Review

For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@future-drugs.com



CONTENTS

Etiology & pathogenesis Pathology Presentation & clinical features Diagnosis Early detection Treatment Outcome Conclusions Expert commentary Five-year view Key issues References Affiliations

[†]Author for correspondence Department of Clinical Ophthalmology, Institute of Ophthalmology, Moorfields Eye Hospital, City Road, London ECIV 2PD, UK Tel.: +44 207 566 2266 Fax: +44 207 251 9350 s.lightman@ucl.ac.uk

KEYWORDS:

amphotericin B, *Candida*, caspofungin, chorioretinitis, endogenous endophthalmitis, fluconazole, intravitreal therapy, vitrectomy, voriconazole

Endogenous *Candida* endophthalmitis

Ahmed Sallam, William Lynn, Peter McCluskey and Sue Lightman⁺

Intraocular *Candida* infections, although uncommon, represent an important clinical problem owing to the potential for visual loss, which can be bilateral. *Candida* chorioretinitis and endophthalmitis are complications of systemic candidiasis with extension of the fungal pathogens to the uvea and retina. Early diagnosis and prompt management significantly affect the visual prognosis for these patients. This review evaluates the current literature on *Candida* endophthalmitis and includes discussion on presentation, diagnosis and management strategies. New systemic and intravitreal antifungal agents are also reviewed in the context of the management of intraocular fungal infection.

Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther. 4(4), 675-685 (2006)

Etiology & pathogenesis

Candida spp. form part of the human flora where they exist as commensals on the mucosal surface of the respiratory, gastrointestinal and female genital tracts. Disturbance of the body's immune system is generally required for these organisms to become pathogenic. Candida is the most common cause of nosocomial fungal infection and, although there is a recent trend towards an increase in the non-albicans spp., Candida albicans is still the most common organism isolated in candidemia [1-3]. In a large prospective study of more than 1000 patients with candidemia, Chen and colleagues reported that C. albicans was the most common species (50.4%), followed by Candida tropicalis (20.5%), Candida parapsilosis (14.2%) and *Candida glabrata* (12.0%). There were 0-2 isolates of Candida krusei per year [1].

The incidence of endogenous fungal endophthalmitis in patients with candidemia has been reported to range from 9 to 45% [4–9]. However, data from Feman and colleagues showed that, in patients with disseminated fungal disease, *Candida* chorioretinitis and endophthalmitis occurred in approximately 2.5% of their cases. This low figure may signify that the current trend for prophylaxis and prompt early treatment when *Candida* is detected in blood cultures [10] has decreased the incidence of ocular complications dramatically [11]. In part, this may also be due to the superior ocular penetration of fluconazole (as compared with amphotericin B [AMB]), which is now used more frequently as antifungal prophylaxis in high-risk situations and also in the systemic treatment of candidal endophthalmitis.

Candida chorioretinitis and endophthalmitis occur predominantly as a result of candidemia seeding the eye, although cases occurring in otherwise healthy individuals have been very rarely reported [12]. While C. albicans is the most common form of fungal endogenous endophthalmitis [13], other Candida spp. may rarely cause this [14-16], with not much difference in the pattern of prevalent species noted in systemic candidemia. Uncommonly, Candida infection may occur after penetrating trauma or intraocular surgery and this type of intraocular inflammation is referred to as exogenous endophthalmitis.

Risk factors for the development of candidemia and endogenous fungal endophthalmitis are related mainly to suppression of the antifungal immune mechanisms or to procedures that increase the risk of blood-borne infection. Well-established factors include: immunosuppressive diseases, such as uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, cancer, therapy with broad-spectrum antibiotics and immunosuppressive drugs, major surgery, especially intra-abdominal surgery, intravenous hyperalimenation, indwelling intravenous catheters, and intravenous drug use [17–19], as well as neutropenia, which is the most common underlying condition associated with fungemia [20]. In a retrospective study involving 46 patients with fungal endophthalmitis, neutrophil counts equal to or less than 500 cells/ml were noted in approximately 70% of patients [19] and neutropenia was also shown to be associated with a poor response to antifungal treatment [21]. *C. albicans* endophthalmitis can also be seen in the postpartum period or after abortion, presumably as a complication of transient candidemia [22,23].

Despite the very high incidence of mucosal candidiasis, *Candida* retinitis is very uncommon in HIV-infected patients in the absence of other risk factors [24,25]. In a large retrospective series of 1163 HIV-infected patients, Jabs reported a single (<0.1%) case of *Candida* retinitis in a patient who was an intravenous drug user. He attributed this low incidence to the fact that immunity against systemic candidiasis is not dependent on cell-mediated immunity, in contrast to cytomegalovirus infection (e.g., retinitis) or cryptococcal infection. Instead it depends mainly on neutrophil cellular activity, which is not severely disturbed in HIV infection [24].

Newborns with low birth weight and prolonged hospital stay are at risk of developing candidemia. Although the occurrence of ocular infection is very unusual in this age group [26], close follow-up of newborns who survive candidemia is still essential as, rarely, preterm infants with successfully treated candidemia may develop a fungal abscess in the crystalline lens, as a result of sequestration of *Candida*. The organisms then escape the effect of systemic antifungal drugs [27]. In addition, *Candida* sepsis was found to be associated independently with progression of retinopathy of prematurity and the need for surgical intervention in extremely low-birth-weight neonates [28]. Fungal infections may cause release of proinflammatory cytokines that aggravate retinal neovascularization in retinopathy of prematurity [29].

In contrast to deep and disseminated fungal infection, the presence of superficial fungal infection is not itself a risk for developing ocular infection. Feman and colleagues found no cases of fungal endophthalmitis or chorioretinitis among the 32 patients with superficial fungal infection examined [11]. However, *Candida* endophthalmitis may develop in patients receiving antifungal therapy, as they may have a resistant organism [11].

Pathology

The choroid is the primary site of infection in the eye with secondary involvement of the retina (chorioretinitis) (FIGURE 1) and, from there, *Candida* spreads into the vitreous [17]. The inflammation is usually suppurative in nature with formation of multiple small vitreous abscesses [17], but a combination of granulomatous and suppurative inflammation has also been described [9]. Small foci of retinal damage are seen with *Candida* retinitis compared with extensive retinal necrosis with *Aspergillus* infection [17].

Presentation & clinical features

Patients with ocular *Candida* infection usually present with a subacute onset of floaters and blurred vision that may be associated with ocular discomfort and photophobia [11]. Early or peripheral fungal lesions may be asymptomatic, with patient's referral for ocular consultation being on the basis of a positive blood culture or diagnosis of systemic fungal infection. In a report that reviewed cases of culture-positive endogenous endophthalmitis, Binder and colleagues showed that, in cases with yeast infection, nearly half the patients did not have any detectable associated infectious focus beyond the blood, whereas the most common additional infectious focus among these patients was urinary tract infection, seen in 30% of cases [30].

