obvious differences between the chaperone action of the proposed hollow sphere αB-crystallin model and chaperone models which assume substrate seclusion within an Anfinsen cage, especially with respect to the conformational variability and polydispersity of the assembly structure (Beissinger and Buchner, 1998; Saibil, 2000). It may be that these characteristics are essential for a molecular chaperone in the crowded environment of the lens.

5.1. The interaction between α-crystallin and β- and γ-crystallins

In the physiological context of the lens, it is important to consider whether α-crystallin does protect against cataract by delaying the formation of light-scattering aggregates formed from
denatured crystallins. Yet only a few chaperone studies with β- and γ-crystallins as substrates have been performed (Boyle and Takemoto, 1994; Wang and Spector, 1994; Raman et al., 1995; Bours, 1996; Das et al., 1999; Weinreb et al., 2000) without any correlation with subunit exchange. As reviewed in Sections 3.3 and 3.4 (see also Table 5), although α-crystallin seems less stable than many β- and γ-crystallins, the consequences of unfolding are not the same. Most of the β- and γ-crystallins start to aggregate at the onset of their denaturation, whereas α-crystallins remain soluble for a long time, even if the average number of subunits changes with the extent of denaturation. As expected, preferential interactions or associations between α-crystallin and other lens proteins in a complex mixture of crystallins could not be found at body temperature (Tardieu, A., unpubl. res.). Therefore, α-crystallin does not need to chaperone native β- and γ-crystallins to maintain transparency, although it does appear to have a role in solubilization of the γ-crystallins (see Section 1.1.4.1).

Experiments were therefore performed at higher temperatures, but with attention also to changes in the α-crystallin (Putilina et al., 2003). The chaperone activity of “lens” α-crystallins towards βLOW- and various γ-crystallins at the onset of their denaturation, at 60 and 66°C respectively, was studied at high and low crystallin concentrations using Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) and Fluorescence Energy Transfer (FRET). The crystallins were from calf lenses except for (recombinant) human γS-crystallin. SAXS data demonstrated an irreversible doubling in molecular weight and a corresponding increase in size of α-crystallins at temperatures above 60°C. Further increase is observed at 66°C. More subtle conformational changes accompanied the increase in size as shown by changes in the environment around tryptophan and cysteine residues. These temperature-induced changes in α-crystallin were found necessary for the association with βLOW- (but not βHIGH-) and γ-crystallins to occur. FRET experiments using labelled subunits showed that the heat modified α-crystallins retained their ability to exchange subunits and that, at 37°C, the rate of exchange was increased depending upon the temperature of incubation, 60°C or 66°C. Association with βLOW (60°C) or various γ-crystallins (66°C) resulted at 37°C in decreased subunit exchange in proportion to bound ligands. Therefore, βLOW- and γ-crystallins were compared for their capacity to associate with α-crystallins and inhibit subunit exchange. Quite unexpectedly for a highly conserved protein family, differences were observed between the individual γ-crystallin family members. The strongest effect was observed for γS-, γE-, γA- and γF-, γD-, γB-crystallin. The order of interaction of the γ-crystallins thus correlates with thermodynamic stability (see Section 3.4). Moreover, fluorescence properties of α-crystallins in the presence of bound βLOW- and γ-crystallins indicated that the formation of βLOW/α- or γ/α-crystallin complexes involved various binding sites. The changes in subunit exchange associated with the chaperone properties of α-crystallins towards the other lens crystallins demonstrate the dynamic character of the heat activated α-crystallin structure.

At the protein concentrations used for the FRET experiments, the α–β–γ-crystallin complexes were soluble. The chaperone-like activity of the α-crystallin versus βLOW- and γ-crystallin, i.e. the ability to prevent temperature induced precipitation of the βLOW/γ-crystallin, was also studied with protein concentrations varying from about 2 mg/ml up to 20 mg/ml. Whatever the protein concentration, the α–β-crystallin complexes remained soluble for a long time. Instead, once formed, the α–γ-crystallin complexes precipitated as a function of time. Such a differential
behaviour in vitro could indicate that γ-crystallins represent a more important cataract risk than β-crystallins.