Conjunctival injection and inflammation in the anterior chamber with or without a hypopyon may be present (FIGURE 2). Inflammatory cells may also be deposited at the back of the cornea (keratitic precipitates). The hallmark for the diagnosis of *Candida* chorioretinitis is the presence of a fluffy creamy white lesion at the level of the retina and choroid that is usually associated with vitreous haze (FIGURE 3A) [4]. The lesions are commonly multiple and can be bilateral, hence the importance of examining both eyes even in patients with uniocular symptoms. Progression of an active lesion is noted by forward protrusion into the vitreous cavity, a sign first described by Villafont and colleagues in 1964 [31]. The inflammation may extend into the vitreous and sometimes intravitreal puff ball-like lesions are seen, which represent vitreous abscesses (FIGURE 3B).

Nonspecific lesions, such as intraretinal hemorrhages, nerve fiber layer infarcts and white centered hemorrhages (Roth spots) may be seen in the fundus in 10–20% of patients with candidemia. The presence of these lesions is not diagnostic and may be caused by either ocular candidiasis or associated systemic disease [4,32,33]. Serial ophthalmological examination is usually helpful to determine the nature of these lesions [33]. Retinal vascular occlusion may occur with fungal infection [19,34] and is usually associated with poor visual outcome [19].

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of *Candida* endophthalmitis is usually based on the appearance of the typical fundus lesion(s) in a patient with disseminated *Candida* infection or significant risk factors. In this context, isolation of the organism from urine, blood or other suspected sites such as intravenous lines and indwelling catheters supports the presumptive diagnosis. Positive *Candida* blood cultures occur in only 50–75% of patients with *Candida* endophthalmitis [33], presumably because some patients only have transient or intermittent fungemia. Martinez-Vazques and colleagues reported seven (47%) positive cultures in 15 cases of suspected *C. albicans* endophthalmitis complicating intravenous drug abuse [35]. The yield of positive cultures from vitreous samples is usually low in cases with fungal endophthalmitis. In a retrospective study by Tanaka and colleagues, positive cultures were found in only 38% of vitreous specimens in



Figure 1. (A) Macrosection of an eye showing *Candida* abscesses. (B) Histopathological section showing an abscess in choroid invading the retina and with vitreous cells.

patients with endogenous fungal endophthalmitis. The authors attributed these results to several factors, including culture techniques and presurgical antimicrobial treatment [19].

Specimens for vitreous cultures obtained during vitrectomy surgery may be more sensitive in making the diagnosis than those obtained by vitreous needle biopsy. In a recent report that included 14 patients with fungal endophthalmitis, four cases who had a negative culture when the sample was taken via a needle tap were found to be positive when another biopsy was taken during vitrectomy [36]. This may in part be explained by fungal infection starting in the choroid and extending through the retina to the posterior part of the vitreous. Pathogens are thus present mainly in the opacified vitreous near the retina and can only be sampled during vitrectomy from posterior vitreous near the retina and not by vitreous tap that aspirates anterior and central vitreous [36].

Detection of *C. albicans* DNA in intraocular fluid can be carried out using PCR assay. This method has been shown to be sensitive and rapid and overcomes the limitations of vitreous fluid culture [37]. It also does not require viable organisms and can be performed on a small sample volume [38]. With routine cultures, the likelihood of obtaining positive culture results from the aqueous humor is much less than those from the vitreous [39,40], but PCR may be able to detect *Candida* DNA in aqueous samples taken through an anterior chamber paracentesis, which is an easier and less-invasive procedure when compared with vitreous aspiration or biopsy. Rapid detection of non-*albicans* spp. by PCR would permit the proper selection of antimicrobial treatment, as in species that are expected to be azole-resistant.

However, PCR may detect DNA from live or dead organisms and this should be remembered when interpreting results in patients already receiving treatment. Okhravi and associates used combined PCR assay and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis with different oligonucleotide primers to detect fungi in vitreous fluid and identify the specific *Candida* spp. causing the infection. Of four studied eyes, PCR products were found in three eyes and only those that tested positive with PCR responded to antifungal therapy, whereas the PCR-negative specimen was also negative by culture and did not respond to antifungal drugs [40].

Early detection

Published rates of endophthalmitis complicating candidemia vary widely and this is probably owing to differences in the patient population studied and prophylactic/systemic treatment regimens used. The consequences of unrecognized endophthalmitis are severe, which warrants formal ophthalmological assessment after detection of candidemia. An initial nega-

tive review should be followed by another at 2 weeks. In a prospective study of 31 patients with candidemia, the incidence of chorioretinitis was found to be 26% (eight patients), with 16% (five patients) diagnosed on their initial ocular consultation and three patients diagnosed within a 2-week period of their first review. The authors reported no evidence of ocular candidiasis in other patients who continued to be examined for up to 24 weeks [8]. As *Candida* endophthalmitis could be initially mistaken for noninfective uveitis [30,41], ophthalmologists need to maintain a high index of suspicion for patients with intraocular inflammation and a history of systemic immunosuppression, intravenous drug use or recent history of invasive surgical procedures [41]. Clinicians involved in the management of patients with candidemia should be aware of the potential for



Figure 2. Hypopyon in an eye with intraocular Candida infection.



Figure 3. (A) Creamy white lesion classic of intraocular Candida. (B) Puff ball appearance of Candida infection extending into the vitreous.

eye involvement. Early consideration of ophthalmological evaluation should be given and is essential if the patient has visual symptoms or the eye is red.

Treatment

Patients with *Candida* chorioretinitis need to have the type and extent of their disease diagnosed, any complications detected and any underlying systemic cause or risk factor defined. Treatment should be instituted as soon as the diagnosis is made, in collaboration with the treating physician, with the aim to treat both the systemic and ocular candidiasis. Therapy should be continued until complete resolution of visible lesions. There are no randomized controlled trials on the duration of therapy for fungal eye disease. Clinical guidelines recommend courses of 6-12 weeks of therapy to ensure complete eradication of the systemic disease as well as any residual fungus in the eye [42].

the fungal cell membrane, resulting in increased cell membrane permeability and, ultimately, cell death [44]. The drug exhibits wide antifungal activity [45], although limited activity has been reported against some of the non-C. albicans spp. [46-49]. This drug is available commercially as AMB deoxycholate (D-AMB) since combining the drug with sodium deoxycholate, a bile salt carrier, increases its aqueous solubility. The drug is given as an intravenous infusion in dextrose over several hours in a dose of up to 1.5 mg/kg/day in severe cases, although a dosage above 1 mg/kg is often associated with high toxicity. When given parenterally, it is highly protein bound and distributes poorly to body fluids and tissues. Although the presence of intraocular inflammation enhances AMB penetration into the eye, systemically delivered AMB (even as lipid formulations) does not achieve therapeutic concentrations in the eye and is therefore not effective in the management of Candida retinitis extending into the vitreous [50,51]. The

Systemic antifungal therapy

Systemic antimycotic agents can be used to treat ocular candidiasis, as well as the systemic infection. However, once the fungus has penetrated the blood-eye barrier and crossed Bruch's membrane into the retina, the infection is then no longer confined to the choroid, so drugs that cross the blood-retinal barrier and achieve high intraocular levels must be used [43], usually in combination with intravitreal therapy when the vitreous is involved.