5.2. Specificity of the α-crystallin chaperone behaviour

The experiments outlined in Section 5.1 emphasize the importance of conformational changes in both α- and βLOW/γ-crystallin for association to take place. On the contrary, the chaperone activity of human recombinant αA- or αB-crystallin towards other partially unfolded substrates, like insulin or lactalbumin, or thermally denatured dehydrogenases or citrate synthase does not require the α-crystallin structural transition (e.g. Andley et al., 1996; Horwitz et al., 1998b; Rajaraman et al., 1998; Abgar et al., 2000; Berr et al., 2000; Reddy et al., 2000). A thermodynamic analysis based on detection of direct binding by electron spin resonance showed that αA-crystallin was able to sort a range of model T4 lysozyme mutants in order of their stability (Mchaourab et al., 2002). This study suggested a mechanism whereby the crystallin chaperone has two modes of interaction, involving binding of substrates with distinct conformational states, one more native-like and one nearer the global unfolded state. It is clear then that α-crystallin can recognize and bind unfolded proteins over a range of temperatures, and hence its chaperone function is maintained over a range of conformations (including sizes). For it to work in the lens at body temperature, the β- and γ-crystallins would have to be “preferentially” denatured in order to have the opportunity of binding the low temperature form of the chaperone, or stress conditions would have to promote formation of the higher-molecular weight form of the α-crystallin at body temperature. One possibility is that less stable regions of α-crystallin are the first to respond to stress and on changing their conformation trigger an “activated” form of α-crystallin. The consequence to the assembly might be that this “structural change” induces weaker subunit-subunit packing, consistent with the increase in subunit-exchange. Although heating studies show a dramatic increase in the size and binding activity of the α-crystallin chaperone, it could be envisaged that there occurs a gradual increase in polydispersity of the ageing body-temperature form of α-crystallin as it binds non-native α-crystallin subunits. This binding, at the expense of its “native” interface contacts, may contribute to the increase in α-crystallin binding activity towards other crystallins, in time for their eventual demise. Phosphorylated or deamidated subunits would freely exchange with the parent oligomer (Ito et al., 2001; Kamei et al., 2001). The R120G mutation appears like an extreme case where the associative properties undergo severe modifications and where the chaperone property of α-crystallin is exhausted (Vicart et al., 1998; Bova et al., 1999).

Clues to a general structural mechanism of substrate binding of eukaryotic sHsps reside in the observation that the “active” structural component is likely to involve a temperature-regulated subassembly species with elements of conformational disorder. The hierarchy of the eukaryotic sHsp assembly shows that the fold of the plant N-terminal domain is absolutely dependent on the final assembly, and that the “α-crystallin domain” is stabilized by assembly partners (Fig. 4). During a heat shock, the structural unit of exchange, having unfolded N-terminal regions and “a destabilized α-crystallin domain”, could provide both substrate binding surfaces as well as assembly interfaces.

Different α-crystallin peptides have been identified as functional elements in chaperone activity (Sharma et al., 1997, 1998). One of these peptides (residues 71–88 of αA-crystallin) overlaps with a
putative substrate-binding site of small heat shock proteins (Sharma et al., 1998). According to the sHsp 3D structures that have been determined, this proposed binding site is covered with the C-terminal extension of another monomer and would become exposed during subunit exchange via dissociation from the parent oligomer and thus become available for interactions with substrates (van Montfort et al., 2001). A second putative binding site was allocated to the N-terminal part, involving aromatic residues (Sharma et al., 1998). Recent studies using mutated α-crystallin also demonstrated the involvement of the C-terminal extension in its chaperone activity at 37°C towards insulin (Pasta et al., 2002). However, all binding interfaces that have been proposed were identified at low temperatures (25–37°C) and may have different properties at the temperatures at which lens in vitro heterocomplexes are formed.