AMB is a polyene antibiotic that binds to the ergosterol of the fungal cell membrane forming an ergosterol-AMB complex. This complex forms pores in



Figure 4. (A) Retinal detachment occurring in an eye treated for *Candida* endophthalmitis with systemic fluconazole. **(B)** Ultrasound showing fixed detached retina in patient with *Candida* endophthalmitis without vitrectomy.

systemic toxicity of AMB is a serious disadvantage. Nephrotoxicity is the main problem encountered and frequently leads to dose reduction or cessation of therapy [52]. Other side effects include hypokalemia, fever and severe hypotension.

Lipid formulation of AMB aims to optimize therapy with AMB as these compounds are associated with fewer side effects, greater therapeutic index and higher distribution of the drug to infected tissues [53,54]. In addition, they may have better intraocular penetration than standard AMB. Goldblum and colleagues studied the ocular penetration of two different types of lipid formulated AMB, namely, AMB lipid complex (ABLC) and liposomal AMB (L-AMB), as compared with D-AMB in a rabbit model. Results showed that, after intravenous administration, AMB concentration in the aqueous and vitreous humor was highest with L-AMB in inflamed eyes. After 1 week of treatment, the AMB concentration in the vitreous was 0.47 \pm 0.21 µg/ml with L-AMB, 0.27 \pm 0.18 µg/ml with ABLC and $0.16 \pm 0.04 \mu g/ml$ with D-AMB. Intraocular distribution was highly dependent on the presence of intraocular inflammation and no intravitreal AMB was detected in the absence of uveitis [55]. In practice, AMB is injected directly into the vitreous in most patients with Candida endophthalmitis to bypass the blood-retinal barrier, and is not given systemically to treat intraocular infection.

The 5-flucytosine antimetabolite selectively inhibits fungal cell division. It is well absorbed orally and, although it may be administered by intravenous infusion, it is associated with higher toxicity and should only be used if the oral route is not available. After uptake into fungal cells, the drug is deaminated into 5-flurouracil and then phosphorylated into 5-flurodeoxuridine monophosphate, which in turn inhibits the enzyme thymidylate synthetase, which is needed for DNA synthesis [56]. As the deamination step required for its activation occurs specifically inside fungal cells, systemic toxicity of the drug is usually low. However, bone marrow depression and alteration of liver function may be encountered. 5-flucytosine achieves therapeutic concentrations in the vitreous and aqueous humor after oral administration [57] and is active against Candida and Cryptococcus spp. and some strains of Aspergillus spp. It is usually used in combination with other antifungal agents as acquired resistance develops quickly if used alone. Concurrent therapy with AMB and 5-flucytosine is synergistic and can be used in severe cases of endophthalmitis [58].

Fluconazole is a triazole antifungal agent that works by inhibiting the fungal enzyme cytochrome P450, which is involved in the synthesis of ergosterol. As a result, ergosterol synthesis decreases and 14α -methylated sterols accumulate, with increase in the cell membrane permeability and, subsequently, cell death [59]. The drug is active against most yeasts, including *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis*. *C. glabrata* has reduced susceptibility to fluconazole with rates of resistance to itraconazole and fluconazole at 10.7 and 15.2%, respectively [1], while isolates of *C. krusei* are intrinsically resistant to fluconazole [60]. Fluconazole achieves good concentrations in the cerebrospinal fluid, and vitreous and aqueous humor after oral or intravenous administration [49,59,61]. In contrast to AMB, this drug is well tolerated by most patients, with fewer side effects, but hepatotoxicity may rarely be seen, especially in patients with AIDS [62,63].

In a meta-analysis of several prospective studies that compared fluconazole with AMB, Kontoyiannis and colleagues proved that there was little difference in efficacy in the treatment of systemic candidiasis due to C. albicans [64]. However, given the superior penetration of this azole into the vitreous, it is the preferred systemic drug for the treatment of intraocular candidiasis [50,51]. Although fluconazole has been used for the treatment of systemic Candida infection for more than a decade, increasing drug resistance does not appear to pose a significant problem [1,65]. In patients with candidemia, less than 1% of fungal isolates exhibited resistance to fluconazole, with little difference in the susceptibility to fluconazole in 1999-2000 (97.9%) compared with that detected in 1994–1995 (94%) [1]. Resistance of C. albicans to fluconazole is currently estimated to be less than 5% [65]. Although it was originally suggested that there was a deleterious interaction between fluconazole and AMB in an animal model, studies in humans have indicated that combined therapy with fluconazole and AMB is not antagonistic compared with fluconazole monotherapy and may be associated with an improved outcome [66,67].

Ketoconazole and itraconazole are drugs that belong to the triazole and imidazole groups, respectively. Both drugs can be administered orally and are effective against *Candida* spp., but isolates of *C. glabrata* may be resistant to itraconazole [19,68]. These agents are used in mucocutaneous disease, but variable absorption and blood levels make them unreliable in the management of systemic candidiasis. Itraconazole has good activity against *Aspergillus* spp., unlike ketoconazole and fluconazole [69]. Savani and colleagues studied the ocular penetration of several azole compounds in an animal model and showed a greater ocular distribution of fluconazole than both itraconazole and ketoconazole. For all three drugs, intravitreal concentrations were higher in inflamed eyes compared with eyes with no induced uveitis [70].

Intravitreal therapy

The rationale for the use of intravitreal injections of antifungal agents is to achieve a high intraocular level of the drug and simultaneously limit drug-related systemic toxicity. Drawbacks of this modality include the likelihood of retinal toxicity and injury to intraocular structures. Furthermore, as fungal endophthalmitis is usually part of a systemic infection, intravitreal injections alone are insufficient to manage the systemic candidiasis, but are used in addition when the eye is involved.

AMB is the most common antifungal agent given by this route, although other antifungal agents have been investigated for intravitreal injection [71–74]. The recommended dose of AMB is $5-10 \mu g$ in $50-100 \mu l$ [75]. Since the drug can cause focal retinal necrosis, injection should be carried out slowly into the central vitreous cavity and as far as possible from the retinal surface [76]. The number of injections needed is not

standardized but depends mainly on the severity of infection, the clinical response of the patient to initial treatment and whether vitreous has been removed or not, since ocular clearance of the drug is enhanced after vitrectomy [77]. Although fluconazole can be given intravitreally ($100 \mu g$) and scleral implants containing fluconazole were found to be useful in a rabbit model, neither are used in most clinical centers [78].

Newer azole drugs

Voriconazole is a new-generation triazole, approved recently for the treatment of serious infection by *Aspergillus, Fusarium*, *Paecilomyces* spp. and resistant *Candida* spp. [79]. The drug has an excellent pharmacokinetic profile with 96% oral bioavailability and achieves a peak plasma concentration in 2–3 h after oral dosage [80]. In one study, after two oral doses (400 mg, administered 12 h apart), aqueous and vitreous levels were found to be greater than the minimum concentration required to inhibit 90% of growth (MIC₉₀) of most fungi with the concentrations in the aqueous and vitreous equal to 53 and 38.1%, respectively, of the plasma drug concentration [81].

Furthermore, in another study, 1 week of intravenous treatment with voriconazole was shown to result in higher intraocular concentrations and achieved a level exceeding 18-times the MIC_{90} of *C. albicans* [15]. Voriconazole use may be associated with skin rash and photosensitivity as well as disturbance of liver function. Importantly, when this is given systemically, transient visual disturbances (photopsia, disturbed color vision and blurring of vision) are seen in approximately a third of the patients with no evidence of ocular involvement, but these are reversible and do not necessitate drug withdrawal [82].

In an *in vitro* study of the susceptibility of pathogens causing fungal keratitis and endophthalmitis in South Florida, Marangon and colleagues found that nearly all isolates were sensitive to voriconazole, while susceptibility to AMB and fluconazole was 76.5 and 60%, respectively. For Candida spp., MIC₉₀ values for voriconazole were much lower than that of AMB (0.5 μ g/ml), fluconazole (0.5 μ g/ml) and others. In this study, Candida spp. were the most common cause of endogenous endophthalmitis, with C. albicans responsible for 53.5% of the Candida cases [13]. Breit and colleagues published a review series of five patients with endogenous Candida endophthalmitis who were managed successfully with systemic voriconazole alone or in combination with caspofungin. Only one patient with bilateral disease experienced treatment failure that necessitated intravitreal AMB in either eye, although three of these patients had organisms that were resistant to systemic fluconazole [15].

Voriconazole has been considered recently for intravitreal injection. Gao and colleagues investigated the safety of injecting the drug into the vitreous of a rodent model [83]. Their data showed that intravitreal concentrations of 25 μ g/ml or less were not associated with any retinal toxicity that could be detected by electrophysiological and histological examination. They suggested that a dose of 100 μ g could be used safely for intravitreal injection in humans [83]. In the paper by Breit and

colleagues, one patient with severe *C. albicans* endophthalmitis was treated successfully with intravitreal in addition to systemic voriconazole and no sign of retinal toxicity was seen up to 4 months postinjection [15]. Several other reports have also demonstrated the safety and efficacy of intravitreal voriconazole in cases of fungal endophthalmitis due to *Aspergillus* and *Verticillium* spp. [84–86].

Posaconazole is a new triazole antifungal agent currently being investigated for treating systemic mycotic infections [87,88]. The drug has an extended spectrum against most fungal pathogens, including fluconazole-resistant *Candida* and refractory cases of *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus* infection [89]. Posaconazole has excellent bioavailability after oral administration and appears to achieve high concentrations in both aqueous humor and vitreous [87,90]. To date, the exact role of posaconazole in treating intraocular fungal infection has not been determined. Sponsel and colleagues published the report of a patient with contact lens-related *Fusarium solani* keratitis and endophthalmitis that resolved completely with systemic and topical posaconazole, despite being resistant to AMB and ketoconazole [90].

Echinocandins

Caspofungin belongs to a new class of antifungal agents known as echinocandins, which selectively target the fungal cell wall [91]. The drug is approved currently in the USA and Europe for the treatment of invasive aspergillosis resistant to AMB and itraconazole and can only be given parenterally.

In a prospective trial comparing caspofungin and AMB for the treatment of invasive candidiasis, both drugs were shown to be equally effective. No patients in the caspofungin group and only one patient in the AMB group developed new ocular lesions while receiving treatment. Fewer drug-related side effects were experienced by patients receiving caspofungin [92].

Several animal studies have demonstrated excellent drug distribution into the eye of the related drug micafungin after systemic administration [93]; however, clinical experience with caspofungin in endophthalmitis is limited. Few case reports describe its use in individual cases of *C. glabrata* and *Fusarium* endophthalmitis, whether alone or combined with other antifungal agents [14,84]. As discussed previously, Breit and colleagues achieved good results with a combination of voriconazole and caspofungin in a series of patients with endophthalmitis due to *C. albicans, C. glabrata* and *C. guilliermondii* [15]. Other drugs from this group are emerging [94].

Intravitreal steroids

The use of intraocular steroid injection in the management of fungal endophthalmitis is controversial, but is not undertaken by most ophthalmologists. Although in an animal study, Coats and Peyman showed that injecting dexamethasone in the vitreous increased significantly the rate of vitreous clearance when combined with AMB [95], clinical use in cases of exogenous fungal endophthalmitis failed to show any significant difference in visual or anatomical outcome in the dexamethasone-plus group [96]. In addition, steroids may interfere with monocyte cell activity on fungal pathogens that may potentiate the infection [97]. No controlled trial data exist, and it is unlikely that such a trial would be undertaken.

Ocular surgery

Surgical removal of the vitreous gel (vitrectomy) is indicated in eyes with fungal endophthalmitis that have severe vitreous involvement. Early vitrectomy may be indicated for lesions involving the fovea and also in patients with poor visual acuity at presentation. Vitrectomy is typically both diagnostic and therapeutic in patients with *Candida* infection. Removal of the vitreous decreases the fungal load and thus increases the effect of the antifungal drugs and provides a specimen for laboratory studies and culture. Most surgeons would opt to inject an antifungal drug intravitreally at the conclusion of the surgery [36]. In addition, vitrectomy decreases the late-onset development of retinal membranes and tractional retinal detachment due to vitreous contraction that can occur during the healing stage (FIGURE 4) [98]. Rarely, choroidal neovascularization may complicate Candida endophthalmitis and can result in late-onset visual loss. Management is with laser photocoagulation or surgical excision [99,100].

Outcome

Visual prognosis in endogenous endophthalmitis depends on the virulence of the organism, the location of the fungal abscess and the degree of ocular damage before the start of effective treatment [16]. Retinal detachment consequent to vitreous contraction in nonvitrectomized eyes and the development of fibrotic membranes distorting the macula are an important cause of visual loss after settling of the infection. In addition, choroidal neovascular membranes may develop at the site of healed scars. Patients with Candida endophthalmitis generally have a better visual outcome than those due to Aspergillus infection, which tend to involve the macula and are usually associated with more extensive retinal damage [17,30,41]. In two reports, more than 80% of patients with Candida endophthalmitis had a final visual acuity of 6/60 or more [19,30], with better visual acuity in eyes that underwent early vitrectomy and intravitreal injection of antifungal drugs [36,101]. It is important to remember that patients with candidemia have a high overall mortality rate, which may approach 50% [101,102], which reflects the extent and severity of fungal infection, as well as their underlying medical problems [41].