6. Post-translational modification of crystallins

6.1. Crystallins are extensively modified, outcomes are varied

Over a long lifetime, crystallins may undergo a wide variety of irreversible, covalent modifications, caused by proteolysis, deamidation, oxidation, Maillard reactions, radiation-induced radicals and adduct formation, among others (for recent review, see Harding, 2002). The technical improvements in mass spectroscopy and 2D gel electrophoresis have made it possible to document a greater number of changes to a whole range of crystallin residues (Lund et al., 1996; Hanson et al., 1998; Lampi et al., 1998; Ma et al., 1998; Hanson et al., 2000; MacCoss et al., 2002; Lapko et al., 2002a, b, 2003b; Zhang et al., 2003). The nature of the modification, the residue location and the stability of the host crystallin domain or assembly will all have an impact on the level of structural change. The critical changes for lens transparency are those that destabilize or reduce the solubility of native crystallin structures. With recombinant mutant proteins it is possible to model some of the in vivo modifications and to test the effect of some of these modifications on the properties of the proteins. Unfortunately, only a few such studies have as yet been undertaken. The focus of these studies has been on stability.

Specific molecular features that perturb overall crystallin solubility (and short-range interactions) are poorly understood. The phenotypes of some human missense mutations suggest that the high solubility of native crystallins may in fact be easily decreased by seemingly innocuous changes, e.g. Arg58His in γD-crystallin in aculeiform cataract (Pande et al., 2001). For the very stable crystallins, it is likely that modifications would need to be severe before unfolding was a greater problem than reduced solubility. Deamidation of asparagine, however, can be a marker of more radical damage (see below) as can disulphide crosslinks between cysteines that are known to be distal and buried in the native protein. In these cases the question revolves around whether the modification initiates unfolding or follows it. It is much less obvious that clipping of extensions, or deamidation of glutamine does adversely affect the stability of a crystallin, the effect of these modifications may be on solubility or oligomer assembly. Indeed, human β-crystallin remains soluble in spite of extensive modification (Zhang et al., 2003). Oligomers formed by intermolecular disulphide crosslinks of accessible cysteines can also markedly increase $T_c$ as has been shown for the model bovine proteins γB-and γD-crystallin (Asherie et al., 1998). It has been suggested that the progressive juvenile-onset hereditary cataract linked to the Arg14Cys
human γD crystallin mutation is due to disulfide-linked oligomers and aggregates caused by two exposed cysteines (Pande et al., 2000).

6.2. Crystallins are modified at an early age

Post-translational modification of the crystallins is often considered to cause their insolubilization in the ageing lens (although it is recognized that denatured proteins may be preferentially modified). When specific crystallins were targets of age-related studies, the surprising finding was the early age at which full modification appears to have occurred. All human crystallins, except for βB2-crystallin (Zhang et al., 2001), are extensively modified. These modifications are already apparent at age 3, and the pattern of a 17 yr old lens is very much like that of 54–55 year old lenses (Lampi et al., 1998). Takemoto and Boyle (1998) have estimated the rate of deamidation of a single residue (Asn 101) of human αA-crystallin in the core of the lens to be about 15–20 yr, again showing that this process is essentially complete by age 40. Complete deamidation of γ-crystallins at various sites is also seen at an early age (Hanson et al., 1998). High levels of intramolecular disulfide bonding have been reported in soluble γ-crystallins from young human lenses (Hanson et al., 1998). Some of these intramolecular disulfide crosslinks are incompatible with protein solubility and are difficult to reconcile with the in vitro finding that γS-crystallin more readily forms intermolecular disulfide bridges (Skouri-Panet et al., 2001).