Conclusions

Candida chorioretinitis is the most common cause of endogenous endophthalmitis seen in clinical practice. Although uncommon, the disease is serious and frequently sight threatening. All but a few cases of ocular candidiasis are seen in patients with risk factors for candidemia, and thus, they are at risk of death due to concomitant infection of vital organs, such as the heart or brain. Important predisposing factors for systemic candidemia include systemic immunosuppression, recent invasive procedures and intravenous drug abuse. Although *Candida* is an increasingly prevalent cause of nosocomial infection, the

incidence of ocular infection appears to be decreasing owing to the recent trend of early systemic treatment of deep tissue fungal infection and prophylaxis with fluconazole in high-risk situations. Typically, the disease presents as a focal white fluffy infiltrate in the choroid and retina that may break into the vitreous. Lesions are usually associated with vitritis and vitreous abscesses may be seen. The diagnosis is usually made on clinical grounds and requires a high index of suspicion. It may be confirmed by laboratory investigation, but the yield of positive blood and vitreous cultures is generally low. Both intravitreal and systemic treatment are used for treatment of Candida chorioretinitis and endophthalmitis, but still there is no universal agreement regarding the specific indications for either form of treatment. Systemic antifungal drugs are needed to manage coexisting candidemia and preference is given to drugs that penetrate the blood-retinal barrier when lesions extend into the retina and vitreous. Vitrectomy and intravitreal AMB are indicated for cases with severe vitreous involvement. Early vitrectomy appears to decrease the incidence of late retinal detachment and improve the visual outcome. Intravitreal voriconazole may offer a new treatment option to manage Candida endophthalmitis but further evaluation is needed.

Expert commentary

Candida chorioretinitis should be considered in the differential diagnosis of posterior uveitis or endophthalmitis in an immunocompromised host or a patient who has recently had intravenous lines or is an intravenous drug abuser. The diagnosis is usually straightforward on clinical examination, but vitreous biopsy or, preferentially, a diagnostic and therapeutic vitrectomy may be needed in selected patients to confirm or rule out the diagnosis. Although not available routinely, PCR studies of vitreous specimens appear to be very sensitive and can compensate for the shortcomings of vitreous and aqueous humor cultures. Successful management depends on early diagnosis and prompt treatment, as well as close collaboration between physicians and ophthalmologists. All patients with candidemia should have a formal ophthalmological assessment. When visual symptoms or a red eye are present, this is urgent. In the absence of ocular symptoms, ocular examination should occur within 1-2 weeks. Repeat evaluation at 2 weeks is required in patients seen initially within a few days of a positive blood culture. Management is usually tailored to the degree and severity of ocular involvement. Early lesions confined to the choroid are outside the blood-retinal barrier and can be treated as for systemic infection with drugs, such as AMB. Flat lesions involving the retina with minimal overlying vitritis need initial systemic treatment with drugs, such as fluconazole, that achieve a high therapeutic level in the eye and also need to be watched carefully. Fluconazole can be used without deleterious drug interaction with AMB when systemic involvement necessitates continuing AMB. Lesions extending into the vitreous are best managed with vitrectomy and intravitreal AMB injection in addition to systemic fluconazole. Voriconazole should be considered for the management of ocular candidiasis in the following situations: fungal isolates

from blood/eye that are likely to be or known to be not susceptible to fluconazole, especially when resistance is demonstrated by *in vitro* testing, as with *C. krusei* and *C. glabrata* and patients who develop intraocular involvement while receiving a full systemic treatment dose of fluconazole. Caspofungin and emerging echinocandins should be considered as salvage therapy or if toxicity prevents the use of other agents [103].

Five-year view

The area that will be the focus of most research activity during the next 5 years is probably the development of new systemic agents that can penetrate the blood–retinal barrier and achieve high therapeutic levels in the vitreous, perhaps avoiding the need for additional intravitreal therapy. Voriconazole appears to be promising but further trials are needed before it should replace fluconazole as systemic treatment for fungal endophthalmitis, which is cheaper, more readily available and has stood the test of time. The role of caspofungin remains uncertain at the present time.

Further development of intravitreal medications continues and newer drugs will be coming into clinical practice. Attention should also be given to the analysis of the pathophysiology during the healing process of *Candida* endophthalmitis, as clinical experience shows that retinal traction due to formation of surface membranes remains an important cause of visual failure in treated eyes. Development of therapeutic agents that modulate cellular activity and extracellular matrix proliferation could, at least theoretically, improve the visual outcome.

Key issues

- Candida albicans is the most common cause of fungal endogenous endophthalmitis.
- *Candida* endophthalmitis is seen mainly in immunocompromised patients, after invasive medical procedures and in intravenous substance misusers.
- Neutrophils appear to be the most important defensive mechanism against dissemination of *Candida* infection and this may explain the low incidence of *Candida* retinitis in AIDS patients with severe immunosuppression.
- All patients with candidemia should have a dilated ophthalmological examination shortly after diagnosis for early detection of ocular involvement.
- Diagnosis is mainly clinical and treatment requires cooperation with other physicians involved in the management of the patient.
- The sensitivity of standard cultures for the detection of *Candida* in the vitreous is still relatively low and candidemia may be intermittent, thereby remaining undetected by blood cultures.
- PCR of aqueous and vitreous samples is a recent method that may become useful in patients with an unclear diagnosis.
- Incidence is decreasing owing to the trend of prophylaxis in patients at high risk and early initiation of antifungal agents in patients with systemic candidiasis.
- Fluconazole remains the systemic drug of choice for intraocular candidiasis as it crosses the blood-retinal barrier and is effective against most of the endophthalmitis-causing *Candida* spp.
- Early vitrectomy and intravitreal antifungal agents appear to improve the visual prognosis.
- Further studies are needed to define the indications for the newer antifungal agents.

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as:

- of interest
- •• of considerable interest
- Chen YC, Chang SC, Luh KT *et al.* Stable susceptibility of *Candida* blood isolates to fluconazole despite increasing use during the past 10 years. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 52(1), 71–77 (2003).
- 2 Kibbler CC, Seaton S, Barnes RA *et al.* Management and outcome of bloodstream infections due to *Candida* species in England and Wales. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 54(1), 18–24 (2003).
- 3 Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Messer SA *et al.* Activities of fluconazole and variconazole against 1,586 recent clinical isolates of

Candida species determined by broth microdilution, disk diffusion and Etest methods: report from the ARTEMIS global antifungal susceptibility program, 2001. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 41(4), 1440–1446 (2003).

- 4 Donahue SP, Greven CM, Zuravleff JJ et al. Intraocular candidiasis in patients with candidemia. Clinical implications derived from a prospective multicenter study. Ophthalmology 101(7), 1302–1309 (1994).
- 5 McDonnel PJ, McDonnel JM, Brown RH et al. Ocular involvement in patients with fungal infections. Ophthalmology 92(5), 706–709 (1985).

- 6 Brooks RG. Prospective study of *Candida* endophthalmitis in hospitalized patients with candidemia. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 149(10), 2226–2228 (1989).
- 7 Bross J, Talbot GH, Maislin G *et al.* Risk factors for nosocomial candidemia: a casecontrol study in adults without leukemia. *Am. J. Med.* 87(6), 614–620 (1989).
- 8 Krishna R, Amuh D, Lowder CY *et al.* Should all patients with candidemia have an ophthalmic examination to rule out ocular candidiasis? *Eye* 14(Pt 1), 30–34 (2000).
- Griffin JR, Pettit TH, Fishman LS et al. Blood-borne Candida endophthalmitis. A clinical and pathologic study of 21 cases. Arch. Ophthalmol. 89(6), 450–456 (1973).

- Pappas PG, Rex JH, Lee J et al.; NIAID Mycoses Study Group. A prospective observational study of candidemia: epidemiology, therapy, and influences on mortality in hospitalized adult and pediatric patients. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 37, 634–643 (2003).
- 11 Feman SS, Nichols JC, Chung SM et al. Endophthalmitis in patients with disseminated fungal disease. *Trans. Am. Ophthalmol. Soc.* 100, 67–71 (2002).
- 12 Kostick DA, Foster RE, Lowder CY et al. Endogenous endophthalmitis caused by Candida albicans in a healthy woman. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 113(5), 593–595 (1992).
- 13 Marangon FB, Miller D, Giaconi JA et al. In vitro investigation of voriconazole susceptibility for keratitis and endophthalmitis fungal pathogens. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 37(5), 820–825 (2004).
- Recent article that analyses the spectrum of fungi associated with ocular infections and investigates the susceptibility of these pathogens to currently available antifungal drugs.
- 14 Sarria JC, Bradley JC, Habash R et al. Candida glabrata endophthalmitis treated successfully with caspofungin. Clin. Infect. Dis. 40(5), E46–E48 (2005).
- 15 Breit SM, Hariprasad SM, Mieler WF et al. Management of endogenous fungal endophthalmitis with voriconazole and caspofungin. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 139(1), 135–140 (2005).
- •• Reviews the use of new antifungal drugs in the management of *Candida* endophthalmitis.
- 16 Takebayashi H, Mizota A, Tanaka M. Relation between stage of endogenous fungal endophthalmitis and prognosis. *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* 6, 1–5 (2005).
- •• Important study that defines the risk factors and prognosis of intraocular candidiasis as well as the importance of early detection and intervention.
- 17 Rao NA, Hidayat A. A comparative clinicopathologic study of endogenous mycotic endophthalmitis: variations in clinical and histopathologic changes in candidiasis compared to aspergillosis. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 132(2), 244–251 (2001).
- •• Excellent review of the histopathological features of fungal endophthalmitis that correlates this with clinical presentation of the patients.
- 18 Essman TF, Flynn HW, Smiddy WE et al. Treatment outcomes in a 10-year study of fungal endophthalmitis. *Ophthalmic. Surg. Lasers* 28(3), 185–194 (1997).

- 19 Tanaka M, Kobayashi Y, Takebayashi H et al. Analysis of predisposing clinical and laboratory findings for the development of endogenous fungal endophthalmitis. A retrospective 12-year study of 79 eyes of 46 patients. *Retina* 21(6), 572–574 (2001).
- 20 Uzun O, Ascioglu S, Anaissie EJ et al. Risk factors and predictors of outcome in patients with cancer and breakthrough candidemia. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 32(12), 1713–1717 (2001).
- 21 DiNubile MJ, Hille D, Sable CA *et al.* Invasive candidiasis in cancer patients: observations from a randomized clinical trial. *J. Infect.* 50(5), 443–449 (2005).
- 22 Tsai CC, Chen SJ, Chung YM *et al.* Postpartum endogenous *Candida* endophthalmitis. *J. Formos Med. Assoc.* 101(6), 432–436 (2002).
- 23 Sikic J, Vukojevic N, Katusic D et al. Bilateral endogenous Candida endophthalmitis after induced abortion. Croat. Med. J. 42(6), 676–678 (2001).
- 24 Jabs DA. Ocular manifestations of HIV infection. *Trans. Am. Ophthalmol. Soc.* 93, 623–683 (1995).
- 25 Miailhes P, Labetoulle M, Naas T *et al.* Unusual etiology of visual loss in an HIVinfected patient due to endogenous endophthalmitis. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 7(11), 641–645 (2001).
- 26 Donahue SP, Hein E, Sinatra RB. Ocular involvement in children with candidemia. *Am. J. Opthalmol.* 135(6), 886–887 (2003).
- 27 Singh-Parikshak R, Bothun ED, Superstein R *et al.* Sequestration and late activation of lenticular *Candida* abscess in premature infants. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 122(9), 1393–1395 (2004).
- 28 Manzoni P, Maestri A, Leonessa M et al. Fungal and bacterial sepsis and threshold ROP in preterm very low birth weight neonates. J. Perinatol. 26(1), 23–30 (2006).
- 29 Stone J, Chan-Ling T, Pe'er J *et al.* Roles of vascular endothelial growth factor and astrocyte degeneration in the genesis of retinopathy of prematurity. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 37(2), 290–299 (1996).
- 30 Binder MI, Chua J, Kaiser PK *et al.* Endogenous endophthalmitis: an 18-year review of culture-positive cases at a tertiary care center. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 82(2), 97–105 (2003).
- 31 Villafont H, Chaptal J, Rioux J et al. Mycoses retiniennes. A props de trois observations. Bull. Mem. Soc. Fr. Ophthalmol. 77, 387 (1964).

- 32 Rodriguez-Adrian LJ, King RT, Tamayo-Derat LG *et al.* Retinal lesions as clues to disseminated bacterial and candidal infections: frequency, natural history, and etiology. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 82(3), 187–202 (2003).
- 33 Denning DW, Evans EG, Kibbler CC *et al.* Guidelines for the investigation of invasive fungal infections in haematological malignancy and solid organ transplantation. British Society for Medical Mycology. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 16(6), 424–436 (1997).
- 34 Chowdhury T, Jalali S, Majji AB. Successful treatment of fungal retinitis and retinal vasculitis with oral itraconazole. *Retina* 22(6), 800–802 (2002).
- 35 Martinez-Vazquez C, Fernandez-Ulloa J, Bordon J et al. Candida albicans endophthalmitis in brown heroin addicts: response to early vitrectomy preceded and followed by antifungal therapy. Clin. Infect. Dis. 27(5), 1130–1133 (1998).
- 36 Zhang YQ, Wang WJ. Treatment outcomes after pars plana vitrectomy for endogenous endophthalmitis. *Retina* 25(6), 746–750 (2005).
- 37 Guan HJ, Lu H. Rapid pathogens diagnosis of infected keratitis and endophthalmitis using two steps polymerase chain reaction. *Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi* 40(12), 819–823 (2004).
- 38 Jaeger EE, Carroll NM, Choudhury S et al. Rapid detection and identification of Candida, Aspergillus, and Fusarium species in ocular samples using nested PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 38(8), 2902–2908 (2000).
- 39 Hidalgo JA, Alangaden GJ, Eliott D *et al.* Fungal endophthalmitis diagnosis by detection of *Candida albicans* DNA in intraocular fluid by use of a species-specific polymerase chain reaction assay. *J. Infect. Dis.* 181(3), 1198–1202 (2000).
- 40 Okhravi N, Adamson P, Mant R et al. Polymerase chain reaction and restriction fragment length polymorphism mediated detection and speciation of *Candida* spp causing intraocular infection. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 39, 859–866 (1998)
- 41 Schiedler V, Scott IU, Flynn HW Jr *et al.* Culture-proven endogenous endophthalmitis: clinical features and visual acuity outcomes. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 137(4), 725–731 (2004).
- Reviews the etiology, visual outcome and mortality rate in patients with endogenous endophthalmitis.
- 42 Pappas PG, Rex JH, Sobel JD *et al.* Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for treatment of candidiasis. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 38(2), 161–189 (2004).