6.3. The effect of clipping the arms of the crystallins

Both αA- and αB-crystallin have a flexible C-terminal tail. These C-terminal tails are hydrophilic and thought to be essential for a correct α-crystallin assembly and solubility. In the ageing lens, some C-terminal truncation of the α-crystallins is found. In the human lens the most common truncation is that of the C-terminal Ser from αA-crystallin, αB-lacking the C-terminal Lys can also be detected (Ma et al., 1998). Larger C-terminal truncations have also been reported. In the rodent lens, truncation of α-crystallin is more common and more extensive than in the human lens, and the truncated forms are selectively insolubilized (Ueda et al., 2002). The properties of (recombinant) proteins mimicking the most common truncated forms of αA- and αB-crystallins in the human lens have not been reported. However, recombinant αB-crystallin lacking the C-terminal tail performed almost as well as wild type αB-crystallin in preventing insulin aggregation, but was much less efficient in preventing the thermal aggregation of citrate synthetase (Kokke et al., 2001). A 17 amino acid C-terminal truncation of human αA-crystallin also impairs its ability to prevent thermal aggregation of human aldose reductase (Andley et al., 1996), probably due to a lesser solubility of the chaperoning complex. It is unknown whether the stability of truncated α-crystallin is decreased. βB1-crystallin is one of the crystallins that is extensively truncated with time. Complete loss of both the N- and C-terminal extension did not affect its stability as measured by urea denaturation (Lampi et al., 2002a). Similarly, the loss of the N-terminal arm of (bovine) γS-crystallin had no effect on the stability of this protein (Wenk et al., 2000). βA1-crystallin can be considered a truncated version of βA3-crystallin. Again, loss of part of the N-terminal arm has no effect on the stability of the protein, indeed, the stability is marginally increased (Werten et al., 1996, Bateman et al., 2003). Finally, the stability of a rat βB2-crystallin mutant lacking both N- and C-terminal
arms has been reported to be less than that of the full length protein (Trinkl et al., 1994; Table 3). N-terminally, but not C-terminally, truncated βB2-crystallin has been detected in the lens of a 70 year old donor (Srivastava and Srivastava, 2003). In summary, from the presently available evidence, clipping of the arms of the crystallins is unlikely to have a major effect on protein stability. If clipping has a consequence for lens transparency, it is more likely due to changes in solubility or, in the case of the β-crystallins, in assembly. For example, the assembly of βH-crystallin is dependent on the full-length βB1-crystallin. Progressive truncation of the N-terminal extension causes disassembly of βH-crystallin (David et al., 1996; Ajaz et al., 1997).

6.4. Deamidation

Deamidation is a common and early modification of human crystallins (Lampi et al., 1998; Ma et al., 1998) although not of mouse crystallins (Ueda et al., 2002). Deamidation of both Gln and Asn can be spontaneous but in the case of Gln it can also be catalyzed by transglutaminase. In vitro crystallins are a substrate of transglutaminase (Velasco and Lorand, 1987; Lorand et al., 1991; Groenen et al., 1994a; Shridas et al., 2001) and transglutaminase is present in the lens (Hidasi et al., 1995; Murthy et al., 1998; Raghunath et al., 1999). Given the prevalence of deamidated Gln in human crystallins, the possibility that crystallin deamidation is a regulated enzymatic process which is part of the normal maturation of the human lens should be further investigated.