- 43 Lynn WA, Lightman S. The eye in systemic infection. *Lancet* 364(9443), 1439–1450 (2004).
- 44 Bolard J, Legrand P, Heitz F *et al.* One-sided action of amphotericin B on cholesterolcontaining membranes is determined by its self-association in the medium. *Biochemistry* 30(23), 5707–5715 (1991).
- 45 Samra Z, Yardeni M, Peled N *et al.* Species distribution and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* bloodstream isolates in a tertiary medical center in Israel. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 24(9), 592–595 (2005).
- 46 Hovi L, Saarinen UM, Donner U *et al.* Opportunistic osteomyelitis in the jaws of children on immunosuppressive chemotherapy. *J. Pediatr. Hematol. Oncol.* 18(1), 90–94 (1996).
- 47 Canton E, Peman J, Gobernado M *et al.* Patterns of amphotericin B killing kinetics against seven *Candida* species. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 48(7), 2477–2482 (2004).
- 48 Yang YL, Li SY, Cheng HH *et al.* The trend of susceptibilities to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species from 1999 to 2002 in Taiwan. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 5, 99 (2005).
- 49 Capoor MR, Nair D, Deb M *et al.* Emergence of non-albicans *Candida* species and antifungal resistance in a tertiary care hospital. *Jpn J. Infect. Dis.* 58(6), 344–348 (2005).
- 50 O'Day DM, Head WS, Robinson RD *et al.* Intraocular penetration of systemically administered antifungal agents. *Curr. Eye Res.* 4(2), 131–134 (1985).
- 51 Louie A, Liu W, Miller DA *et al.* Efficacies of high-dose fluconazole plus amphotericin B and high-dose fluconazole plus 5-fluorocytosine versus amphotericin B, fluconazole, and 5-fluorocytosine monotherapies in treatment of experimental endocarditis, endophthalmitis, and pyelonephritis due to *Candida albicans. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 43(12), 2831–2840 (1999).
- •• Provides evidence for superior ocular penetration of fluconazole compared with amphotericin B.
- 52 Wegner B, Baer P, Gauer S et al. Caspofungin is less nephrotoxic than amphotericin B in vitro and predominantly damages distal renal tubular cells. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 20(10), 2071–2079 (2005).
- 53 Wong-Beringer A, Jacobs RA, Guglielmo BJ. Lipid formulations of amphotericin B: clinical efficacy and toxicities. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 27(3), 603–618 (1998).

- 54 Larabi M, Gulik A, Dedieu JP *et al.* New lipid formulation of amphotericin B: spectral and microscopic analysis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1664(2), 172–181 (2004).
- 55 Goldblum D, Rohrer K, Frueh BE et al. Ocular distribution of intravenously administered lipid formulations of amphotericin B in a rabbit model. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 46(12), 3719–3723 (2002).
- 56 Diasio RB, Bennett JE, Myers CE. Mode of action of 5-fluorocytosine. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 27(5), 703–707 (1978).
- 57 Walsh A, Haft DA, Miller MH et al. Ocular penetration of 5-fluorocytosine. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 17(7), 691–694 (1978).
- 58 Oh KB, Yang HC, Matsuoka H et al. Combined effect of amphotericin B and flucytosine on hyphal growth of *Candida* albicans estimated at a single hypha level. J. Med. Vet. Mycol. 33(3), 191–195 (1995).
- 59 Kontoyiannis DP. Modulation of fluconazole sensitivity by the interaction of mitochondria and erg3p in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 46(2), 191–197 (2000).
- 60 Fukuoka T, Johnston DA, Winslow CA et al. Genetic basis for differential activities of fluconazole and voriconazole against *Candida* Krusei. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 47(4), 1213–1219 (2003).
- 61 Debruyne D. Clinical pharmacokinetics of fluconazole in superficial and systemic mycoses. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 33(1), 52–77 (1997).
- 62 Goa KL, Barradell LB. Fluconazole. An update of its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic use in major superficial and systemic mycoses in immunocompromised patients. *Drugs* 50(4), 658–690 (1995).
- 63 Brockmeyer NH, Tillmann I, Mertins L et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction of fluconazole and zidovudine in HIVpositive patients. *Eur. J. Med. Res.* 2(9), 377–383 (1997).
- Kontoyiannis DP, Bodey GP, Mantzoros CS. Fluconazole vs.
 amphotericin B for the management of candidaemia in adults: a meta-analysis. *Mycoses* 44(5), 125–135 (2001).
- In a meta-analysis of several prospective studies that compared fluconazole with amphotericin B, the authors proved that there is little difference in their effectiveness in the treatment of systemic candidiasis.

- 65 Charlier C, Hart E, Lefort A *et al.* Fluconazole for the management of invasive candidiasis: where do we stand after 15 years? *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 57(3), 384–410 (2006).
 - Updated review of the literature that summarizes the indications and outcome of fluconazole in the management of systemic candidiasis during the past 15 years.
- 66 Odds FC. Fluconazole plus amphotericin B combinations are not contraindicated and may add benefit for the treatment of candidemia. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 36(10), 1229–1231 (2003).
- 67 Rex JH, Pappas PG, Karchmer AW et al. A randomized and blinded multicenter trial of high-dose fluconazole plus placebo versus fluconazole plus amphotericin B as therapy for candidemia and its consequences in non neutropenic subjects. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 36(10), 1221–1228 (2003).
- Defines the *in vivo* beneficial interaction between fluconazole and amphotericin B in candidemia.
- 68 Safdar A, Chaturvedi V, Koll BS et al. Prospective, multicenter surveillance study of Candida glabrata: fluconazole and itraconazole susceptibility profiles in bloodstream, invasive, and colonizing strains and differences between isolates from three urban teaching hospitals in New York City (Candida Susceptibility Trends Study, 1998 to 1999). Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 46(10), 3268–3272 (2002).
- 69 Singh J, Burr B, Stringham D *et al.* Commonly used antibacterial and antifungal agents for hospitalised paediatric patients: implications for therapy with an emphasis on clinical pharmacokinetics. *Paediatr. Drugs* 3(10), 733–761 (2001).
- 70 Savani DV, Perfect JR, Cobo LM et al. Penetration of new azole compounds into the eye and efficacy in experimental *Candida* endophthalmitis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 31(1), 6–10 (1987).
- 71 Schulman JA, Peyman GA, Dietlein J *et al.* Ocular toxicity of experimental intravitreal itraconazole. *Int. Ophthalmol.* 15(1), 21–24 (1991).
- 72 Yoshizumi MO, Banihashemi AR. Experimental intravitreal ketoconazole in DMSO. *Retina* 8(3), 210–215 (1988).
- 73 Shahsavari M, Peyman GA, Niesman MR. Retinal toxicity and *in vitro* efficacy study of cilofungin (LY121019). *Ophthalmic. Surg.* 21(10), 726–728 (1990).
- 74 Dunlap WA, Karacorlu M, Peyman GA et al. Retinal toxicity of intravitreally injected faeriefungin. *Ophthalmic. Surg.* 25(5), 303–306 (1994).

- 75 Samiy N, D'Amico DJ. Endogenous fungal endophthalmitis. *Int. Ophthalmol. Clin.* 36, 147–162 (1996).
- 76 Baldinger J, Doft BH, Burns SA *et al.* Retinal toxicity of amphotericin B in vitrectomised versus non-vitrectomised eyes. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 70(9), 657–661 (1986).
- 77 Doft BH, Weiskopf J, Nilsson-Ehle I et al. Amphotericin clearance in vitrectomized versus nonvitrectomized eyes. Ophthalmology 92(11), 1601–1605 (1985).
- 78 Miyamoto H, Ogura Y, Hashizoe M, Kunou N, Honda Y, Ikada Y. Biodegradable scleral implant for intravitreal controlled release of fluconazole. *Curr. Eye Res.* 16, 930–935 (1997).
- 79 Espinel-Ingroff A, Boyle K, Sheehan DJ. In vitro antifungal activities of voriconazole and reference agents as determined by NCCLS methods: review of the literature. Mycopathologia 150(3), 101–115 (2001).
- 80 Sabo JA, Abdel-Rahman SM. Voriconazole: a new triazole antifungal. *Ann. Pharmacother.* 34(9), 1032–1043 (2000).
- 81 Hariprasad SM, Mieler WF, Holz ER *et al.* Determination of vitreous, aqueous, and plasma concentration of orally administered voriconazole in humans. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 122(1), 42–47 (2004).
- 82 Lazarus HM, Blumer JL, Yanovich S *et al.* Safety and pharmacokinetics of oral voriconazole in patients at risk of fungal infection: a dose escalation study. *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 42(4), 395–402 (2002).
- 83 Gao H, Pennesi ME, Shah K et al. Intravitreal voriconazole: an electroretinographic and histopathologic study. Arch. Ophthalmol. 122(11), 1687–1692 (2004).
- 84 Durand ML, Kim IK, D'Amico DJ et al. Successful treatment of Fusarium endophthalmitis with voriconazole and Aspergillus endophthalmitis with voriconazole plus caspofungin. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 140(3), 552–554 (2005).
- 85 Kramer M, Kramer MR, Blau H, Bishara J, Axer-Siegel R, Weinberger D. Intravitreal voriconazole for the treatment of endogenous *Aspergillus* endophthalmitis. *Ophthalmology* 113(7), 1184–1186 (2006).

- 86 Nehemy MB, Vasconcelos-Santos DV, Torqueti-Costa L *et al.* Chronic endophthalmitis due to verticillium species after cataract surgery treated (or managed) with pars plana vitrectomy and oral and intravitreal voriconazole. *Retina* 26(2), 225–227 (2006).
- 87 Gupta AK, Tomas E. New antifungal agents. *Dermatol. Clin.* 21(3), 565–576 (2003).
- 88 Dodds Ashley ES, Alexander BD. Posaconazole. *Drugs Today (Barc.)* 41(6), 393–400 (2005).
- 89 Cuenca-Estrella M, Gomez-Lopez A, Mellado E *et al.* Head-to-head comparison of the activities of currently available antifungal agents against 3,378 Spanish clinical isolates of yeasts and filamentous fungi. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 50(3), 917–921 (2006).
- 90 Sponsel WE, Graybill JR, Nevarez HL et al. Ocular and systemic posaconazole(SCH-56592) treatment of invasive Fusarium solani keratitis and endophthalmitis. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 86(7), 829–830 (2002).
- 91 Bachmann SP, Patterson TF, Lopez-Ribot JL. *In vitro* activity of caspofungin (MK-0991) against *Candida albicans* clinical isolates displaying different mechanisms of azole resistance. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40, 2228–2230 (2002).
- 92 Mora-Duarte J, Betts R, Rotstein C et al. Comparison of caspofungin and amphotericin B for invasive candidiasis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 347(25), 2020–2029 (2002).
- 93 Petraitis V, Petraitiene R, Groll AH et al. Comparative antifungal activities and plasma pharmacokinetics of micafungin (FK463) against disseminated candidiasis and invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in persistently neutropenic rabbits. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 46(6), 1857–1869 (2002).
- 94 Vazquez JA, Sobel JD. Anidulafungin: a novel echinocandin. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 43(2), 215–222 (2006).
- 95 Coats ML, Peyman GA. Intravitreal corticosteroids in the treatment of exogenous fungal endophthalmitis. *Retina* 12(1), 46–51 (1992).
- 96 Majji AB, Jalali S, Das T *et al.* Role of intravitreal dexamethasone in exogenous fungal endophthalmitis. *Eye* 13(Pt 5), 660–665 (1999).

- 97 Diamond RD. Inhibition of monocytemediated damage to fungal hyphae by steroid hormones. *J. Infect. Dis.* 147(1), 160 (1983).
- 98 Smiddy WE. Treatment outcomes of endogenous fungal endophthalmitis. *Curr. Opin. Ophthalmol.* 9(3), 66–70 (1998).
- 99 Recchia FM, Shah GK, Eagle RC, Sivalingam A, Fischer DH. Visual and anatomical outcome following submacular surgery for choroidal neovascularization secondary to *Candida* endophthalmitis. *Retina* 22, 323–329 (2002).
- 100 Jampol LM, Sung J, Walker JD *et al.* Choroidal neovascularization secondary to *Candida albicans* chorioretinitis. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 121, 643–649 (1996).
- 101 Gupta A, Gupta V, Dogra MR *et al.* Fungal endophthalmitis after a single intravenous administration of presumably contaminated dextrose infusion fluid. *Retina* 20(3), 262–268 (2000).
- 102 Voss A, le Noble JL, Verduyn Lunel FM *et al.* Candidemia in intensive care unit patients: risk factors for mortality. *Infection* 25(1), 8–11 (1997).
- Betts R, Glasmacher A, Maertens J *et al.* Efficacy of caspofungin against invasive *Candida* or invasive *Aspergillus* infections in neutropenic patients. *Cancer* 106, 466–473 (2006).

Affiliations

- Ahmed Sallam, MD, FRCS
 Uveitis Fellow, Department of Clinical
 Ophthalmology, Institute of Ophthalmology,
 Moorfields Eye Hospital, City Road, London
 ECIV 2PD, UK
 ahmedsallam11@yahoo.com
- William Lynn, MD, FRCP Consultant Physician, Department of Infectious Diseases, Ealing Hospital, Southall, Middlesex, UB1 3HW, UK William.Lynn@eht.nhs.uk
- Peter McCluskey, MBBS, MD, FRANZCO, FRACS Professor of Ophthalmology, Department of Ophthalmology, Liverpool Hospital, Locked Bag 7103, Liverpool BC 1871, Australia iritis@ozemail.com.au
- Sue Lightman, PhD, FRCP, FRCOphth FMedSci Professor of Ophthalmology, Department of Clinical Ophthalmology, Institute of Ophthalmology, Moorfields Eye Hospital, City Road, London EC1V 2PD, UK Tel.: +44 207 566 2266 Fax: +44 207 251 9350 s.lightman@ucl.ac.uk