Only a single study of a recombinant deamidated crystallin has been reported, namely of human βB1(Gln204Glu)-crystallin (Kim et al., 2002; Lampi et al., 2002a). The mutated site is located in the C-terminal domain and involved in interdomain interactions [see the topologically equivalent Q (Fig. 3) in γS-C-terminal domain interface structure (Fig. 6c)]. A comparison of the urea denaturation of the modified protein with the wild type showed that the denaturation curve of the deamidated protein has two transitions, one with a midpoint at 4.2 M urea, the second at 7.1 M urea. In contrast, the curve of the wild type protein showed only a single transition at 5.9 M urea. Using a spin label attached to a Cys in the N-terminal domain, it was shown that the N-terminal domain is less stable in the deamidated protein than in the wild type protein, while the C-terminal domain is more stable. The increased stability of the C-terminal domain is presumably due to the additional charge, the decrease in stability of the N-terminal domain indicates that, as in βB2-crystallin, the N-terminal domain is stabilized by the interaction with the C-terminal domain. Presumably, in the deamidated protein the interface between the N- and C-terminal domains is disturbed. These data show that the major effect of deamidation of βB1-crystallin at Gln 204 is not one of stability: that of the N-terminal domain decreases, that of the C-terminal domain increases. The major effect is on assembly and aggregation: the mutant protein was less resistant to precipitation during heating, the flexibility of the N-terminal arm was decreased (Lampi et al., 2002a), and the mutant protein could not be fully refolded from urea, unlike the wild type protein (Kim et al., 2002).

Some age-related deamidations are difficult to model using recombinant proteins. When deamidation of an Asn residue has occurred via the succinimidyl intermediate resulting in the addition of extra carbon to the polypeptide backbone, it can be identified by the presence of β-aspartate, but not easily reproduced in vitro (Geiger and Clarke, 1987; Voorter et al., 1988; Fujii et al., 2001). In general this modification in proteins tends to be correlated with flexibility of the
native backbone chain (Aswad et al., 2000). The structure of the C-terminal domain of human γS-crystallin (Purkiss et al., 2002) shows that a β-aspartate, identified at the site of Asn 143 (equivalent to residue 138 in the γB numbering scheme (Figs. 3 and 17) that is deamidated in cataract (Takemoto, 2001), is located in a highly ordered structural region. This modification would be destabilizing if it occurred to the folded protein (Fig. 17). A more global study of the deamidation sites of γS-crystallin from nuclear cataractous lenses showed more deamidation in the disulphide bonded-water-insoluble protein compared with water-soluble components and that surface exposure was a prerequisite for deamidation (Lapko et al., 2002a). It has been argued that these results are consistent with the hypothesis that the change in surface charge causes conformational changes which are followed by disulphide bonds and then by crystallin insolubility (Lapko et al., 2002a). Nevertheless, the overall level of β-aspartate in the human lens was found to be correlated only with age, not with cataract (van den Oetelaar and Hoenders, 1989b).

6.5. Disulfide bond formation in γS-crystallin

The data on the separate N and C domains of γS-crystallin show that the domain interaction contributes little to the stability of the full length γS-crystallin, suggesting that the domains easily dissociate (see Section 3.4.2). As domain dissociation may be one of the reasons why γS-crystallin is particularly sensitive to oxidation, a combination of small angle X-ray scattering and chromatography was used to characterize the effect of oxidation on the solution structure of

![Fig. 17. γS-crystallin C-terminal domain cysteine and amide accessibilities. The two cysteines in the C-terminal domain of human γS-crystallin are completely buried having zero solvent accessibility. The Asn 143 (topologically equivalent to Asn 138 of bovine γB-crystallin, green), that is deamidated involving β-aspartate formation, occurs on the conserved folded β-hairpin. Gln 106 (topologically equivalent to Gln 101 of γB-crystallin, and Gln 120 (topologically equivalent to Gln 115 in γB-crystallin) are both accessible and found to be deamidated in cataract (based on Fig. 5 from Purkiss et al. (2002) reproduced with permission from Journal of Biological Chemistry).]
human γS-crystallin (Skouri-Panet et al., 2001). No dissociation of γS-crystallin into domains was observed upon oxidation, instead, γS-crystallin formed dimers, linked by intermolecular disulfide bridges. This dimerization was readily reversible by DTT. At least one of the cysteines involved in the disulfide bridge is Cys 24 (topologically equivalent to Cys 20 in γB-crystallin) as mutation of this cysteine to serine abolished the dimerization.

Oxidative dimerization of a protein can be destabilizing. However, the γS-crystallin dimer behaved in this respect like the native monomer, showing that this dimer is as stable as the monomer, and that Cys 24 is likely to be quite exposed on the surface. Potentially, the exposed –SH of γS-crystallin is of adaptive value and has a physiological role as an oxygen sink unless trapped by intramolecular disulfide bonds or blocked by methylation.

6.6. Protection by methylation

Recently it has been shown that specific cysteines in human γB-, γC-, γD- and γS-crystallins are methylated (Lapko et al., 2002b, 2003b). It is argued that this prominent modification can protect crystallins from more damaging oxidation and crosslinking reactions. The small size of the modification would be expected to keep destabilization of a native crystallin domain to a minimum.

7. Conclusions

In the last years a lot of progress has been made in characterizing the crystallins. Yet major gaps in our knowledge remain. The 3D structure of the α-crystallin monomer and its oligomeric assembly, for example, is still unknown in spite of many efforts, although there is now fold information on the common domain from distant relatives. For the β-crystallins the domain fold is known, but we lack insight into its assembly (including even the rules for intra versus intermolecular domain pairing) and thus one of the essentials for understanding lens transparency. Only in the case of γ-crystallins, where the problem of assembly does not arise, can it be said that the structure is understood. At first sight, the γ-crystallins could be considered as inert space fillers. Yet, the variance in expression patterns during development or between species, for example rodents and man, the lack of all γ-crystallins except for γS-crystallin in birds and reptiles, and the separate expansion of this gene family in fish and amphibians suggest that γ-crystallins have been subjected to strong selective pressure during evolution and that specific sets of γ-crystallins have been selected to fulfil specific functions. The unique attractive interaction between these proteins suggests a role in tuning the protein packing; it allows the lens to reach high protein concentrations without a large increase in osmotic pressure. This property, coupled with the high intrinsic stability of these proteins, comes in very useful when a hard, round lens is required. It would be easier to mould this protein family to the particular optical needs of particular species than to recruit novel proteins which share these unique properties.

The conservation of the β-crystallin sequences during evolution suggests, as we have argued above (Section 1.1.5), that the β-crystallins are essential components of the vertebrate transparent lens although, unlike the γ-crystallins, high stability is a variable feature for the family of β-crystallins. Their main role in the mature lens fibre cell may also be space filling, with controlled
assembly of the \( \beta \)-crystallins yielding the required mixture of polydisperse oligomers. The 3D studies have shown that the \( \beta \)-crystallins use structural mechanisms such as domain swapping coupled with interface selection and subunit-exchange to generate a wide range of oligomer diversity. It has not been possible to reproduce the in vivo assembly of \( \beta \)-crystallins in vitro (see Section 2.3.3). Of the recombinant human \( \beta \)-crystallins studied thus far, it would appear that assembly is based on heterodimers and \( \beta B2 \)-crystallin homodimers. It is not clear how in vivo those heterodimeric partners are provided. For instance, are the newly synthesized monomers assembled into homodimers as they come off the ribosome assembly line and left to find their favoured partners in the crowded cytoplasm by subunit exchange, or do the monomers need a chaperone? It would be of interest to determine whether chaperoning by \( \alpha \)-crystallin plays a role in \( \beta \)-crystallin assembly. Alternatively (or in addition), they may need a chaperone later in assembly in order to form \( \beta H \)-crystallins from the smaller heteromers. \( \beta B2 \)-crystallin has been suggested to be the stabilizing (or solubilizing) agent for the other \( \beta \)-crystallins (see also Zhang et al., 2003). However, in the newborn mouse lens, \( \beta B2 \)-crystallin is only a minor component (Ueda et al., 2002). In this lens, \( \beta H \)-crystallin must be assembled without the aid of \( \beta B2 \)-crystallin. This difference in \( \beta \)-crystallin spectrum between the human and rodent lens may be correlated with the need to concentrate proteins in the rodent lens core into a glass, after which time solubility is no longer an issue. However, in the human lens, solution conditions have to prevail over many decades. The long-term expression over the whole lifespan in human lens of a molecule such as \( \beta B2 \)-crystallin with the ability to domain swap and subunit exchange with other members of the \( \beta \)-crystallin family may be needed to solubilize and stabilize other \( \beta \)-crystallins.

A further unknown is the effect of post-translational modification on \( \beta \)-crystallin assembly. The early timing of the truncations (in mouse and man) and deamidations (in man) of these proteins suggests a developmental process rather than age-related decay (Lampi et al., 1998, 2002b; Werten et al., 1999; Ueda et al., 2002). Given the high selective pressure of visual acuity, these post-translational modifications are likely to be of adaptive value. The most obvious possibility is that these are required for protein packing in the water-poor centre of the lens. The few available studies show that truncation changes not only the \( \beta \)-crystallin assembly properties but also affects its solubility in terms of the transition from a liquid to precipitate phase. A decrease in solubility (and possibly an increase in \( T_c \)) would threaten transparency and contribute to age-related cataract. It is thus of obvious importance to understand the functional consequence of these modifications.

\( \alpha \)-Crystallin has a multifunctional role in transparency. Biophysical arguments show that a protein oligomer with the properties of \( \alpha \)-crystallin is required for establishment of the transparent state. The requirement for \( \alpha \)-crystallin in lens morphogenesis may stem from the cytoprotective properties it shares with other heat shock proteins. In 1992 Horwitz proposed a function for \( \alpha \)-crystallin in age-related cataract: \( \alpha \)-crystallin could maintain lens transparency by chaperoning misfolded or damaged proteins in the crowded fibre cells and thus preventing aggregation (Horwitz, 1992). The most likely substrates for \( \alpha \)-crystallin would be the crystallins that are the least stable, and at the same time the most prone to irreversible aggregation following unfolding at ambient temperature. With respect to stability, the most susceptible crystallins are those that unfold (irreversibly) in the presence of relatively low denaturant concentrations. Some acidic \( \beta \)-crystallins fulfil all three risk criteria of low energetic stability, indications of irreversible
unfolding, and low solubility, whereas βB2-crystallin and α-crystallin itself belong to the group of crystallins where the major risk is unfolding (see Table 5).

Obviously, age-related cataract involves the superposition of post-translational covalent modifications onto the age-related conformational effects. α-Crystallin is also subject to covalent modifications such as phosphorylation, deamidation and loss of the C-terminal tail. Since the α-crystallin tertiary and quaternary structures are still unknown, it is difficult to anticipate what conformational changes and quaternary structure reorganizations accompany the covalent modifications. These structural changes may of course modify in turn the chaperone activity of α-crystallin. Denatured α-crystallin is likely to be a substrate for the native α-crystallin chaperone as well. Binding of its own denatured subunits will contribute to the polydispersity of α-crystallin, and might therefore be of adaptive value. From the stability data derived using chemical denaturants, it would be predicted that the α-crystallin chaperone will be fully titrated with non-native α- and β-crystallins before the highly stable unmodified γ-crystallins have unfolded, unless there are specific in vivo conditions that destabilize γ-crystallins before α-crystallins. These are unlikely to be temperature-related and more likely to involve covalent modification of reactive side chains such as oxidation of cysteines. It is clear from the few known human hereditary cataracts caused by point (missense) mutations in γ-crystallin that their effect is a reduction in protein solubility (rather than stability). This would suggest that surface modifications are more likely to result in associations, crystals, or fibres of folded protein which are unlikely to be recognized by the α-crystallin chaperone. In general it is to be expected that by the time unmodified stable crystallins unfold, there will be no α-crystallin chaperone capacity left, it will be saturated with acidic β-crystallins, oxidized γ-crystallins and possibly α-crystallin subunits. It is unclear how the broad spectrum of modifications contributes to the likelihood of the modified protein requiring a chaperone and thus to cataractogenesis, as it depends on the effect on the solubility, stability and conformation of a particular protein, and when in that protein’s life history it occurs.

One question that also needs to be considered is how the chaperoning by α-crystallin affects its biophysical function in establishing transparency. Blocking the repulsive properties of α-crystallin by substrate binding would lead to changes in the short-range order of the crystallins. At the end point of titrating the α-crystallin chaperone activity, most of the α-crystallin would become insoluble. Indeed, this is found in the older human lens (Ma et al., 1998) when the lens, although not classified as having cataract, is less transparent than young. A possible model for a lens depleted of α-crystallin is the αA-crystallin knock-out mouse. In the lens of this mutant, part of the γ-crystallin fraction is selectively insolubilized (Horwitz, 2003). Such insolubilization is unlikely to be due to protein unfolding, as γ-crystallins are very stable proteins, but may well be the result of phase separation or precipitation. If so, this would illustrate that depleting the fibre cell of α-crystallin during ageing, either by complexing with β- or γ-crystallins, or because α-crystallin itself becomes insoluble, disturbs transparency by changing the properties of the concentrated protein mixture. Paradoxically then, a chaperoning function of α-crystallin would cause depletion of α-crystallin and thereby cataract, an expression of the adaptive conflict of a multifunctional protein.

For lens function, not only protein solubility in the fibre cells located at the centre of the lens need to be maintained, but also the integrity of the epithelial cells and the still biosynthetically active cortical cells is required. Cells in other organs do age, and (rat) lens epithelial cells show the
same signs of ageing as cells in other tissues (Li et al., 1997; Pendergrass et al., 2001). In rodents, age-related cataract can be delayed by caloric restriction, which is well known to extend their lifespan (Wolf et al., 2000). In ageing cells all cellular defence systems including DNA repair, RNA surveillance and protein surveillance are attenuated (for review, see Franceschi et al., 2000; Söti and Csermely, 2002). Hence, ageing epithelial and cortical fibre cells would become more sensitive to oxidative and radiation damage. In addition, molecular misreading by RNA polymerase increases (van Leeuwen et al., 2000) causing faulty mRNAs to be made. Translation of such mRNAs obviously yields aberrant proteins. In particular, misfolded integral membrane proteins, including the highly expressed fibre cell proteins connexin and aquaporin, would threaten the osmotic balance of the lens cortical cells, an effect that could spread inwards to the lens core. Ageing of the outer lens cells could thus also be a cause of ageing cataract, although perhaps more likely of cortical cataract than of nuclear cataract.

In studies of C. elegans it was shown that lack of sHsps contributes to ageing (Hsu et al., 2003) and therefore the abundance of α-crystallin in lens epithelial cell would be expected to delay ageing of these cells. Now that the technology for gene expression profiling of normal, cataractous and stressed lens cells is becoming available, additional candidate genes and proteins in the lens epithelium, that either contribute or are responsive to the damage of age-related cataract, will be defined. This will enable the elucidation of pathways involved in the breakdown of lens homeostasis (Spector et al., 2002; Hawse et al., 2003; Ruotolo et al., 2003).

Ageing involves the balance of three processes: presentation of insults, molecular damage and cytoprotection where the cellular system will break down at its weakest point. In age-related nuclear cataract, the exhaustion of a non-renewable resource, the α-crystallin chaperone, is likely to be the limiting factor. In age-related cortical cataract, it may be the absence of cytoprotection, which is in earlier life supplied by α-crystallin (see also Andley et al., 2001), that tips the balance. Thus, even though mechanistically different, α-crystallin would still be a common theme in the two prevalent forms of age-related cataract. α-Crystallin might be considered a therapeutic target for cortical cataract, although for nuclear cataract one should look at ways to keep the β- and γ-crystallin soluble, perhaps by targeting harmful post-translational modifications.
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